

Name of Committee: National Institute on Aging Special Emphasis Panel, Medicare Expenditures.

Date: February 24, 2009.

Time: 12 p.m. to 3 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institute on Aging, Gateway Building, 7201 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 2C212, Bethesda, MD 20814.

(Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Alicja L. Markowska, PhD., DSC, Scientific Review Officer, Scientific Review Branch, National Institute on Aging, 7201 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 2C212, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-496-9666, markowsa@nia.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: National Institute on Aging Special Emphasis Panel, Neural and Behavioral Profiles of Cognitive Aging.

Date: February 26-27, 2009.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Hyatt Regency Bethesda, One Bethesda Metro Center, 7400 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Elaine Lewis, PhD., Scientific Review Officer, Scientific Review Branch, National Institute on Aging, Gateway Building, Suite 2C212, MSC-9205, 7201 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-402-7707, elainelewis@nia.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.866, Aging Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: January 15, 2009.

Jennifer Spaeth,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. E9-1528 Filed 1-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Institute on Aging; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Institute on Aging Initial Review Group; Behavior and Social Science of Aging Review Committee.

Date: March 5-6, 2009.

Time: 4 p.m. to 1 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Embassy Suites at the Chevy Chase Pavilion, 4300 Military Road, NW., Washington, DC 20015.

Contact Person: Jeannette L. Johnson, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, National Institutes on Aging, National Institutes of Health, 7201 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 2c-212, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-402-7705.

Name of Committee: National Institute on Aging Initial Review Group; Clinical Aging Review Committee.

Date: March 5-6, 2009.

Time: 6 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Embassy Suites at the Chevy Chase Pavilion, 4300 Military Road NW., Washington, DC 20015.

Contact Person: Alicja L. Markowska, PhD, DSC, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Gateway Building 2c212, 7201 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-496-9666, markowsa@nia.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.866, Aging Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: January 15, 2009.

Jennifer Spaeth,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. E9-1533 Filed 1-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Institute of Nursing Research; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Nursing Research Special Emphasis Panel; NINR Core Center Grants Review.

Date: February 24-25, 2009.

Time: 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Washington Plaza Hotel, Ten Thomas Circle, Washington, DC 20005.

Contact Person: Mario Rinaudo, MD, Scientific Review Administrator, Office of Review, National Inst of Nursing Research, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Democracy Blvd (DEM 1), Suite 710, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-594-5973, mrinaudo@mail.nih.gov.

Any interested person may file written comments with the committee by forwarding the statement to the Contact Person listed on this notice. The statement should include the name, address, telephone number and when applicable, the business or professional affiliation of the interested person.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.361, Nursing Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: January 15, 2009.

Jennifer Spaeth,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. E9-1540 Filed 1-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 concerning opportunity for public comment on proposed collections of information, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the information collection plans, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276-1243.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Proposed Project: Cross-Site Evaluation of the National Child Traumatic Stress Initiative (NCTSI)—(OMB No. 0930-0276)—Revision

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA), Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS) will conduct the Cross-Site Evaluation of the National Child Traumatic Stress Initiative (NCTSI). The data collected will describe the children and families served by the National Child Traumatic Stress Network (NCTSN) and their outcomes, assess the development and dissemination of effective treatments and services, evaluate intra-network collaboration, and assess the Network's impact beyond the NCTSN.

Data will be collected from caregivers, youth, NCTSN staff (e.g., project directors, researchers, and providers), mental health providers outside of the NCTSN, and non-mental health service providers who provide services to children outside of the NCTSN. Data collection will take place in all Community Treatment and Services Programs (CTS) and Treatment and Service Adaptation Centers (TSA) active during the three-year approval period, and 2 National Centers for Child Traumatic Stress (NCCTS). Currently, there are 37 CTS centers and 13 TSA centers active, though this number could drop to 18 CTS centers and 5 TSA centers in 2009 depending on the number of new centers funded in that year. Throughout, burden estimates are calculated for an average of 44 centers in each year.

The Cross-site Evaluation is composed of eight distinct study components, seven of which involve data collection and are described below.

Descriptive and Clinical Outcomes

In order to describe the children served, their trauma histories and their clinical and functional outcomes, five instruments will be used to collect data from youth ages 7–18 who are receiving services in the NCTSN, and from caregivers of all children who are receiving NCTSN services. Data will be collected when the child/youth enters services and during subsequent follow-up sessions at three-month intervals over the course of one year. This study relies upon the use of data already being collected as a part of the Network's Core Data Set, and includes the following five instruments:

- The Core Clinical Characteristics Form, which collects demographic, psychosocial and clinical information about the child being served including information about the child's domestic

environment and insurance status, indicators of the severity of the child's problems, behaviors and symptoms, and use of non-Network services;

- The Trauma Information/Detail Form, which collects information on the history of trauma(s) experienced by the child being served in the NCTSN including the type of trauma experienced, the age at which the trauma was experienced, type of exposure, whether or not the trauma is chronic, and the setting and perpetrator(s) associated with the traumatic experience;

- The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) 1.5–5 and 6–18, which measure symptoms in such domains such as emotionally reactive, anxious/depressed, somatic complaints, withdrawn, attention problems, aggressive behavior, sleep problems, rule-breaking behavior, social problems, thought problems, and withdrawn/depressed;

- The UCLA PTSD Short Form, which screens for exposure to traumatic events and for all DSM-IV PTSD symptoms in children who report traumatic stress experiences; and the

- Trauma Symptoms Checklist for Children, which evaluates acute and chronic posttraumatic stress symptoms in children's responses to unspecified traumatic events across several symptom domains.

Approximately 2,500 youth and 3,300 caregivers will participate in the descriptive and clinical outcomes study, with caregivers responding to four instruments, and youth responding to one.

Consumer Satisfaction

In order to assess the level of satisfaction with services received by NCTSN centers, caregivers participating in the descriptive and clinical outcomes study are also given the opportunity to report satisfaction using the Youth Services Survey for Families (YSS-F) instrument. Caregivers complete this survey, via mail or phone, once upon completion of services, or after six months of services, whichever comes first. The survey assesses perceptions of service across five domains: Access, participation in treatment, cultural sensitivity, satisfaction, and outcomes. Approximately 3,300 caregivers will participate in the consumer satisfaction study. This study utilizes a single instrument, the YSS-F.

Adoption of Methods and Practices

This study is designed to evaluate the extent to which trauma-related practices, knowledge, methods, and products, particularly products created

or disseminated by the NCTSN, are being adopted by Network centers and non-Network partners, and involves data collection using two distinct instruments. The General Adoption and Assessment Survey (GAAS) is used to ascertain the degree to which the various products and practices developed by network members are being adopted by each of the grantee sites. Question areas include the experience and role of the respondent; which products are being adopted; the stage of adoption process; the fidelity of the adoption implementation; the methods employed to bring the product into use; the facilitators of the adoption process; and the barriers to adoption. The GAAS will be administered to approximately 17,550 service providers, 44 project directors, and 44 researchers/evaluators once per year throughout the course of the evaluation. The Adoption and Implementation Factors Interview (AIFI) is a follow-up interview on product adoption that will be conducted with 150 network providers, 45 project directors/principal investigators, and 30 researchers/evaluators. The AIFI obtains information leading to an assessment of successful adoption and implementation processes and an understanding of the characteristics of the centers that result in adoption of network supported methods and practices. This study utilizes two instruments, the GAAS and the AIFI.

Network Collaboration

The network collaboration study also utilizes two separate data collection activities. The Network Survey utilizes network analysis techniques to measure the extent to which each NCTSN center interacts with every other center on selected key Network activities (governance/decisionmaking, information sharing, coordination of activities, product development, product dissemination and adoption, and training and technical assistance). The survey is administered to 80 current or former project directors/principal investigators, and to 80 other NCTSN staff members. The Child Trauma Partnership Tool assesses the activities and impact of the NCTSN collaboration structures (Work Groups, Committees, Consortia) in terms of membership activities, vision, formalization, leadership, management, communication, decisionmaking, resource allocation, understanding/valuing, and accomplishments. It is administered approximately 200 NCTSN staff members who make up the formal Network workgroups. The two surveys associated with this data collection, the Network Survey and the

Child Trauma Partnership Tool, will be administered in alternating years of the evaluation.

Provider Knowledge and Use of Trauma-Informed Services

This study assesses the extent to which funded Network centers enhance the trauma-informed service knowledge base and use among service providers affiliated with the Network through training and outreach activities. The Trauma-Informed Services (TIS) Survey, which collects data on respondent characteristics, knowledge acquisition, predicted knowledge utilization, and overall training satisfaction, is administered to providers following Network center-sponsored training events. TIS Survey data will be collected from approximately 58,500 providers over the next three years of the evaluation. This study utilizes a single instrument, the TIS Survey.

Product Development and Dissemination

This study identifies and describes the products developed and disseminated to Network and non-Network partners. Three methods of data collection are used in this study: The Product/Innovation Development and Dissemination Survey (PDDS), telephone interviews with existing NCTSN collaborative workgroup leaders (chairpersons), and case studies. The PDDS is included and completed as part of centers' quarterly progress reports, and is gathered quarterly from 44 project directors/principal investigators. More detailed information on product development and dissemination will be

collected as a part of 10 case studies (5 in each alternating year) to be conducted in the next three years of the evaluation (with 10 caregivers, 20 researchers/evaluators from the network, and 20 non-network product developers). These case studies each focus on the development and dissemination of specific Network products/innovations, and include as respondents key informants who are knowledgeable about the development and dissemination of each of these products. In addition, interviews will be conducted with approximately 15 workgroup leaders. The workgroup leader telephone interviews examine the role and impact of the Network's collaborative workgroups in the development and dissemination of products and innovations, and occur in alternating years, opposite the case studies. This study utilizes the three instruments discussed above: The PDDS, the case study interview guide, and the workgroup leader interview guide.

National Impact

This study examines the extent to which the existence of the NCTSN has impacted trauma-informed services information, knowledge, policy, and practices among mental health and non-mental health child-serving agencies external to the Network. The National Impact Survey collects data about these agencies' knowledge and awareness of childhood trauma and practices, about their knowledge and connections to the NCTSN centers, and about their policies, practices, and programs targeted to children and adolescents

who have been exposed to traumatic experiences. The survey is administered to 1,600 mental health and 1,600 non-mental health service providers from outside the NCTSN. These mental health agency and non-mental health agency data will be collected in alternating years over the course of the evaluation. This study includes a single instrument, the National Impact Survey.

This revision to the currently approved information collection activities includes the extension of Cross-site Evaluation information collection activities for an additional three years beyond the initial three-year approval period. This revision also addresses the following programmatic changes:

- The Trauma-Informed Services Survey was shortened to reduce burden in response to NCTSN center feedback, removing four pages from the original 11-page survey. The dropped items focused primarily on the overall content of the training, including types of trauma addressed in the training and specific topics covered in the training.
- The Product Development and Dissemination Survey data is now gathered from an existing quarterly report rather than from a stand-alone instrument.
- GAAS provider respondents are now recruited from the pool of TIS Survey respondents who indicate a willingness to participate in future surveys. In the past, these respondents were recruited using a stand-alone invitation distributed at training events.

The average annual respondent burden is estimated below.

Instrument	Number of respondents	Total avg. number of responses per respondent	Hours per response	Total burden hours	3 yr. avg. annual burden hours
Caregivers					
Child Behavior Checklist 1.5–5/6–18 (CBCL 1.5–5/6–18)	3,300	5	0.3	5,445	1,815
Trauma Information/Detail Form	3,300	5	0.2	3,630	1,210
Core Clinical Characteristics Form	3,300	5	0.4	6,600	2,200
Youth Services Survey for Families (YSS-F)	3,300	1	0.1	264	88
UCLA-PTSD Short Form (UCLA-PTSD)	3,300	5	0.2	2,805	935
Case Study Interviews	10	1	1.5	15	5
Youth					
Trauma Symptoms Checklist for Children-Abbreviated (TSCC-A)	2,508	5	0.3	4,138	1,379.33
Service Providers					
Provider Trauma-Informed Service Survey (TIS)	58,500	1	0.2	11,700	3,900
General Adoption Assessment Survey (GAAS) Providers ..	17,550	1	0.5	8,775	2,925
Adoption and Implementation Factors Interview (AIFI) Provider Assessment & Clinical Components	150	1	1.0	150	50

Instrument	Number of respondents	Total avg. number of responses per respondent	Hours per response	Total burden hours	3 yr. avg. annual burden hours
Project Directors/Principal Investigators					
Product/Innovations Development and Dissemination Survey (PDDS)	44	12	1.0	528	176
General Adoption Assessment Survey (GAAS) Administrators	44	3	0.5	66	22
Adoption and Implementation Factors Interview (AIFI) Administrator Assessment & Clinical Components	45	1	1.0	45	15
Network Survey	80	1	1.0	80	26.67
Other Network Staff					
Workgroup/Taskforce Coordinator Interview	15	1	1.5	22.5	7.5
Case Study Interviews	20	1	2.0	40	13.33
General Adoption Assessment Survey (GAAS)	44	3	0.5	66	22
Adoption and Implementation Factors Interview (AIFI)	30	1	1.0	30	10
Network Survey	80	1	1.0	80	26.67
Child Trauma Partnership Tool (CTPT)	200	2	0.8	320	106.67
Non-Network Mental Health Professionals					
National Impact Survey	1,600	1	0.5	800	266.67
Non-Network Non-Mental Health Professionals					
National Impact Survey	1,600	2	0.5	1,600	533.33
Non-Network Product Developers					
Case Study Interviews	20	1	1.5	30	10
Total Summary	99,040	60			47,230
Total Annual Summary	33,013	20			15,743

Send comments to Summer King, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 7-1044, 1 Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, MD 20850. Written comments should be received by March 27, 2009.

Dated: January 16, 2009.

Elaine Parry,

Director, Office of Program Services.

[FR Doc. E9-1633 Filed 1-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Office of the Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman; DHS CIS Ombudsman Case Problem Submission

AGENCY: Office of the Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman, DHS.

ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing information collection 1601-0004, DHS Form 7001.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security, Office of the Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman, submits this extension for the following

information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Office of the Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman is soliciting comments concerning an extension to an existing information collection, DHS CIS Ombudsman Case Problem Submission, DHS Form 7001. DHS previously published this information collection request (ICR) in the **Federal Register** on November 10, 2008 at 73 FR 66654, for a 60-day public comment period. No comments were received by DHS. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30-days for public comments.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until February 25, 2009. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to OMB Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security, Office of the Citizenship and Immigration Services

Ombudsman, and sent via electronic mail to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If additional information is required contact: the Department of Homeland