

8501.80.1000, 8501.80.2000, 8501.80.3000, 8501.80.9000, 8507.20.8010, 8507.20.8031, 8507.20.8041, 8507.20.8061, and 8507.20.8091. These HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope of the investigations is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2025–15251 Filed 8–11–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), Article 10.12: Binational Panel Review: Notice of Panel Decision

AGENCY: United States Section, USMCA Secretariat, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of panel decision.

SUMMARY: On July 21, 2025 the Binational Panel issued its Decision in the matter of Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2017–2018 (Secretariat File Number: USA–CDA–2020–10.12–02). The Binational Panel affirmed in part and remanded in part the Department of Commerce’s Final Determination.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vidya Desai, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Room 2061, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–2311.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Article 10.12 of Chapter 10 of USMCA provides a dispute settlement mechanism involving trade remedy determinations issued by the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada, and the Government of Mexico. Following a Request for Panel Review, a Binational Panel is composed to review the trade remedy determination being challenged and issue a binding Panel Decision. There are established USMCA *Rules of Procedure for Article 10.12 (Binational Panel Reviews)*, which were adopted by the three governments for panels requested pursuant to Article 10.12(2) of USMCA which requires Requests for Panel Review to be published in accordance with Rule 40. For the complete Rules, please see https://can-mex-usa-sec.org/secretariat/agreement-acord-acuerdo/usmca-aceum-tmec/rules-regles-reglas/article-article-articulo_10_12.aspx?lang=eng.

Authority: Pub. L. 116–113.

Dated: August 7, 2025.

Vidya Desai,

U.S. Secretary, USMCA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2025–15230 Filed 8–11–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–836]

Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From Mexico: Amended Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on light-walled rectangular pipe and tube (LWRPT) from Mexico. This notice rescinds this review for 11 companies as a correction. The period of review (POR), August 1, 2022, through July 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable August 12, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff or Charles Doss, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1009 or (202) 482–4474, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 16, 2025, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* the *Final Results*.¹ Commerce omitted notice of rescission of this review for 11 companies in the *Final Results* that Commerce previously identified with an intent to rescind in the *Preliminary Results*.² Commerce is amending the *Final Results* to correct for this ministerial error.

Legal Framework

Section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), defines a “ministerial error” as including “errors

¹ See *Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 90 FR 25232 (June 16, 2025), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

² See *Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 74916, 74917 (September 13, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*).

in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.”³ With respect to final results of administrative reviews, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any . . . ministerial error by amending the final results of review. . . {.”

Ministerial Error

Commerce reviewed the record and finds that the omission of rescission of review language from the *Final Results* constitutes a ministerial error within the meaning of section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f). Specifically, with regard to rescission, we find that not including the rescission language in the *Final Results* with respect to the 11 companies after notifying parties of our intent to rescind in the *Preliminary Results* and receiving no comments from any party, was an inadvertent error which we consider ministerial.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of correcting the ministerial error, Commerce is rescinding the review with respect to the 11 companies listed below in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Rescission of Review, In Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce’s practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it determines that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.⁴ Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.⁵ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the calculated antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.⁶

³ See 19 CFR 351.224(f).

⁴ See, e.g., *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recession of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 4157 (January 24, 2023).

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁶ See, e.g., *Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co. v. United States*, 380 F. Supp. 3d 1328, 1335–36 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) held that: “While the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does