

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The agency posts its issued staff guidance in the agency external Web page (<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/isd/>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of March 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William F. Burton,

Chief, Rulemaking and Guidance Development Branch, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2010-6200 Filed 3-19-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) Planning and Procedures Subcommittee Meeting; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Planning and Procedures Subcommittee will hold a meeting on April 7, 2010, at Room T2-B1, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of a portion that may be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2) and (6) to discuss organizational and personnel matters that relate solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of the ACRS, and information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

*Wednesday, April 7, 2010,
12 p.m.–1 p.m.*

The Subcommittee will discuss proposed ACRS activities and related matters. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Officer (DFO), Mr. Peter Wen, (Telephone: 301-415-2832, E-mail: Peter.Wen@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 2009, (74 FR 52829–52830).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC

Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs>. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained by contacting the DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with the DFO if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

Dated: March 15, 2010.

Antonio F. Dias,

Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2010-6186 Filed 3-19-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS)

Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Reliability and PRA; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Reliability and PRA Subcommittee will hold a meeting on April 7, 2010, at 11545 Rockville Pike, T2-B1, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The proposed agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, April 7, 2010, 8:30 a.m.–3:30 p.m.

The Subcommittee will update on staff's activities to address differences in various human reliability analysis models. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Peter Wen (Telephone 301-415-2832, E-mail: Peter.Wen@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO thirty minutes before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be e-mailed to the DFO one day before meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each

presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 2009, (74 FR 58268–58269).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs>. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

Dated: March 15, 2010.

Antonio F. Dias,

Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2010-6203 Filed 3-19-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2010-20; Order No. 423]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to transfer selected Post Office Box Service locations from the Market Dominant Product List to the Competitive Product List. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with that filing.

DATES: Comments are due: March 31, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs
- I. Introduction

On March 12, 2010, the Postal Service filed a request, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, to add a new product to the Competitive Product List. More specifically, it proposes to transfer a small segment of Post Office Box Service, currently classified as a market dominant product and part of the Special Services class, to the Competitive Product List.¹

The Postal Service supports its Request with conforming Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) language as Attachment A, a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32 as Attachment B, an application for non-public treatment of materials, and a redacted version of the spreadsheet used to calculate revenue as Attachments C and D, respectively. *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service separately filed a non-public version of the spreadsheet.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Nan McKenzie, Manager, Special Services, asserts that the transfer of Post Office Box Service in a few locations will not impair the ability of competitive products as a whole to comply with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(3). *Id.*, Attachment B, at 4. Thus, Ms. McKenzie contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of the proposed change. *Id.* Post Office Box Service offers secure mail delivery to a receptacle for a fee. The Postal Service states that it intends to establish the new product by moving Post Office Box Service from the Market Dominant Product List to the Competitive Product List in a small number of locations where competitive alternatives exist. Request at 1. The Postal Service describes its initial proposal as modest, involving “a few box sections where competitive conditions can be already demonstrated.” *Id.* It contends because of the limited number of boxes under consideration, the initial proposal “does not require detailed examination of costing and other issues.” *Id.* The Postal Service states that in the event a more substantial transfer of box sections is proposed, costing and other issues can be addressed in more detail. *Id.* It asserts that all of the box sections subject to transfer are in fee group 1 areas, and the proposed transfer of service amounts to less than 0.5 percent

of the market dominant Post Office Box product’s revenue. *Id.* at 2–3. The Postal Service notes that it is undertaking a comprehensive evaluation of all Post Office Box locations and may propose additional transfers if justified. *Id.* at 2.

The Postal Service contends that a Governors’ Decision is not required for this request because it does not propose any changes in the parameters of Post Office Box Service, the proposed MCS language is only modified to identify box locations for the competitive product, the transfer includes only fee group 1 prices, and excludes provisions applicable to Group E boxes which are the only method of delivery for certain customers.²

Additionally, the Postal Service advances reasons for the proposed changes to the Post Office Box Service product in conformity with 39 CFR 3020.31, which include: (1) It is not a special classification pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(10) for market dominant products; (2) upon transfer to the Competitive Product List, it will not be a product not of general applicability in accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) for competitive products; and (3) it is not a nonpostal product. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service contends that “transferring a small portion of Post Office box service is appropriate at this time, and is consistent with the standards of section 3642.” *Id.* In its Request, the Postal Service maintains that the supporting financial information, including the calculation of revenue for the ZIP Code locations subject to transfer, should remain under seal. *Id.*, Attachment C.

The Postal Service states it will concurrently file a notice explaining these changes in the **Federal Register**. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service urges the Commission to approve the Request. *Id.* at 3.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2010–20 for consideration of matters identified in the Postal Service’s Request.

The Commission appoints James Callow and Jeremy Simmons to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

Comments. Pursuant to section 3020.33, interested persons may submit

² The Postal Service notes that the MCS language it offers is based on the current market dominant Post Office Box language with changes necessary to identify the competitive product. It also states that the Group E boxes in the affected ZIP Code locations that are not being included in the transfer are still a part of the Post Office Box Service under evaluation, and Group E boxes may be addressed in the future.

comments on whether the planned transfer is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, subpart B. Comments are due no later than March 31, 2010. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2010–20 for consideration of the matters raised in this docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James Callow and Jeremy Simmons are appointed to serve as officers of the Commission (Public Representatives) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than March 31, 2010.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–6170 Filed 3–19–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–S

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension:

Notice of Exempt Preliminary Roll-Up Communication, OMB Control No. 3235–0452, SEC File No. 270–396

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension approval.

A Notice of Exempt Preliminary Roll-Up Communication (“Notice”) (17 CFR 240.14a–104) provides information regarding ownership interest and any potential conflicts of interest to be included in statements submitted by or on behalf of a person pursuant to Exchange Act Rule (17 CFR 240.14a–2(b)(4)) and Exchange Act Rule (17 CFR

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service, March 12, 2010 (Request); *see also* Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing of USPS-MC2010–20/NP1, March 12, 2010.