2008.² Union subsequently filed a timely complaint with the CIT to challenge various aspects of the *Final Results*.

On September 28, 2009, the Court granted the Department's request for voluntary remand to provide additional explanation with regard to the modelmatch criteria as applied to Union.3 On December 28, 2009, the Department filed initial remand results providing that explanation, but without changing its methodology or recalculating Union's weighted-average dumping margin.⁴ On January 11, 2011, the Court again remanded for the Department to reconsider its position with regard to the model-match criteria as applied to Union.⁵ On April 11, 2011, the Department revised its position with regard to the model-match criteria as applied to Union and recalculated Union's weighted-average margin from 4.35 percent in the *Final Results* to 3.59 percent.⁶ On November 21, 2011, the Court sustained the Department's remand redetermination regarding the model-match criteria.7 On August 8, 2013, after disposition of remaining issues, the Court entered final judgment.8

Timken Notice

In its decision in Timken, as clarified by Diamond Sawblades, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's August 8, 2013, judgment in this case constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the Department's final results of the administrative review. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of Timken. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending expiration of the period of appeal or, if

appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision. Because the antidumping duty order on CORE from Korea has been revoked effective February 14, 2012, cash deposits are no longer in effect.⁹

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to this case, the Department is amending its *Final Results* with respect to Union's weighted-average dumping margins for the period August 1, 2005 through July 31, 2006. ¹⁰ The revised weighted-average dumping margin is as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd	3.59

In the event that the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b).¹¹

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 19, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–23643 Filed 9–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Notice of Scope Rulings

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") hereby publishes a list of scope rulings and anticircumvention determinations made between April 1, 2013, and June 30, 2013. We intend to publish future lists after the close of the next calendar quarter.

DATES: September 27, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda E. Waters, AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department's regulations provide that the Secretary will publish in the **Federal Register** a list of scope rulings on a quarterly basis.¹ Our most recent notification of scope rulings was published on July 18, 2013.² This current notice covers all scope rulings and anticircumvention determinations made by Import Administration between April 1, 2013, and June 30, 2013, inclusive. As described below, subsequent lists will follow after the close of each calendar quarter.

Scope Rulings Made Between April 1, 2013, and June 30, 2013

India

A–533–502: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipes and Tubes From India

Requestor: Salem Steel NA, LLC; Certain electric resistance welded (ERW) mechanical tubing and ERW hydraulic tubing, cold drawn and/or drawn over mandrel (CD/DOM), regardless of size, are not within the scope of the antidumping duty order; June 7, 2013 (final).

Italy

A–475–703: Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy

Requestor: Industrial Plastics and Machine, Inc.; Certain polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin products made from raw, unfilled PTFE powder from Russia and the People's Republic of China and imported by Industrial Plastics and Machine, Inc. from Guarniflon S.p.A. are not covered by the antidumping duty order; April 26, 2013 (preliminary).

People's Republic of China

A–570–967 and C–570–968: Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: 5 Diamond Promotions, Inc.; Its aluminum flag pole sets are within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders because they do not enter the United States with all parts necessary to complete a final

² See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Final Results of the Thirteenth Administrative Review, 73 FR 14220 (March 17, 2008) ("Final Results")

 $^{^3}$ See Union Steel v. United States, 645 F. Supp. 2d 1298 (CIT 2009).

⁴ See Final Remand Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand, CIT Court No. 08–00101 (December 28, 2009).

⁵ See Union II.

⁶ See Second Remand Results.

⁷ See Union Steel v. United States, 804 F. Supp. 2d 1356 (CIT 2011).

⁸ See Union Steel v. United States, Court No. 08–00101, Slip Op. 13–105 (CIT Aug. 8, 2013).

⁹ See Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany and the Republic of Korea: Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 78 FR 16832 (March 19, 2013)

 $^{^{10}}$ The remaining weighted-average dumping margins from the $\it Final~Results$ remain unchanged.

¹¹ See Final Results, 73 FR at 14221.

¹ See 19 CFR 351.225(o).

² See Notice of Scope Rulings, 78 FR 42934 (July 18, 2013)

finished flag pole packaged together as a complete set; April 19, 2013.

A–570–941: Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: U-Line Corporation; steel shelving units used in wine coolers, beverage coolers and ADA-compliant cooling units are within the scope of the antidumping duty order; April 22, 2013.

A–570–943 and C–570–944: Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: United States Steel Corporation, TMK IPSCO, Wheatland Tube Company, Boomerang Tube LLC, and V&M Star L.P.; certain unfinished oil country tubular goods (including green tubes) produced in the People's Republic of China, regardless of where the finishing of the oil country tubular goods (made to certain grades and specifications) takes place, are within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders; May 31, 2013 (preliminary).

A–570–891: Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: ACE Hardware
Corporation; The ACE Trading Luggage
Cart is outside the scope of the
antidumping duty order because it does
not possess a projecting edge or toe
plate that slides under a load for
purposes of lifting and/or moving the
load; June 14, 2013.

A–570–970 and C–570–971: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: M-Wave International, LLC; M-Wave's product is outside the scope of the orders because the PVC film is a laminated plastic face layer that obscures the wood grain and texture, as opposed to a wood veneer face layer; June 24, 2013.

A–570–970 and C–570–971: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Real Wood Floors, LLC; Engineered multi-layered wood flooring converted in the People's Republic of China from rough lumber owned by Real Wood Floors is within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders; June 20, 2013 (preliminary).

A–570–875: Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: R.W. Beckett Corporation; All of Beckett's pipe fittings except for those that are not made of cast iron (*i.e.*, three pipe fittings that are made of either aluminum or zinc alloy) are within the scope of the order because they are pipe fittings made of cast iron and, therefore, fit the physical description of the subject merchandise covered by the scope; May 14, 2013.

A-570-504: Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Rite-Lite Ltd.; Chanukah candles are within the scope of the antidumping duty order; April 30, 2013.

A–570–890: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Badger Basket Company; Badger Basket Company's infant changing table with one hamper and three baskets is outside the scope of the order because it is sufficiently distinguishable from dressers and other wooden bedroom furniture that is covered by the order; April 2, 2013.

Russian Federation

A–821–811: Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate From the Russian Federation

Requestor: KCKK Mineral Fertilizer Plant, OJSC, part of the Uralchem, OJSC group of companies; a fertilizer product identified as NS 30:7 is covered by the antidumping duty order on solid fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate from the Russian Federation; May 17, 2013 (preliminary).

Anti-Circumvention Ruling Made Between April 1, 2013, and June 30, 2013

People's Republic of China

A–570–894: Certain Tissue Paper Products From the People's Republic of China:

Requestor: Seaman Paper Company of Massachusetts, Inc.; exports to the United States of certain tissue paper products produced in India by A.R. Printing & Packaging (India) Pvt. Ltd. from PRC-origin jumbo rolls and/or cut sheets of tissue paper are circumventing the antidumping duty order; June 27, 2013 (final).

Interested parties are invited to comment on the completeness of this list of completed scope and anticircumvention inquiries. Any comments should be submitted to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., APO/Dockets Unit, Room 1870, Washington, DC 20230.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(o).

Dated: September 20, 2013.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013–23648 Filed 9–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

[Docket No. 130508459-3459-01]

Possible Models for the Administration and Support of Discipline-Specific Guidance Groups for Forensic Science

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of inquiry.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) invites interested parties to provide their perspectives on the appropriate model for NIST administration and support of discipline-specific Guidance Groups ("Guidance Groups") to be established pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology. NIST seeks to identify and understand approaches for the structure of effective and sustainable Guidance Groups. This Notice does not solicit comments or advice on the policies that should be addressed by the Guidance Groups. Responses to this Notice will serve only as input for NIST's consideration of a model to establish and administer the Guidance Groups.

DATES: Comments must be received by November 12, 2013, 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted by mail to the National Institute of Standards and Technology, c/o Susan Ballou, 100 Bureau Drive, Mailstop 8102, Gaithersburg, MD 20899. Electronic comments may be sent to susan.ballou@nist.gov. Electronic submissions may be in any of the following formats: HTML, ASCII, Word, rtf, or PDF. All email messages and comments received are a part of the public record and will be made available to the public generally without change on the NIST Law Enforcement Standards Office Web site; www.nist.gov/oles/forensics/. For this reason, comments should not include