

c. A Periodicals publication having original entry at an incorporated city situated entirely within a county or contiguous to one or more counties in the same state, but politically independent of such county or counties, is considered within a part of the county with which it is principally contiguous. Copies (except commingled nonsubscriber copies above 50% under 7.9.3) mailed into that county are charged at In-County prices. Where more than one county is involved, the publisher selects the principal county and notifies the Postmaster.

* * * * *

11.3.3 Nonsubscriber or Nonrequester Copies

[Revise the text of 11.3.3 to read as follows:]

During a calendar year, the total number of nonsubscriber or nonrequester copies mailed at In-County prices may not exceed 50% of the number of subscriber or requester copies mailed at In-County prices, as under 7.9.3.

* * * * *

Sarah E. Sullivan,

Attorney, Ethics & Legal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2022-11522 Filed 5-25-22; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2022-0236; FRL-9605-02-R7]

Air Plan Approval; Missouri; Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations Processes in the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the State of Missouri. This final action will amend a Missouri regulation that controls emissions from facilities in St. Louis City and Jefferson, St. Charles, Franklin, and St. Louis Counties. The revisions to this rule include amending the rule applicability section for sources subject to the rule, removing unnecessary words, updating incorporations by reference, amending definitions specific to the rule, updating

test and reference methods and other minor edits. These revisions meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and do not impact the stringency of the SIP or air quality. Approval of these revisions will ensure consistency between State and federally approved rules.

DATES: This final rule is effective on June 27, 2022.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2022-0236. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov> or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jason Heitman, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Office, Air Quality Planning Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219; telephone number: (913) 551-7664; email address: heitman.jason@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. What is being addressed in this document?
- II. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?
- III. What action is the EPA taking?
- IV. Incorporation by Reference
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What is being addressed in this document?

The EPA is taking final action to approve revisions to 10 Code of State Regulations (CSR) 10-5.550, Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations Processes in the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry, in the Missouri SIP. On March 25, 2022, the EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) which proposed to approve the SIP revision as submitted by Missouri on February 11, 2020 (87 FR 17058). The revisions amend the rule applicability section for sources subject to this rule, remove unnecessary words, update incorporations by reference, amend

definitions specific to the rule, update test and reference methods, and make other minor edits. More detail on the EPA’s analysis of the revisions can be found in the NPRM and technical support document (TSD) included in this docket.

II. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

The State’s submission has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submission also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. The State provided public notice on this SIP revision from May 1, 2019, to August 1, 2019, and received nine comments. The State revised the rule based on the comments submitted. In addition, as explained in more detail in the NPRM and technical support document (TSD) which is part of this document, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

III. What action is the EPA taking?

On March 25, 2022, the EPA published a NPRM proposing to approve Missouri’s February 11, 2020, SIP revision submittal (87 FR 17058). The EPA sought public comment on the NPRM and received no comments. Therefore, the EPA is taking final action to amend the Missouri SIP to include revisions to 10 CSR 10-5.550, Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations Processes in the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry. Approval of these revisions will ensure consistency between State and federally approved rules. As described in the NPRM and the TSD, the EPA has determined that these changes meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act and will not adversely impact air quality or the stringency of the SIP.

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Missouri Regulations described in Section I of this preamble and set forth below in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 7 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the State Implementation Plan, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.¹

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described

in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTA) because this rulemaking does not involve technical standards; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).
- In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).
- This action is subject to the Congressional Review Act, and the EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).
- Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States

Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 26, 2022. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: May 20, 2022.
Meghan A. McCollister,
Regional Administrator, Region 7.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart AA—Missouri

- 2. In § 52.1320, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entry "10-5.550" to read as follows:

§ 52.1320 Identification of plan.
 * * * * *
 (c) * * *

EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
Missouri Department of Natural Resources				
*	*	*	*	*
Chapter 5—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control				
Regulations for the St. Louis Metropolitan Area				
*	*	*	*	*
10-5.550	Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations Processes in the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry.	1/30/2020	5/27/2022, [insert Federal Register citation].	

¹ 62 FR 27968, May 22, 1997.

EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS—Continued

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
* * * * *				
[FR Doc. 2022–11349 Filed 5–26–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P				
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
40 CFR Parts 60, 61, and 63				
[EPA–R09–OAR–2021–0962; FRL–9400–03–R9]				
Delegation of New Source Performance Standards and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for the States of Arizona and California				
AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).				
ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.				
SUMMARY: Because the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) received public comment, which we intend to address, we are withdrawing the direct final rule for Delegation of New Source Performance Standards and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for the States of Arizona and California published on March 31, 2022. The EPA will take a final action on the proposed action in a separate subsequent final rulemaking.				
DATES: As of May 27, 2022, the EPA withdraws the direct final rule published at 87 FR 18705, on March 31, 2022.				
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey Buss, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105. By phone: (415) 947–4152 or by email at buss.jeffrey@epa.gov .				
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA. Because the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) received a public comment that we intend to address, we are withdrawing the direct final rule for Delegation of New Source Performance Standards and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for the States of Arizona and California published on March 31, 2022 (87 FR 18705). We stated in that direct final rule that if we received adverse comment by May 2, 2022, the direct final rule would not take effect and we would publish a timely withdrawal in				
	the Federal Register . We subsequently received one comment on that direct final rule that we intend to address. We will address this comment in a subsequent final action, which will be based on the parallel proposed rule also published on March 31, 2022 (87 FR 18760). As stated in the direct final rule and the parallel proposed rule, we will not institute a second comment period on this action.			
	List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 60, 61, and 63 Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, and Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.			
	Accordingly, the EPA withdraws the direct final rule published at 87 FR 18705, on March 31, 2022.			
	Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 <i>et seq.</i>			
	Dated: May 23, 2022.			
	Elizabeth Adams, <i>Director, Air and Radiation Division, Region IX.</i>			
	[FR Doc. 2022–11461 Filed 5–26–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P			
	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES			
	Administration for Children and Families			
	45 CFR Part 305			
	RIN 0970–AC86			
	Paternity Establishment Percentage Performance Relief			
	AGENCY: Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).			
	ACTION: Final rule.			
	SUMMARY: Due to the impact of the COVID–19 public health emergency (PHE) on State child support program operations, OCSE modifies the Paternity Establishment Percentage (PEP) from the 90 percent performance threshold to 50 percent for Federal Fiscal Years (FFY) 2020, 2021, and 2022 in order for a State			
	to avoid a financial penalty. OCSE also provides that adverse findings of data reliability audits of a State’s paternity establishment data will not result in a financial penalty in FFYs 2020, 2021, and 2022.			
	DATES: This rule is effective on May 27, 2022.			
	FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kimberly Smith, Senior Advisor, OCSE Division of Policy and Training, at ocse.dpt@acf.hhs.gov or (202) 401–5679. Deaf and hearing impaired individuals may call the Federal Dual Party Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 7 p.m. Eastern Time.			
	SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:			
	I. Statutory Authority This rule is published under the authority granted to the Secretary of Health and Human Services by section 1102 of the Social Security Act (the Act) (42 U.S.C. 1302). Section 1102 of the Act authorizes the Secretary to publish regulations not inconsistent with the Act as may be necessary for the efficient administration of the functions with which the Secretary is responsible under the Act. The relief from the PEP performance penalty under this rule is based on statutory authority granted under section 452(g)(3)(A) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 652(g)(3)(A)).			
	II. Background This rule provides targeted and time-limited relief to States from penalties due to the impact of the national PHE caused by COVID–19 on State program performance. The pandemic has had an enormous adverse impact on child support services delivered by States under title IV–D of the Act, especially on paternity/parentage establishment, a core function of the child support program under section 452(a)(1) of the Act. A State’s paternity establishment performance, measured using the PEP, is a federally required performance measure under section 452(g) of the Act. Penalties related to the PEP performance measure are imposed as a reduction in the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program funding to States. Section 452(g)(3) of the Act authorizes the Secretary “to take into account such additional variables as the Secretary			