

assigned duties. The Commission believes that the proposed clarifications to ICC's rules would improve transparency and readability by avoiding unnecessary repetition and duplication in the Treasury Policy, which could help avoid confusion and potential future inconsistencies between policies. The Commission therefore believes that, by unifying and expanding the detail in the CRMF for the collateral assets risk management methodology in the CRMF, the proposed rule change would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹¹

B. Consistency With Rule 17Ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v)

Rules 17Ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v)¹² require ICC to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for governance arrangements that are clear and transparent and specify clear and direct lines of responsibility. As discussed above, the proposed changes strengthen the governance procedures related to ICC's collateral assets risk management approach by memorializing associated governance processes and procedures in the CRMF. The CRMF details governance procedures associated with haircut factor updates, implementation, and review, including the responsible ICC personnel, department, group, or committee. The Commission therefore believes the proposed rule change should help ensure that ICC maintains policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to provide for clear and transparent governance arrangements and specify clear and direct lines of responsibility, consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v).¹³

C. Consistency With Rule 17Ad-22(e)(5)

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(5)¹⁴ requires ICC to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to limit the assets it accepts as collateral to those with low credit, liquidity, and market risks, and set and enforce appropriately conservative haircuts and concentration limits if the covered clearing agency requires collateral to manage its or its participants' credit exposure; and require a review of the sufficiency of its collateral haircuts and concentration limits to be performed not less than annually. ICC's proposed changes

would not change which assets it accepts as collateral. In addition to ICC's existing collateral requirements, the CRMF would provide a framework for setting and enforcing collateral haircuts. The Commission believes the additional procedures defined in Section III of the CRMF would help ensure that ICC establishes, reviews, and updates haircuts within defined intervals, and more frequently if deemed necessary. As described above, collateral haircut factor estimations are executed daily, and the ICC Risk Department reviews the results and determines at least monthly whether it will make any updates to collateral haircuts. Haircut factors can be updated more frequently at the discretion of the CRO or designee. The Commission therefore finds the proposed rule change is consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(5).¹⁵

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act, and in particular, with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act¹⁶ and Rules 17Ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v) and 17Ad-22(e)(5) thereunder.¹⁷

It is therefore ordered pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act¹⁸ that the proposed rule change (SR-ICC-2022-013), be, and hereby is, approved.¹⁹

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁰

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-28195 Filed 12-27-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-96564; File No. SR-MRX-2022-28]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq MRX, LLC; Notice of Withdrawal of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Options 7, Section 6 To Add Port Fees

December 21, 2022.

On December 8, 2022, Nasdaq MRX, LLC ("MRX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹⁷ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(2)(i), (e)(2)(v), and (e)(5).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹⁹ In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

²⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to assess port fees.

On December 16, 2022, MRX withdrew the proposed rule change (SR-MRX-2022-28).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-28200 Filed 12-27-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-96563; File No. SR-MRX-2022-29]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq MRX, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend MRX Options 7, Section 6

December 21, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on December 16, 2022, Nasdaq MRX, LLC ("MRX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend MRX's Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 6.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/mrx/rules>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹² 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v).

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(5).

the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

MRX proposes to amend its Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 6, Ports and Other Services, to assess port fees, which were not assessed until this year. Prior to this year, MRX did not assess its Members any port fees. MRX launched its options market in 2016³ and Members did not pay any port fees until 2022.

Newly-opened exchanges often charge no fees for certain services, such as ports, in order to attract order flow to an exchange, and later amend their fees to charge for those services.⁴ The proposed port fees within Options 7, Section 6, Ports and Other Services, are described below.

The Exchange proposes to amend fees for the following ports within Options 7, Section 6: (1) FIX;⁵ (2) SQF;⁶ (3) SQF

Purge;⁷ (4) OTTO;⁸ (5) CTI;⁹ (6) FIX DROP;¹⁰ and Disaster Recovery Ports.¹¹ Currently, no fees are being assessed for these ports.

The Exchange proposes to assess no fee for the first FIX Port obtained by an

halts and resumes); (4) execution messages; (5) quote messages; (6) Immediate-or-Cancel Order messages; (7) risk protection triggers and purge notifications; (8) opening imbalance messages; (9) auction notifications; and (10) auction responses. The SQF Purge Interface only receives and notifies of purge requests from the Market Maker. Market Makers may only enter interest into SQF in their assigned options series. See Supplementary Material .03(c) to Options 3, Section 7.

⁷ SQF Purge is a specific port for the SQF interface that only receives and notifies of purge requests from the Market Maker. Dedicated SQF Purge Ports enable Market Makers to seamlessly manage their ability to remove their quotes in a swift manner. The SQF Purge Port is designed to assist Market Makers in the management of, and risk control over, their quotes. Market Makers may utilize a purge port to reduce uncertainty and to manage risk by purging all quotes in their assigned options series. Of note, Market Makers may only enter interest into SQF in their assigned options series. Additionally, the SQF Purge Port may be utilized by a Market Maker in the event that the Member has a system issue and determines to purge its quotes from the order book.

⁸ "Ouch to Trade Options" or "OTTO" is an interface that allows Members and their Sponsored Customers to connect, send, and receive messages related to orders, auction orders, and auction responses to the Exchange. Features include the following: (1) options symbol directory messages (e.g., underlying and complex instruments); (2) system event messages (e.g., start of trading hours messages and start of opening); (3) trading action messages (e.g., halts and resumes); (4) execution messages; (5) order messages; (6) risk protection triggers and cancel notifications; (7) auction notifications; (8) auction responses; and (9) post trade allocation messages. See Supplementary Material .03(b) to Options 3, Section 7. Unlike FIX, which offers routing capability, OTTO does not permit routing.

⁹ Clearing Trade Interface ("CTI") is a real-time cleared trade update message that is sent to a Member after an execution has occurred and contains trade details specific to that Member. The information includes, among other things, the following: (i) The Clearing Member Trade Agreement ("CMTA") or The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") number; (ii) badge or mnemonic; (iii) account number; (iv) information which identifies the transaction type (e.g., auction type) for billing purposes; and (v) market participant capacity. See Options 3, Section 23(b)(1). CTI Ports are not required for an MRX Member to meet its regulatory obligations. Members receive free daily reports listing trade executions from the Exchange.

¹⁰ FIX DROP is a real-time order and execution update message that is sent to a Member after an order been received/modified or an execution has occurred and contains trade details specific to that Member. The information includes, among other things, the following: (i) executions; (ii) cancellations; (iii) modifications to an existing order; and (iv) busts or post-trade corrections. See Options 3, Section 23(b)(3). FIX DROP Ports are not required for an MRX Member to meet its regulatory obligations. Members receive free daily reports listing open orders and trade executions from the Exchange.

¹¹ Disaster Recovery ports provide connectivity to the Exchange's disaster recovery data center, to be utilized in the event the Exchange should failover during a trading day.

Electronic Access Member¹² or the first SQF Port obtained by a Market Maker.¹³ The Exchange proposes to assess a FIX Port Fee of \$650 per port, per month, per account number¹⁴ for each subsequent port beyond the first port. The Exchange proposes to assess an SQF Port Fee of \$1,250 per port, per month for each subsequent port beyond the first port.¹⁵ The Exchange proposes to assess an SQF Purge Port Fee of \$1,250 per port, per month. The Exchange proposes to assess an OTTO Port Fee of \$650 per port, per month, per account number. The Exchange proposes to assess a CTI Port Fee and a FIX Drop Port Fee of \$650 per port, per month.

The Exchange proposes to assess no fee for the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port obtained by an Electronic Access Member¹⁶ or the first SQF Disaster

¹² The first FIX Port would be provided to each Electronic Access Member. The term "Electronic Access Member" or "EAM" means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with EAM Rights. See General 1, Section 1(a)(6). Also, the first SQF Port would be provided to each Market Maker. The term "Market Makers" refers to "Competitive Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers" collectively. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(21). The term "Competitive Market Maker" means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with CMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(12). The term "Primary Market Maker" means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with PMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(35).

¹³ The first SQF Port would be provided to each Market Maker. The term "Market Makers" refers to "Competitive Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers" collectively. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(21). The term "Competitive Market Maker" means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with CMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(12). The term "Primary Market Maker" means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with PMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(35).

¹⁴ An "account number" shall mean a number assigned to a Member. Members may have more than one account number. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(1). Account numbers are free on MRX.

¹⁵ SQF's Port Fees are assessed a higher dollar fee as compared to FIX and OTTO ports (\$1,250 vs. \$650) because the Exchange has to maintain options assignments within SQF and manage quoting traffic. Market Makers may utilize SQF Ports in their assigned options series. Market Maker badges are assigned to specific SQF ports to manage the option series in which a Market Maker may quote. Additionally, because of quoting obligations provided for within Options 2, Section 5, Market Makers are required to provide liquidity in their assigned options series which generates quote traffic. The Exchange notes because of the higher fee, SQF ports are billed per port, per month while FIX and OTTO ports are billed per port, per month, per account number. Members may have more than one account number.

¹⁶ The first FIX Port would be provided to each Electronic Access Member. The term "Electronic Access Member" or "EAM" means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with EAM Rights. See General 1, Section 1(a)(6). Also, the first SQF Port would be provided to each Market Maker. The term "Market Makers" refers to

Continued

³ The Exchange initially filed the proposed pricing changes on May 2, 2022 (SR-MRX-2022-04) instituting fees for membership, ports and market data. On June 29, 2022, the Exchange withdrew that filing, and submitted separate filings for membership, ports and market data. SR-MRX-2022-06 replaced the port fees set forth in SR-MRX-2022-04. On July 1, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-06 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-09. On August 25, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-09 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-12. On October 11, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-12 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-20. On December 8, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-20 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-28. The instant filing replaces SR-MRX-2022-28 which was withdrawn on December 16, 2022.

⁴ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 90076 (October 2, 2020), 85 FR 63620 (October 8, 2020) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Adopt the Initial Fee Schedule and Other Fees for MEMX LLC).

⁵ "Financial Information eXchange" or "FIX" is an interface that allows Members and their Sponsored Customers to connect, send, and receive messages related to orders and auction orders to the Exchange. Features include the following: (1) execution messages; (2) order messages; (3) risk protection triggers and cancel notifications; and (4) post trade allocation messages. See Supplementary Material .03(a) to Options 3, Section 7.

⁶ "Specialized Quote Feed" or "SQF" is an interface that allows Market Makers to connect, send, and receive messages related to quotes, Immediate-or-Cancel Orders, and auction responses to the Exchange. Features include the following: (1) options symbol directory messages (e.g., underlying and complex instruments); (2) system event messages (e.g., start of trading hours messages and start of opening); (3) trading action messages (e.g.,

Recovery Port obtained by a Market Maker.¹⁷ The Exchange proposes to assess each additional FIX Disaster Recovery Port and each additional SQF Disaster Recovery Port a fee of \$50 per port, per month, per account number. Additionally, the Exchange proposes to assess a Disaster Recovery Fee for SQF Purge and OTTO Ports of \$50 per port, per month, per account number. Finally, the Exchange proposes to assess a Disaster Recovery Fee for CTI Ports and FIX DROP Ports of \$50 per port, per month.

The OTTO Port, CTI Port, FIX Port, FIX Drop Port and all Disaster Recovery Ports¹⁸ are available to all Electronic Access Members, and will be subject to a monthly cap of \$7,500.

The SQF Port and the SQF Purge Port are available to all Market Makers, and will be subject to a monthly cap of \$17,500.¹⁹

The Exchange is not amending the Nasdaq MRX Depth of Market, Nasdaq MRX Order Feed, Nasdaq MRX Top Quote Feed, Nasdaq MRX Trades Feed, or Nasdaq MRX Spread Feed Ports; all of these aforementioned ports will continue to be assessed no fees. Additionally, as is the case today, the Disaster Recovery Ports for the Nasdaq MRX Depth of Market, Nasdaq MRX Order Feed, Nasdaq MRX Top Quote Feed, Nasdaq MRX Trades Feed and Nasdaq MRX Spread Feed Ports will not be assessed a fee.

Order and Quote Entry Protocols

Only one FIX order protocol is required for an MRX Member to submit orders into MRX and to meet its regulatory requirements.²⁰ The

“Competitive Market Makers” and “Primary Market Makers” collectively. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(21). The term “Competitive Market Maker” means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with CMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(12). The term “Primary Market Maker” means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with PMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(35).

¹⁷ The first SQF Port would be provided to each Market Maker. The term “Market Makers” refers to “Competitive Market Makers” and “Primary Market Makers” collectively. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(21). The term “Competitive Market Maker” means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with CMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(12). The term “Primary Market Maker” means a Member that is approved to exercise trading privileges associated with PMM Rights. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(35).

¹⁸ This includes FIX, SQF, SQF Purge, OTTO, CTI and FIX Drop Disaster Recovery Ports.

¹⁹ Only Market Makers may quote on MRX. The Exchange is proposing non-substantive technical amendments to add commas within the “Production” column of the proposed rule text to separate terms.

²⁰ MRX Members have trade-through requirements under Regulation NMS as well as broker-dealers’ best execution obligations.

Exchange will provide each Electronic Access Member²¹ the first FIX Port at no cost to submit orders into MRX. Only one account number is necessary to transact an options business on MRX and account numbers are available to Members at no cost.

Only one SQF quote protocol is required for an MRX Market Maker to submit quotes into MRX and to meet its regulatory requirements.²² The Exchange will provide each Market Maker the first SQF Port at no cost to submit quotes into MRX. A quoting protocol, such as SQF, is only required to the extent an MRX Member has been appointed as a Market Maker in an options series pursuant to Options 2, Section 1.

Only MRX Members may utilize ports on MRX. Any market participant that sends orders to a Member would not need to utilize a port. The Member can send all orders, proprietary and agency, through one port to MRX. Members may elect to obtain multiple account numbers to organize their business, however only one account number and one port for orders and one port for quotes is necessary for a Member to trade on MRX. All other ports offered by MRX are not required for an MRX Member to meet its regulatory obligations.

MRX also offers an OTTO protocol.²³ MRX Members utilizing the first FIX Port offered at no cost do not need to purchase an OTTO Port to meet their regulatory obligations.

Further, while only one FIX protocol is necessary to submit orders into MRX, Members may choose to purchase a greater number of order entry ports, depending on that Member’s business model.²⁴ To the extent that Electronic Access Members chose to utilize more than one FIX Port, the Electronic Access Member would be assessed \$650 per port, per month, per account number for each subsequent port beyond the first port. To the extent that Market Makers chose to utilize more than one SQF Port, the Market Maker would be assessed \$1,250 per port, per month for each subsequent port beyond the first port. Additionally, to the extent a Member expended more than \$7,500 for FIX

²¹ A Market Maker would receive both a FIX Port and an SQF Port.

²² MRX Market Makers have intra-day quoting requirements. See Options 2, Section 5(e). Additionally, PMMs must submit a Valid Width Quote each day to open their assigned options series. See Options 3, Section 8(c)(1) and 8(c)(3).

²³ See note 8, *supra*.

²⁴ For example, a Member may desire to utilize multiple FIX or OTTO Ports for accounting purposes, to measure performance, for regulatory reasons or other determinations that are specific to that Member.

Ports or more than \$17,500 for SQF Ports, the Exchange would not charge an MRX Member for additional FIX or SQF Ports, respectively, beyond the cap.

Other Protocols

The Exchange’s proposal to offer an SQF Purge Port²⁵ for \$1,250 per port, per month is not required for an MRX Member to meet its regulatory obligations.

Disaster Recovery Ports

With respect to Disaster Recovery Ports, the Exchange proposes to assess no fee for the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port obtained by an Electronic Access Member or the first SQF Disaster Recovery Port obtained by a Market Maker. The Exchange proposes to assess no fees for these ports to provide Members with continuous access to MRX in the event of a failover at no cost. Electronic Access Members only require one FIX Disaster Recovery Port to submit orders in the event of a failover. Market Makers only require one SQF Disaster Recovery Port to submit quotes in the event of a failover. Electronic Access Members may elect to purchase additional FIX Disaster Recovery Ports for \$50 per port, per month, per account number. Market Makers may elect to purchase additional SQF Disaster Recovery Ports for \$50 per port, per month, per account number. The additional FIX and SQF Disaster Recovery Ports are not necessary to connect to the Exchange in the event of a failover because the Exchange has provided Members with a FIX Disaster Recovery Port and an SQF Disaster Recovery Port at no cost. Additional FIX and SQF Disaster Recovery Ports are not necessary for an MRX Member to meet its regulatory obligations.²⁶

The Exchange’s proposal to offer Disaster Recovery Ports for SQF Purge Ports and OTTO Ports for \$50 per port, per month, per account number and Disaster Recovery Ports for CTI Ports and FIX DROP Ports for \$50 per port, per month is not required for an MRX Member to meet its regulatory obligations. The proposed Disaster Recovery Port fees are intended to encourage Members to be efficient when purchasing Disaster Recovery Ports.

Finally, in the event that an MRX Member elects to subscribe to multiple ports, the Exchange offers a monthly cap beyond which a Member would be assessed no additional port fees in a given month. As noted above, the SQF Port and the SQF Purge Port are subject to a monthly cap of \$17,500 and the

²⁵ See note 7, *supra*.

²⁶ See General 2, Section 12(b).

OTTO Port, CTI Port, FIX Port, FIX Drop Port and all Disaster Recovery Ports are subject to a monthly cap of \$7,500.

These different protocols are not all necessary to conduct business on MRX; a Member may choose among protocols based on their business workflow. The Exchange's proposal to offer the first FIX and SQF Port at no cost as well as the first FIX and SQF Disaster Recovery Ports at no cost would allow MRX Members to submit orders and quotes into MRX at no cost while meeting their regulatory obligations.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,²⁷ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,²⁸ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

MRX proposes to amend its Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 6, Ports and Other Services, to assess port fees, which were not assessed until this year. Prior to this year, MRX did not assess its Members any port fees. MRX launched its options market in 2016²⁹ and Members did not pay any port fees until 2022. Of the 16 operating options exchanges, MRX has the smallest market share at 1.37% as of November 2022.

The Exchange notes that, as of May 2, 2022, one MRX Member, who was also a Market Maker, cancelled all of their ports (1 SQF Port and 1 OTTO Port) to avoid being assessed any MRX port fees.³⁰ As of July 1, 2022, the Exchange did not assess MRX Members for their first SQF Port or FIX Port. Further, in October 2022, an additional MRX

Member, who is also a Market Maker, cancelled 3 SQF Ports.³¹

Proposed Port Fees Are Reasonable, Equitable and Not Unfairly Discriminatory

Only one FIX order protocol is required for an MRX Member to submit orders into MRX and to meet its regulatory requirements³² at no cost while meeting its regulatory requirements. The Exchange will provide each Electronic Access Member the first FIX Port at no cost to submit orders into MRX. Only one account number is necessary to transact an options business on MRX and account numbers are available to Members at no cost.

Only one SQF quote protocol is required for an MRX Market Maker to submit quotes into MRX and to meet its regulatory requirements³³ at no cost while meeting its regulatory requirements. The Exchange will provide each Market Maker the first SQF Port at no cost to submit quotes into MRX. A quoting protocol, such as SQF, is only required to the extent an MRX Member has been appointed as a Market Maker in an options series pursuant to Options 2, Section 1.

The Exchange proposes to offer the first FIX and SQF Port at no cost in addition to the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port and the first SQF Disaster Recovery Port at no cost to meet its regulatory requirements. As noted above, Members may freely choose to rely on one or many ports, depending on their business model.

The Exchange's proposal is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as MRX is providing MRX Electronic Access Members the first FIX Port to submit orders and MRX Market Makers the first SQF Port to submit quotes to MRX, at no cost, in addition to providing the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port and the first SQF Disaster Recovery Port at no cost. These ports, which are offered at no cost, would allow an MRX Member to meet

its regulatory requirements. All other ports offered by MRX are not required for an MRX Member to meet its regulatory obligations. Therefore, for the foregoing reasons, it is reasonable to assess no fee for the first FIX Port obtained by an Electronic Access Member or the first SQF Port obtained by a Market Maker as an MRX Member is able to meet its regulatory requirements with these ports.

Further it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess no fee for the first FIX Port to Electronic Access Members as all Electronic Access Members would be entitled to the first FIX Port at no cost. Also, it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess no fee for the first SQF Port to Market Makers as all Market Makers would be entitled to the first SQF Port at no cost. With this proposal, MRX Members may organize their business in such a way as to submit orders and/or quotes continuously to MRX at no cost.

The Exchange's proposal to assess Members \$650 per port, per month, per account number for FIX Ports beyond the first port and \$1,250 per port, per month for SQF Ports beyond the first port is reasonable because these ports are not required for a member to meet its regulatory requirements. Members only require one FIX Port to submit orders to MRX and one SQF Port to submit quotes to MRX. Members electing to subscribe to more than one FIX or SQF Port are choosing the additional ports to accommodate their business model. Additionally, to the extent a Member expended more than \$7,500 for FIX Ports or more than \$17,500 for SQF Ports, the Exchange would not charge an MRX Member for additional FIX or SQF Ports beyond the cap. The fees for the proposed additional FIX and SQF Ports are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because any Member may elect to subscribe to additional ports. Electronic Access Members would be subject to the same fees for FIX Ports and Market Makers would be subject to the same fees for SQF Ports. Unlike other market participants, Market Makers are required to provide continuous two-sided quotes on a daily basis,³⁴ and are subject to various obligations associated with providing liquidity.³⁵ Also, as noted herein, account numbers are available on MRX at no cost.

The Exchange's proposal to assess \$650 per port, per month, per account number for an OTTO Port is reasonable because OTTO is not required for a

²⁷ See 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

²⁸ See 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

²⁹ The Exchange initially filed the proposed pricing changes on May 2, 2022 (SR-MRX-2022-04) instituting fees for membership, ports and market data. On June 29, 2022, the Exchange withdrew that filing, and submitted separate filings for membership, ports and market data. SR-MRX-2022-06 replaced the port fees set forth in SR-MRX-2022-04. On July 1, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-06 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-09. On August 25, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-09 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-12. On October 11, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-12 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-20. On December 8, 2022, SR-MRX-2022-20 was withdrawn and replaced with SR-MRX-2022-28. The instant filing replaces SR-MRX-2022-28 which was withdrawn on December 16, 2022.

³⁰ MRX originally filed to assess a fee for all FIX Ports.

³¹ This Member informed the Exchange that they elected to utilize less ports in response to the current port pricing. This Member had a total of 8 SQF Ports at the time they instructed MRX to cancel 3 of those ports.

³² MRX Members have trade-through requirements under Regulation NMS as well as broker-dealers' best execution obligations. See Rule 611 of Regulation NMS; 17 CFR 242.611 and FINRA Rule 5310.

³³ MRX Members have trade-through requirements under Regulation NMS as well as broker-dealers' best execution obligations. MRX Market Makers have intra-day quoting requirements. See Options 2, Section 5(e). PMMs must submit a Valid Width Quote each day to open their assigned options series. See Options 3, Section 8(c)(1) and 8(c)(3).

³⁴ See MRX Options 2, Section 5.

³⁵ See MRX Options 2, Section 4.

member to meet its regulatory requirements. The Exchange is offering the first FIX Port at no cost to submit orders to MRX. In addition to the FIX Port, all Members may elect to purchase OTTO to submit orders to MRX. MRX Members utilizing the FIX Port, which is offered at no cost, do not need to utilize OTTO.

The Exchange's proposal to offer an SQF Purge Port for \$1,250 per port, per month is reasonable because this port is not required for a member to meet its regulatory requirements. The SQF Purge Port is designed to assist Market Makers in the management of, and risk control over, their quotes. Market Makers may utilize a purge port to reduce uncertainty and to manage risk by purging all quotes in their assigned options series. The proposed SQF Purge Port is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because any Market Maker may elect to purchase an SQF Purge Port and would be subject to the same fee.

The Exchange's proposal to assess \$650 per port, per month for CTI Ports and FIX DROP Ports is reasonable because these ports are not required for a member to meet its regulatory requirements. The proposed CTI and FIX DROP Ports are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because any Member may elect to purchase an additional CTI Port or FIX DROP Port and would be subject to the same fee.

The Exchange's proposal to assess no fee for the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port or the first SQF Disaster Recovery Port is reasonable because it will provide Members with continuous access to MRX in the event of a failover, at no cost and allow MRX Members to meet their regulatory obligations. Further it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess no fee for the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port to Electronic Access Members as all Electronic Access Members would be entitled to the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port at no cost. Also, it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess no fee for the first SQF Disaster Recovery Port to Market Makers as all Market Makers would be entitled to the first SQF Disaster Recovery Port at no cost.

The Exchange's proposal to assess Members \$50 per port, per month, per account number for additional FIX Disaster Recovery Ports beyond the first port offered at no cost and \$50 per port, per month, per account number for additional SQF Disaster Recovery Ports beyond the first port at no cost is reasonable because these ports allow MRX Members to meet their regulatory obligations. Members only require one

FIX Disaster Recovery Port to submit orders to MRX in the event of a failover and one SQF Disaster Recovery Port to submit quotes to MRX in the event of a failover. Additionally, to the extent a Member expended more than \$7,500 for Disaster Recovery Ports, the Exchange would not charge an MRX Member for additional Disaster Recovery Ports beyond the cap. The fees for the proposed additional FIX and SQF Disaster Recovery Ports are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because any Member may elect additional ports and would be subject to the same fees.

The Exchange's proposal to offer Disaster Recovery Ports for SQF Purge Ports, and OTTO Ports at \$50 per port, per month, per account number and CTI Ports, and FIX DROP Ports for \$50 per port, per month is reasonable because these ports allow MRX Members to meet their regulatory obligations. The proposed Disaster Recovery Port fees are intended to encourage Members to be efficient when purchasing Disaster Recovery Ports. The proposed Disaster Recovery Ports are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because any Member may elect to purchase an additional Disaster Recovery Port and would be subject to the same fee, depending on the port.

Finally, in the event that an MRX Member elects to subscribe to multiple ports, the Exchange offers a monthly cap beyond which a Member would be assessed no additional fees for month. As noted above, the SQF Port and the SQF Purge Port are subject to a monthly cap of \$17,500 and the OTTO Port, CTI Port, FIX Port, FIX Drop Port and all Disaster Recovery Ports are subject to a monthly cap of \$7,500. These caps are reasonable because they allow Members to limit their fees beyond a certain level if they elect to purchase multiple ports in a given month. The caps are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because any Member will be subject to the cap, provided they exceeded the appropriate dollar amount in a given month.

The proposed port fees are similar to fees assessed today by GEMX, except that GEMX does not offer the first FIX and SQF Port at no cost, nor does GEMX offer the first FIX Disaster Recovery Port or the first SQF Disaster Recovery Port at no cost.³⁶ By way of comparison, ISE assessed fees for ports³⁷ in 2019 while

offering the same suite of functionality as MRX, with a limited exception.³⁸ Cboe³⁹ port fees are within the range of the proposed fees. While Cboe does not offer the first order and quote entry port at no cost or Disaster Recovery Ports at no cost, it tiers its BOE and FIX Logical ports and each subsequent port fee is lower than MRX's port fees. MRX's FIX DROP Port Fee is lower than Cboe's DROP Logical Port Fee.⁴⁰ Cboe does not cap its ports as MRX has proposed herein. BOX port fees⁴¹ are within the range of the proposed fees. While BOX does not offer the first order and quote entry port at no cost or Disaster Recovery Ports at no cost, it tiers its FIX and SAIL port fees and each subsequent port fee is lower than MRX's port fees, although the fees are not capped as proposed herein. MRX's FIX DROP Port Fee is higher than BOX's Drop Copy Port Fee.⁴² MIAx port fees⁴³ are within the range of the proposed fees. MRX MEI Port users are allocated two (2) Full Service MEI Ports and two (2) Limited Service MEI Ports per matching engine

FIX DROP Port Fee of \$500 per port, per month, per mnemonic. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82568 (January 23, 2018), 83 FR 4086 (January 29, 2018) (SR-ISE-2018-07) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Assess Fees for OTTO Port, CTI Port, FIX Port, FIX Drop Port and Disaster Recovery Port Connectivity). Of note, ISE assessed port fees prior to 2019 as well.

³⁸ *See* note 41, *supra*.

³⁹ Cboe assesses a fee of \$750 per port up to 5 BOE/FIX Logical Ports, and \$800 per port for over 5 BOE/FIX Logical Ports. *See* Cboe's Fees Schedule.

⁴⁰ Cboe assesses \$750 for Drop Logical Ports and \$850 for Purge Ports. *See* Cboe's Fees Schedule.

⁴¹ BOX assesses tiered FIX Port Fees as follows: \$500 per port per month for the first FIX Port, \$250 per port per month for FIX Ports 2–5 and \$150 per port per month for over 5 FIX Ports. BOX assesses \$1000 per month for all SAIL Ports for Market Making and \$500 per month per port up to 5 ports for order entry and \$150 per month for each additional port. *See* BOX's Fee Schedule.

⁴² BOX assesses Drop Copy Port Fees of \$500 per port per month for each month a Participant is credentialed to use a Drop Copy Port. Drop Copy Port Fees will be capped at \$2,000 per month. *See* BOX's Fee Schedule.

⁴³ MIAx tiers its FIX Port fees as follows: \$550 per month for the 1st FIX Port, \$350 per month per port for the FIX Ports 2 through 5 and \$150 per month for over 5 FIX Ports. MIAx tiers its MEI Port Fees and assesses fees per number of classes and as a percentage of National Average Daily Volume. MEI Port fees range from \$5,000 to \$20,500 per month. The applicable fee rate is the lesser of either the per class basis or percentage of total national average daily volume measurement. However, if the Market Maker's total monthly executed volume during the relevant month is less than 0.060% of the total monthly executed volume reported by The Options Clearing Corporation in the market maker account type for MIAx-listed option classes for that month, then the fee will be \$14,500 instead of the fee otherwise applicable. MIAx will assess monthly MEI Port Fees on Market Makers in each month the Member has been credentialed to use the MEI Port in the production environment and has been assigned to quote in at least one class. *See* MIAx's Fee Schedule.

³⁶ *See* GEMX Options 7, Section 6.C. (Ports and Other Services).

³⁷ Since 2019, ISE has assessed the following port fees: a FIX Port Fee of \$300 per port, per month, per mnemonic, an SQF Port Fee and SQF Purge Port Fee of \$1,100 per port, per month, an OTTO Port Fee of \$400 per port, per month, per mnemonic with a monthly cap of \$4,000, a CTI Port Fee and

to which they connect.⁴⁴ A MIA X Market Maker may request and be allocated two (2) Purge Ports per matching engine to which it connects via a Full Service MEI Port.⁴⁵ MIA X assesses a Real-Time Clearing Trade Drop Port Fee of \$0.0030 per executed contract side per month.⁴⁶ MIA X assesses a FIX Drop Copy Port fee of \$500 per month⁴⁷ which is lower than MRX's proposed fee. NYSE Arca port fees⁴⁸ are within the range of the proposed fees. For each order/quote entry port utilized, NYSE Arca Market Makers may utilize, free of charge, one port dedicated to quote cancellation or "quote takedown," which port(s) will not be included in the count of order/quote entry ports utilized.⁴⁹ NYSE ARCA assesses a DROP Copy Port fee of \$500 per port per month⁵⁰ which is lower than MRX's proposed fee.⁵¹

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any intermarket burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

⁴⁴ MEI Port Fees include MEI Ports at the Primary, Secondary and Disaster Recovery data centers. MIA X Market Makers may request additional Limited Service MEI Ports for which MIA X will assess MIA X Market Makers \$100 per month per additional Limited Service MEI Port for each engine. See MIA X's Fee Schedule.

⁴⁵ For each month in which the MIA X Market Maker has been credentialed to use Purge Ports in the production environment and has been assigned to quote in at least one class, the Exchange will assess the MIA X Market Maker a flat fee of \$1,500 per month, regardless of the number of Purge Ports allocated to the MIA X Market Maker. The MEI Port Fee for a Market Maker that trades solely in Proprietary Products is waived until December 31, 2022. See MIA X's Fee Schedule.

⁴⁶ See MIA X's Fee Schedule.

⁴⁷ See MIA X's Fee Schedule.

⁴⁸ NYSE Arca assesses a tiered order/quote entry port fee of \$450 for the first 40 ports and \$150 per port per month for the 41 ports or greater. For purpose of calculating the number of order/quote entry ports and quote takedown ports, NYSE ARCA aggregates the ports of affiliates. See NYSE Arca Options Fees and Charges.

⁴⁹ Any quote takedown port utilized by a NYSE Arca Market Maker that is in excess of the number of order/quote entry ports utilized will be counted and charged as an order/quote entry port. See NYSE Arca Options Fees and Charges.

⁵⁰ Only one fee per drop copy port shall apply, even if receiving drop copies from multiple order/quote entry ports and/or from NYSE Arca Equities). For the backup datacenter port, no fee shall apply if configured such that it is duplicative of another drop copy port of the same user. See NYSE Arca Options Fees and Charges.

⁵¹ NYSE ARCA capped fees for Order/Quote Entry Ports, Quote Takedown Ports, and Drop Copy Ports are based on the total number of such ports an OTP Holder or OTP Firm is billed for in the month preceding the beginning of the NYSE ARCA's migration to the Pillar platform, during the Pillar Migration.

The Exchange believes its proposal to offer the first FIX and SQF Ports for free, as well as the first Disaster Recovery version of these ports, permits MRX to set fees, similar to other options markets, while continuing to allow MRX Members to meet their regulatory obligations. MRX's offering would permit Electronic Access Members and Market Makers the ability to submit orders and quote to MRX at no cost. The remainder of the port offerings (additional FIX and SQF Ports, additional FIX and SQF Disaster Recovery Ports, SQF Purge Port, OTTO Port, CTI Port, FIX DROP Port and Disaster Recovery Ports for SQF Purge Ports, OTTO Ports, CTI Ports, and FIX DROP Ports) are not required for MRX Members to meet their regulatory obligations. The proposed fees do not impose an undue burden on competition because the Exchange would uniformly assess the port fees to all Members, as applicable, and would uniformly apply monthly caps.

Other markets have higher market share as compared to MRX (1.37%). The proposed port fees are similar to port fees assessed by other options markets as noted in this proposal as noted above.

With respect to the higher fees assessed for SQF Ports and SQF Purge Ports, the Exchange notes that only Market Makers may utilize these ports. Market Makers are required to provide continuous two-sided quotes on a daily basis,⁵² and are subject to various obligations associated with providing liquidity.⁵³ As a result of these quoting obligations, the SQF Port and SQF Purge Port are designed to handle higher throughput to permit Market Makers to bundle orders to meet their obligations. The technology to permit Market Makers to submit a greater number of quotes, in addition to the various risk protections⁵⁴ afforded to these market participants when quoting, accounts for the higher SQF Port and SQF Purge Port fees.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section

19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.⁵⁵ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-MRX-2022-29 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MRX-2022-29. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments

⁵² See MRX Options 2, Section 5.

⁵³ See MRX Options 2, Section 4.

⁵⁴ See MRX Options 3, Section 15(a)(3). Market Makers are offered risk protections to permit them to manage their risk more effectively.

⁵⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MRX-2022-29 and should be submitted on or before January 18, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵⁶

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-28199 Filed 12-27-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 05/05-0344]

Convergent Capital Partners IV, LP; Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Convergent Capital Partners IV, LP, 9855 West 78th Street, Suite 320, Eden Prairie, MN 55344, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (“the Act”), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under section 312 of the Act and 13 CFR 107.730, *Financings which Constitute Conflicts of Interest* of the Code of Federal Regulations. Convergent Capital Partners IV, LP, is seeking a written exemption from SBA for a proposed financing to Optimum Healthcare IT, LLC, 1300 Marsh Landing Parkway, Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250.

The financing is brought within the purview of 13 CFR 107.730(a)(4) of the Code of Federal Regulations because proceeds from the financing will discharge the obligation of Convergent Capital Partners III, LP, an Associate by virtue of Common Control as defined at 13 CFR 107.50.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on this transaction within fifteen days of the date of this publication to the Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business

Administration, 409 Third Street SW, Washington, DC 20416.

Bailey DeVries,

Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration.

[FR Doc. 2022-28212 Filed 12-27-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 04/04-0349]

New Canaan Funding Mezzanine VII SBIC, LP; Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that New Canaan Funding Mezzanine VII SBIC, LP, 305 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 204 Naples, FL 34102, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (“the Act”), in connection with the financing of a small concerns, has sought an exemption under section 312 of the Act and 13 CFR 107.730, *Financings which Constitute Conflicts of Interest* of the Code of Federal Regulations. New Canaan Funding Mezzanine VII SBIC, LP is proposing to provide financing to Safemark Inc., 200 W. Sand Lake Rd., Suite 800, Orlando, FL to support the company’s growth.

The proposed transaction is brought within the purview of 13 CFR 107.730 of the Code of Federal Regulations because New Canaan Funding Mezzanine V SBIC, LP and New Canaan Funding Mezzanine V, LP, Associates of New Canaan Funding Mezzanine VII SBIC, LP, by virtue of Common Control as defined at 13 CFR 107.50, hold investments in Safemark, Inc. which will be discharged. In addition, New Canaan Funding Mezzanine VII SBIC, LP and its Associates did not previously invest in Safemark, Inc. at the same time and on the same terms and conditions as the proposed financing to Safemark, Inc.

Therefore, the proposed transaction is considered self-deal pursuant to 13 CFR 107.730 and requires a regulatory exemption. Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on the transaction within fifteen days of the date of this publication to Associate Administrator for Investment, U.S. Small Business

Administration, 409 Third Street SW, Washington, DC 20416.

Bailey DeVries,

Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration.

[FR Doc. 2022-28213 Filed 12-27-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 11952]

U.S. Department of State Advisory Committee on Private International Law: Public Meeting on the Final Report of the Experts Group on Parentage/Surrogacy Project of the Hague Conference on Private International Law (HCCH).

The Department of State’s Advisory Committee on Private International Law (ACPIIL) will hold a virtual meeting to discuss the Hague Experts Group Final Report on Parentage/Surrogacy Project on Wednesday February 1, 2023. The meeting will be held in WebEx. The program is scheduled to run from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m.

The meeting will discuss the Final Report of the Experts’ Group regarding the feasibility of one or more private international law instruments on legal parentage. The Final Report has been made available on the HCCH website at <https://assets.hcch.net/docs/6d8eeb81-ef67-4b21-be42-f7261d0cfa52.pdf>. The Report will be considered by the Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP) in March 2023, at which CGAP is expected to decide on whether future work on the project should be pursued. This Report presents the HCCH Experts’ Group on Parentage/Surrogacy analysis and main conclusions on the feasibility of the core aspects of possible options for two separate binding legal instruments on legal parentage: one on legal parentage in general, and another on legal parentage established as a result of an international surrogacy arrangement (ISA) specifically. The purpose of the public meeting is to obtain the views of concerned stakeholders on the matters presented in the Report.

Members of the public may attend this virtual session and will be permitted to participate in the discussion. Virtual attendance is limited to 100 persons, so each member of the public that wishes to attend this session must provide: Name, contact information, and affiliation to pil@state.gov, not later than January 23, 2023. When you register, please indicate whether you require captioning. The

⁵⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).