

January 22, 2002, Contact: Gregory D. Rawlings (505) 820-2027.

EIS No. 010502, Draft EIS, NAS, CA, Programmatic EIS—NASA Ames Development Plan (NADP) for Ames Research Center, New Research and Development Uses, Implementation, San Francisco Bay, Santa Clara County, CA, Comment Period Ends: January 28, 2002, Contact: Sandy Olliges (650) 604-3355. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://researchpark.arc.nasa.gov>.

EIS No. 010503, Final Supplement, AFS, UT, Rendezvous Vegetation Management Project, To the South Spruce Ecosystem Rehabilitation Project, Implementation, Dixie National Forest, Cedar City Ranger District, Iron and Kane Counties, UT, Wait Period Ends: January 07, 2002, Contact: Phillip G. Eisenhower (435) 865-3200.

EIS No. 010504, Final EIS, FHW, TX, IH-10 West from Taylor Street to FM-1489, Construction and Reconstruction, Central Business District (CBD), Funding, Right-of-Way Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Harris, Fort Bend and Waller Counties, TX, Wait Period Ends: January 07, 2002, Contact: John R. Mack (512) 536-5960.

EIS No. 010505, Draft Supplement, COE, FL, Central and Southern Florida Project, Tamiami Trail Feature (US Highway 41), Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park, Dade County, FL, Comment Period Ends: February 04, 2002,

Contact: Jon Moulding (904) 232-2286.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 010419, Draft EIS, AFS, UT, Ray's Valley Road Realignment, Proposal to Reduce or Eliminate Adverse Impacts to Watershed, and Aquatic Species, Provide Safer Driving Conditions, Uinta National Forest, Spanish Fork Ranger District, Utah County, UT, Due: January 11, 2002, Contact: Renee Flanagan (801) 342-5145. Revision of FR notice published on 11/16/2001: CEQ Comment Period Ending 01/02/2002 has been Corrected to 1/11/2002.

Dated: December 4, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-30380 Filed 12-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6624-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the OFFICE OF FEDERAL ACTIVITIES at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65236-AZ Rating LO, Buck Springs Range Allotment Rangeland Management, Implementation, Blue Ridge Coconino National Forest, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary: EPA supports the dual objectives of providing grazing land and protecting sensitive habitat analyzed in the Buck Springs Range Allotment DEIS. EPA has no objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65390-ID Rating EO2, Garnet Stars and Sands Project, To Test and Develop Future Recreation, Garnet, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, St. Joe Ranger District, Latah, Shoshone and Benewah Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA had environmental objections because the proposed project would likely worsen already impaired water quality and degrade habitat for listed and sensitive fish species and riparian areas. EPA recommended that the final EIS contain sufficient mitigation measures to conserve aquatic resources consistent with section 313 of the Clean Water Act, section 7(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act and the Forest Plan, utilize the Forest Service's Protocol for 303(d) Waters and include a comprehensive monitoring plan specifically tied to the project.

ERP No. D-APH-A65169-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic—EIS Rangeland Grasshopper and Mormon Cricket Suppression Program, Authorization, Funding and Implementation in 17 Western States, AZ, CA, CO, ID, KS, MT, NB, NV, NM, ND, OK, OR, SD, TX, UT, WA and WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns since the draft EIS did not fully identify a proposed action nor fully analyze a reasonable range of alternatives. EPA requested that

additional information and analyses be available in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-BLM-K65235-AZ Rating LO, Las Cienegas Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Las Cienegas National Conservation Area (NCA) and Sonoita Valley Acquisition Planning District, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of environmental objections to the proposed project. ERP No. D-FHW-F40398-IN Rating EO2, Indianapolis Northeast Corridor Transportation Connections Study, To Identify Actions to Reduce Expected Year 2025 Traffic Congestion and Enhance Mobility, Between I-69: from I-465 to IN-328; I-465: from US 31 to I-70; I-70: from I-65 to I-465; IN-37 from I-69 to Allisonville Road (Noblesville), Marion and Hamilton Counties, IN.

Summary: EPA expressed objections to and requested additional information regarding: Alternatives, noise, air quality, wetlands, threatened and endangered species habitat, water quality/storm water management, flood plains and mitigation.

ERP No. D-NOA-K36136-CA Rating EC2, Goat Canyon Enhancement Project, Implementation, Tijuana River Estuary, City and County of San Diego, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to water quality, cumulative impacts and the objectives for improvements to Monument Road and the trail system. EPA requested that additional information be provided to address EPA's concerns on these issues.

ERP No. DS-COE-E39054-FL Rating LO, Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow Protection, Interim Operating Plan (IOP), Updated Information on a New Alternative 7 for Emergency Sparrow Protection Actions, Implementation, Everglades National Park, Miami-Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the proposed action since Alternative 7 appears to address our previous water quality concerns, but still provides adequate protection to the Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65232-OR, Deep Vegetation Management Project, Implementation, Ochoco National Forest, Paulina Ranger District, Crook and Wheeler Counties, OR.

Summary: The final EIS adequately discloses the impacts and satisfactorily responded to most of EPA's previous comments on the draft EIS. In addition, the project overall should benefit the landscape. Therefore, EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F-DOE-E09807-TN
Programmatic EIS—Oak Ridge Y-12
Plant Mission, Processing and Storage of
Highly Enriched Uranium, U.S. Nuclear
Weapons Stockpile, Anderson County,
TN.

Summary: EPA continues to have
environmental concerns about
construction impacts of the project.

Dated: December 4, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office
of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 01-30381 Filed 12-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[PF-970; FRL-6737-9]

Notice of Filing Pesticide Petitions to Establish a Tolerance for a Certain Pesticide Chemicals in or on Food

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the
initial filing of pesticide petitions
proposing the establishment of
regulations for residues of certain
pesticide chemicals in or on various
food commodities.

DATES: Comments, identified by docket
control number PF-970, must be
received on or before January 7, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be
submitted by mail, electronically, or in
person. Please follow the detailed
instructions for each method as
provided in Unit I.C. of the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION. To ensure
proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative
that you identify docket control number
PF-970 in the subject line on the first
page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By
mail: Adam Heyward, Antimicrobials
Division (7510C), Office of Pesticide
Programs, Environmental Protection
Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW.,
Washington, DC 20460; telephone
numbers: (703) 308-6422; e-mail
address: heyward.adam@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does This Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if
you are an agricultural producer, food
manufacturer or pesticide manufacturer.
Potentially affected categories and
entities may include, but are not limited
to:

Categories	NAICS codes	Examples of poten- tially affected enti- ties
Industry	111 112 311 32532	Crop production Animal production Food manufacturing Pesticide manufac- turing

This listing is not intended to be
exhaustive, but rather provides a guide
for readers regarding entities likely to be
affected by this action. Other types of
entities not listed in the table could also
be affected. The North American
Industrial Classification System
(NAICS) codes have been provided to
assist you and others in determining
whether or not this action might apply
to certain entities. If you have questions
regarding the applicability of this action
to a particular entity, consult the person
listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION
CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of This Document and Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically.* You may obtain
electronic copies of this document, and
certain other related documents that
might be available electronically, from
the EPA Internet Home Page at [http://
www.epa.gov/](http://www.epa.gov/). To access this
document, on the Home Page select
“Laws and Regulations,” “Regulations
and Proposed Rules,” and then look up
the entry for this document under the
“**Federal Register**—Environmental
Documents.” You can also go directly to
the **Federal Register** listings at [http://
www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/](http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/).

2. *In person.* The Agency has
established an official record for this
action under docket control number PF-
970. The official record consists of the
documents specifically referenced in
this action, any public comments
received during an applicable comment
period, and other information related to
this action, including any information
claimed as confidential business
information (CBI). This official record
includes the documents that are
physically located in the docket, as well
as the documents that are referenced in
those documents. The public version of
the official record does not include any
information claimed as CBI. The public
version of the official record, which
includes printed, paper versions of any
electronic comments submitted during
an applicable comment period, is
available for inspection in the Public
Information and Records Integrity
Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall
#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway,
Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.,

Monday through Friday, excluding legal
holidays. The PIRIB telephone number
is (703) 305-5805.

C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments through
the mail, in person, or electronically. To
ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is
imperative that you identify docket
control number PF-970 in the subject
line on the first page of your response.

1. *By mail.* Submit your comments to:
Public Information and Records
Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information
Resources and Services Division
(7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs
(OPP), Environmental Protection
Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW.,
Washington, DC 20460.

2. *In person or by courier.* Deliver
your comments to: Public Information
and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB),
Information Resources and Services
Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide
Programs (OPP), Environmental
Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal
Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway,
Arlington, VA. The PIRIB is open from
8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through
Friday, excluding legal holidays. The
PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305-
5805.

3. *Electronically.* You may submit
your comments electronically by e-mail
to: “opp-docket@epa.gov”, or you can
submit a computer disk as described
above. Do not submit any information
electronically that you consider to be
CBI. Avoid the use of special characters
and any form of encryption. Electronic
submissions will be accepted in
Wordperfect 6.1/8.0 or ASCII file
format. All comments in electronic form
must be identified by docket control
number PF-970. Electronic comments
may also be filed online at many Federal
Depository Libraries.

D. How Should I Handle CBI That I Want to Submit to the Agency?

Do not submit any information
electronically that you consider to be
CBI. You may claim information that
you submit to EPA in response to this
document as CBI by marking any part or
all of that information as CBI.
Information so marked will not be
disclosed except in accordance with
procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.
In addition to one complete version of
the comment that includes any
information claimed as CBI, a copy of
the comment that does not contain the
information claimed as CBI must be
submitted for inclusion in the public
version of the official record.