

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments, referencing EPA ICR No. 1286.06 and OMB Control No. 2050-0124, to the following addresses: Susan Auby, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Collection Strategies Division (Mail Code 2822T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; and to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For a copy of the ICR contact Susan Auby at EPA by phone at (202) 566-1672, by e-mail at [auby.susan@epa.gov](mailto:auby.susan@epa.gov), or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 1286.06. For technical questions about the ICR contact Mike Svizzero by phone at (703) 308-0046, or by e-mail at [svizzero.michael@epa.gov](mailto:svizzero.michael@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Used Oil Management Standards Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements, OMB Control No. 2050-0124, EPA ICR No. 1286.06, expiring September 30, 2002. This is a request for an extension of a currently approved collection.

*Abstract:* The Used Oil Management Standards, which include information collection requests, were developed in accordance with section 3014 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA), which directs EPA to "promulgate regulations \* \* \* as may be necessary to protect public health and the environment from the hazards associated with recycled oil" and, at the same time, to not discourage used oil recycling. In 1985 and 1992, EPA established mandatory regulations that govern the management of used oil (see 40 CFR part 279). To document and ensure proper handling of used oil, these regulations establish notification, testing, tracking and recordkeeping requirements for used oil transporters, processors, re-refiners, marketers, and burners. They also set standards for the prevention and cleanup of releases to the environment during storage and transit, and for the safe closure of storage units and processing and re-refining facilities to mitigate future releases and damages. EPA believes these requirements minimize potential hazards to human health and the environment from the potential mismanagement of used oil by used oil handlers, while providing for the safe recycling of used oil. Information from these information

collection requirements is used to ensure compliance with the Used Oil Management Standards. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15. The **Federal Register** notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on April 12, 2002 (67 FR 17991); one comment was received.

*Burden Statement:* The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to range from 6 minutes to 23 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondent/Affected Entities:* Business or other for profit.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,640.

*Frequency of Response:* Biannually.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 460,286 hours.

*Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden:* \$10,011,000.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the addresses listed above. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1286.06 and OMB Control No. 2050-0124 in any correspondence.

Dated: September 24, 2002.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-25047 Filed 10-1-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

[CC Docket No. 96-45; DA 02-2220]

**Revised Forms 486 and 479 and Accompanying Instructions for Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In this document the Wireline Competition Bureau announces the release of revised FCC Forms 486 and 479 and the associated instructions for the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism. These revised forms adopt changes in the certifications that libraries must make to indicate compliance with the Children's Internet Protection Act.

**DATES:** September 11, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Narda Jones, Attorney, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418-7400, TTY: (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Wireline Competition Bureau of the Federal Communications Commission announces the release of revised FCC Forms 486 and 479 and the associated instructions for the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism. These revised forms adopt changes in the certifications that libraries must make to indicate compliance with the Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA) (Public Law 106-554). The changes are adopted in response to the recent decision of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, which held that the CIPA requirements incorporated at 47 U.S.C. 254(h)(6) were facially unconstitutional as to libraries. See *American Library Ass'n, Inc. v. U.S.*, 201 F. Supp. 2d 401 (E.D. Pa. 2002).

SLD will continue to accept the previous versions of the FCC Forms 486 and 479. Those libraries that choose to submit FCC Forms 486 or 479 using the previous versions and that are required to certify to compliance with those CIPA certifications established by 47 U.S.C. 254(l) should check Item 11a, certifying compliance with CIPA "as codified at 47 U.S.C. 254(h) and (l)." Libraries checking Item 11a are certifying that they have complied to the extent that these sections apply, as of the date of the start of discounted services for the relevant funding year. Pursuant to the decision of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, section 254(h)(6) no

longer applies to libraries, and libraries may truthfully check Item 11a to certify compliance only with section 254(l). Library applicants checking this box are certifying that they have an Internet safety policy as described above, and have satisfied the public notice and hearing/meeting requirement, but are not certifying as to a technology protection measure because they are not required to filter Internet access. The same is true for the other CIPA certifications on FCC Forms 486 and 479. Additional guidance on the use of the old forms can be obtained on SLD's Web site at <http://www.sl.universalservice.org/reference/CIPAGuidance.asp>.

Although SLD will continue to accept the previous versions of the FCC Forms 486 and 479, all applicants are strongly encouraged to make use of the new forms. Unlike the prior versions, the new forms can be scanned by SLD. Use of the new forms will expedite processing and receipt of discounts. The new forms and instructions may be obtained at the SLD Web site, <http://www.sl.universalservice.org>, or by contacting the SLD Client Service Bureau at (888) 203-8100.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Mark G. Seifert,**

*Deputy Division Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-25072 Filed 10-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[WC Docket No. 02-157; FCC 02-262]

**Application by Verizon New England Inc., Verizon Delaware Inc., Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc. (d/b/a Verizon Long Distance), NYNEX Long Distance Company (d/b/a Verizon Enterprise Solutions), Verizon Global Networks Inc., and Verizon Select Services Inc., for Authorization To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in New Hampshire and Delaware**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In the document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) grants the section 271 application of Verizon New England, Inc. Verizon Delaware Inc., Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc. (d/b/a Verizon Long Distance), NYNEX Long Distance Company (d/b/a Verizon Enterprise Solutions), Verizon Global Networks Inc., and Verizon Select Services Inc.

(Verizon), for authority to enter the interLATA telecommunications market in the states of New Hampshire and Delaware. The Commission grants Verizon's application based on its conclusion that Verizon has satisfied all of the statutory requirements for entry and opened its local exchange markets to full competition.

**DATES:** Effective October 4, 2002.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Henry Thaggert, Attorney-Advisor, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-7941 or via the Internet at [hthagert@fcc.gov](mailto:hthagert@fcc.gov). The complete text of this Memorandum Opinion and Order is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. Further information may also be obtained by calling the Wireline Competition Bureau's TTY number: (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order in WC Docket No. 02-157, FCC 02-262, adopted September 25, 2002, and released September 25, 2002. The full text of this order may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com). It is also available on the Commission's Web site at [http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireline\\_Competition/in-region\\_applications](http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireline_Competition/in-region_applications).

### Synopsis of the Order

1. *History of the Application.* On June 27, 2002, Verizon filed an application pursuant to section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, with the Commission to provide in-region, interLATA service in the states of New Hampshire and Delaware.

2. *The State Commissions' Evaluations.* The New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (New Hampshire Commission) and the Delaware Public Services Commission (Delaware Commission), following an extensive review process, advised the Commission that Verizon has taken the statutorily required steps to open its local markets in each state to competition. Consequently, the state commissions recommended that the Commission approve Verizon's in-region, interLATA entry in their evaluations and comments in this proceeding.

3. *The Department of Justice's Evaluation.* The Department of Justice filed its evaluation on August 1, 2002, concluding that Verizon has generally succeeded in opening its local markets in New Hampshire and Delaware to competition. Accordingly, the Department of Justice recommends approval of Verizon's application for section 271 authority in New Hampshire and Delaware.

### Primary Issues in Dispute

4. *Compliance with Section 271(c)(1)(A).* The Commission concludes that Verizon demonstrates that it satisfies the requirements of section 271 (c)(1)(A) based on the interconnection agreements it has implemented with competing carriers in New Hampshire and Delaware. The record demonstrates that competitive LECs serve business and residential customers using predominantly their own facilities in each of the states.

5. *Checklist Item 2—Unbundled Network Elements.* Based on the record, the Commission finds that Verizon has provided "nondiscriminatory access to network elements in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(3) and 252(d)(1)" of the Act in compliance with checklist item 2.

6. The Commission further finds that, while substantial questions were raised regarding whether New Hampshire UNE rates were adopted through a proceeding that correctly applied TELRIC principles in all instances, Verizon's current New Hampshire UNE rates pass a benchmark comparison to New York UNE rates. Therefore, New Hampshire UNE rates satisfy checklist item 2. The Commission performs its benchmark analysis by aggregating non loop rate elements.

7. In Delaware, Verizon reduced its switching rates during the pendency of this proceeding in response to claims that the data underlying cost inputs to the rates had become outdated. Verizon's reduced switching rates caused Verizon's non loop rates to satisfy a benchmark comparison to New York non loop rates. Delaware loop rates also satisfied a benchmark comparison to New York rates. Thus, Verizon's Delaware UNE rates also satisfy checklist item 2.

8. *OSS.* The Commission also concludes that Verizon provides nondiscriminatory access to its OSS—the systems, databases, and personnel necessary to support network elements or services. Verizon provides access to its OSS in a manner that enables competing carriers to perform the functions in substantially the same time and manner as Verizon does or, if no