

the total costs of BMP implementation. Full consideration of costs would include consideration of the opportunity costs (e.g., the costs to the landowner of partial loss of use of property). EPA anticipates that such opportunity costs associated with BMP implementation would be borne by individual landowners, and that such costs may comprise the largest component of total costs associated with runoff abatement. To better understand the economic potential of implementation of a voluntary and decentralized runoff control program, EPA proposes to assess the opportunity costs associated with implementation of best management practices to abate the adverse effects of storm water runoff. The proposed survey would provide a means of gathering this information. It also would ask 10–12 non-invasive demographic questions, required for the proper statistical analysis of the data.

The survey would be conducted using six (6) groups of ten (10) residential landowners from the Shepherd Creek watershed. Participation would be completely voluntary. Residents who wish to participate in the study would be identified and recruited through a liaison from the Hamilton County Soil and Water Conservation District, who is familiar with the community. The survey would be conducted using a computer simulated nonuniform-price, sequential auction for the procurement of best management practices. Participants would be presented with a selection of best management practices that should be feasible for use on their actual parcel. Information regarding how each BMP should perform on their specific parcel, as well as the installation, operation, and maintenance costs, would be provided to the landowner. In the computer-simulated auction, participants who wish to implement BMPs would submit bids that consist of the size and type of the BMPs and the minimum compensation that the participant landowner would accept. The goal of the simulation would be to elicit the minimum compensation levels that individual landowners will accept in exchange for implementation of the best management practices. This information would then be used to estimate the minimum compensation that would likely be necessary to achieve control stormwater runoff through such on-site, structural best management practices.

Data gathered would be stored on U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) computer files that would protect the confidentiality of individual participants. Summary results would be made available to the public. An agency

may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

The EPA solicits comments in this proposal to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Burden Statement:** The total number of expected participants would be 60. The cost to participants would be their time, at an estimate total of 120 hours collectively. EPA would compensate participants for their participation at a minimum rate of \$24.95 per hour. An additional bonus amount of compensation would vary with their performance in the auction. This is a commonly accepted practice used in experimental economics, in order to overcome hypothetical survey bias.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: July 5, 2005.

**Sally C. Gutierrez,**

*Acting Director, National Risk Management Research Laboratory.*

[FR Doc. 05–13783 Filed 7–12–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7935–3]

### Receipt of Requests for Initial Certification of Predictive Emission Monitoring Systems

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of data availability; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of receipt of requests for initial certification of alternative monitoring systems for nitrogen oxides emissions under the Acid Rain Program or the NO<sub>x</sub> Budget Program. The emissions monitoring regulations require EPA to provide notice of each request in the **Federal Register** and, following a public comment period of 60 days, to approve or disapprove the request. EPA has recently received requests for initial certification of nine alternative monitoring systems. All of these are predictive emission monitoring systems (PEMS). In order to be considered equivalent to a continuous emission monitoring system, each of these PEMS must meet the regulatory requirements for approval of an alternative monitoring system. EPA has conditionally approved three of these PEMS and is still reviewing the other six PEMS petitions.

**DATES:** Written comments on the proposed consent decree must be received by September 12, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket ID number OAR–2005–0099, online at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket> (EPA's preferred method); by e-mail to [a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov](mailto:a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov); mailed to EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; or by hand delivery or courier to EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room B108, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20004, between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Comments on a disk or CD-ROM should be formatted in Wordperfect or ASCII file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption, and may be mailed to the mailing address above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Schakenbach, Clean Air Markets Division (6204J), Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, telephone: (202) 343-9158.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Additional Information About the Certification Requests**

Requests for initial certification of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) PEMS have been received from the following sources, each subject to either the Acid Rain Program or the NO<sub>x</sub> Budget Program, or both:

*MeadWestvaco (Alabama)*

- 1 gas-fired combustion turbine (conditionally approved); and
- 1 gas-fired, pressurized furnace industrial boiler (conditionally approved)

*Dearborn Industrial Generation (Michigan)*

- 3 gas-fired boilers; and
- 3 gas-fired combustion turbines (one conditionally approved)

*Braintree Electric Light Department (Massachusetts)*

- 1 gas- and oil-fired combustion turbine (Potter II Station)

Pursuant to § 75.20(f) of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, for a period of sixty (60) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the requests for initial certification of the nine PEMS. EPA may disapprove a request for initial certification of a PEMS if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such approval is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act or if the request otherwise fails to meet the requirements of part 75, subpart E, available at: <http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/monitoring/consolidated> then click on Volume 1.

**II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Requests**

*A. How Can I Get a Copy of the Requests?*

EPA has established an official public docket for this action under Docket ID No. OAR-2005-0099, which contains a copy of the requests. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Air Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room B108, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20004. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30

a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Air Docket is (202) 566-1742.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search", and then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

It is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, confidential business information, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as confidential business information and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

*B. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?*

You may submit comments as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information

on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's electronic mail (e-mail) system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the Docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, your e-mail address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

Dated: June 14, 2005.

**Sam Napolitano,**

*Director, Clean Air Markets Division, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 05-13784 Filed 7-12-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[Docket Number ORD-2005-0023; FRL-7938-1]

**Board of Scientific Counselors, Global Change Subcommittee Meetings**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development (ORD), announces three meetings of the Board of Scientific Counselors (BOSC) Global Change Subcommittee. The conference calls and face-to-face meeting will focus on reviewing the Office of Research and Development's Global Change Research Program.

**DATES:** Two teleconference call meetings will be held, the first on Thursday, August 4, 2005, from 10 a.m. to 12 noon, and the second on Tuesday, September 13, 2005, from 10 a.m. to 12 noon. A