

Toscelik received from the provision of the land parcel for LTAR.⁶ The resulting calculations have changed the countervailing duty rates calculated for Borusan, Toscelik, and the all others rate.

As explained above, on February 22, 2016, the CIT affirmed the Department's *Remand Redetermination*.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the

Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's February 22, 2016, final judgment affirming the *Remand Redetermination* constitutes a final decision of that court which is not in harmony with the *Final Determination*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly,

the Department will continue suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending expiration of the period of appeal or, if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Determination

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to the *Final Determination*, the Department amends its *Final Determination*. The Department finds that the following revised net countervailable subsidy rates exist:

Producer/exporter	Net subsidy rate (percent)
Borusan Istikbal Ticaret, Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi, Borusan Mannesmann Boru Yatirim Holding A.S., and Borusan Holding A.S.	2.39
Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S, Tosçelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Elektrik Enerjisi Toptan Satis Ith. Ihr. A.S., Tosyali Demir Celik San. A.S., and Tosyali Holding A.S.	* 0.95
All Others	2.39

* De minimis.

Because the revised countervailable subsidy rate for Toscelik is *de minimis*, there is now a negative countervailing duty determination for Toscelik. Accordingly, the Department will instruct United States Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue suspension of liquidation of Toscelik's subject merchandise, but set the cash deposit rate for Toscelik to zero pending a final and conclusive court decision.

For Borusan, the Department will instruct CBP to set the cash deposit rate to the rate listed above, again, pending a final and conclusive court decision.

In the *Final Determination*, in accordance with section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act, for companies not individually investigated, we applied an "all-others" rate of 9.21 percent. This rate was calculated as the average of the rates determined for Borusan and Toscelik (15.89 and 2.53, respectively).⁷ As noted above, Toscelik's amended countervailable subsidy rate is *de minimis*. Section 705(c)(5)(i) of the Act stipulates that the "all-others" rate should exclude zero and *de minimis* rates calculated for the companies individually investigated. Therefore, for purposes of this amended *Final Determination*, the Department will instruct CBP that the "all-others" cash deposit rate is to be amended to Borusan's revised calculated subsidy rate, 2.39 percent.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 705(c)(1)(B), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 4, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-05408 Filed 3-9-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 6, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2014-2015 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China.¹ The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015. This review covers one mandatory respondent, Linyi City Kangfa Foodstuff Drinkable Co., Ltd. (Kangfa). In the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that

Kangfa is not eligible for a separate rate and, therefore is part of the PRC-wide entity. The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. No parties commented. Accordingly, our final results remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 10, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 6, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, but no comments were received. Also, as explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, the Department has exercised its authority to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the final results is now March 11, 2016.²

Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

² See Memorandum to the File from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement &

⁶ *Id.* at 28.

⁷ See *Final Determination*, 79 FR at 41965.

¹ See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of*

Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Rescission in Part, 80 FR 68836 (November 6, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*), and the accompanying

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The certain preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species *Agaricus bisporus* and *Agaricus bitorquis*. "Certain Preserved Mushrooms" refers to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including, but not limited to, cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including, but not limited to, water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Certain preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are "brined" mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.³

Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including "refrigerated" or "quick blanched mushrooms;" (3) dried mushrooms; (4) frozen mushrooms; and (5) "marinated," "acidified," or "pickled" mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.

The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under subheadings: 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, 2003.10.0137, 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, 2003.10.0153, and 0711.51.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily determined that (1) the exporter/producer combination of Dezhou Kaihang Agricultural Science

Technology Co., Ltd. (Dezhou Kaihang)/Fujian Haishan Foods Co., Ltd. (Fengyu); (2) the exporter/producer combination of Fujian Haishan Foods Co., Ltd. (Fujian Haishan)/Zhangzhou Hongda Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd. (Hongda); (3) Guangxi Jisheng Foods, Inc. (Guangxi Jisheng), (4) Xiamen International Trade & Industrial Co., Ltd. (XITIC); and (5) Zhangzhou Gangchang Canned Foods Co., Ltd. (Gangchang) did not have any reviewable entries during the POR. In particular, we found that (1) Dezhou Kaihang/Fengyu, (2) Fujian Haishan/Hongda, (3) Guangxi Jisheng, (4) XITIC and (5) Gangchang all submitted timely certifications of no shipments, entries, or sales of subject merchandise during the POR and we did not receive any information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) indicating there were reviewable entries for those companies during the POR.

Consistent with the Department's assessment practice in non-market economy cases, we stated in the *Preliminary Results* that the Department would not rescind the review in these circumstances but, rather, would complete the review with respect to Dezhou Kaihang/Fengyu, Fujian Haishan/Hongda, Guangxi Jisheng, XITIC, and Gangchang and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.⁴ We did not receive any comments following our *Preliminary Results* with respect to this issue. As such, in these final results, we continue to determine that Dezhou Kaihang/Fengyu, Fujian Haishan/Hongda, Guangxi Jisheng, XITIC, and Gangchang had no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR.

Final Results of Review

In our *Preliminary Results*, we found that mandatory respondent Kangfa failed to establish its eligibility for a separate rate and preliminarily determined to treat Kangfa as part of the PRC-wide entity.⁵ We also found that the remaining 51 exporters subject to this review did not establish their eligibility for separate rate status and that they were, thus, part of the PRC-wide entity.

No parties commented on these *Preliminary Results*. Therefore, in these final results, we continue to determine that all 51 of these exporters are part of the PRC-wide entity. Each of these entities are listed in the attached Appendix. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity and the

Department no longer considers the PRC-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the PRC-wide entity, and the entity's rate is not subject to change in this review.⁶

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. The Department intends to instruct CBP to liquidate entries of subject merchandise from the exporters identified above as being part of the PRC-wide entity (including Kangfa) at the PRC-wide rate, i.e., 308.33 percent.

Pursuant to a refinement in the Department's practice, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (i.e., at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.⁷ As noted above, the Department determines that Dezhou Kaihang/Fengyu, Fujian Haishan/Hongda, Guangxi Jisheng, XITIC, and Gangchang did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. As a result, any suspended entries that entered under these exporters' case numbers will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters which are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but received a separate rate in a previous segment, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recently-completed period; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise

Compliance, "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Sniwstorm Jonas" dated January 27, 2016.

³ On June 19, 2000, the Department affirmed that "marinated," "acidified," or "pickled" mushrooms containing less than 0.5 percent acetic acid are within the scope of the antidumping duty order. See Recommendation Memorandum-Final Ruling of Request by Tak Fat, et al. for Exclusion of Certain Marinated, Acidified Mushrooms from the Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China," dated June 19, 2000. On February 9, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld this decision. See *Tak Fat v. United States*, 396 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005).

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 80 FR at 68837.

⁵ See *id.* at 68838.

⁶ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

⁷ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, 308.33 percent); and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied the non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 4, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Companies Included in the PRC Wide Entity

The PRC Entity includes the following 51 entities: (1) Agrogenra & Co., Ltd., (2) Ayecue (Liaocheng) Foodstuff Co., Ltd., (3) Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd., (4) Casia Global Logistics Co., Ltd., (5) Changzhou Chen Rong- Da Carpet Co., Ltd., (6) China National Cereals, Oils & Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp., (7) China Processed Food Import & Export Co., (8) DHL ISC (Hong Kong) Limited, (9) Dujiangyan Xingda Foodstuff Co., Ltd., (10) Fujian Blue Lake Foods Co., Ltd., (11) Fujian Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industrial Co., Ltd., (12) Fujian Pinghe Baofeng Canned Foods, (13) Fujian

Yuxing Fruits and Vegetables Foodstuffs Development Co., Ltd., (14) Fujian Zishan Group Co., Ltd., (15) Guangxi Eastwing Trading Co., Ltd., (16) Guangxi Hengyang Industrial & Commercial Dev., Ltd., (17) Guangxi Hengyong Industrial & Commercial Dev. Ltd., (18) Inter-Foods (Dongshan) Co., Ltd., (19) Jiangxi Cereal Oils Foodstuffs, (20) Joy Foods (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd., (21) Kangfa, (22) Longhai Guangfa Food Co., Ltd., (23) Primera Harvest (Xiangfan) Co., Ltd., (24) Shandong Jiufa Edible Fungus Corporation, Ltd., (25) Shandong Xinfu Agricultural Science Corporation Ltd., (26) Shandong Yinfeng Rare Fungus Corporation, Ltd., (27) Shenzhen Syntrans International Logistics Co., Ltd., (28) Sun Wave Trading Co., Ltd., (29) Sunrise Food Industry & Commerce, (30) Shouguang Sunrise Industry & Commerce Co., Ltd., (31) Thuy Duong Transport And Trading Service JSC, (32) Tianjin Fulida Supply Co., Ltd., (33) Xiamen Aukking Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd., (34) Xiamen Carre Food Co., Ltd., (35) Xiamen Choice Harvest Imp., (36) Xiamen Greenland Import & Export Co., Ltd., (37) Xiamen Gulong Import & Export Co., Ltd., (38) Xiamen Huamin Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd., (39) Xiamen Jiahua Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd., (40) Xiamen Longhuai Import & Export Co., Ltd., (41) Xiamen Longhuai Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd., (42) Xiamen Longstar Lighting Co., Ltd., (43) Xiamen Sungiven Import & Export Co., Ltd., (44) Zhangzhou Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industrial Co., Ltd., (45) Zhangzhou Long Mountain Foods Co., Ltd., (46) Zhangzhou Longhai Minhui Industry & Trade Co., Ltd., (47) Zhangzhou Tan Co., Ltd., (48) Zhangzhou Tongfa Foods Industry Co., Ltd., (49) Zhangzhou Yuxing Imp. & Exp. Trading Co., Ltd., (50) Zhejiang Iceman Food Co., Ltd., and (51) Zhejiang Iceman Group Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2016-05409 Filed 3-9-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Renewable Energy Trade Mission to Mexico; May 16–19, 2016

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, is amending the Notice published at 80 FR 76658 (December 10, 2015), regarding the executive-led Renewable Energy Trade Mission to Mexico, scheduled for May 16–19, 2016, to extend the date of the application deadline from March 4, 2016 to the new deadline of March 17, 2016. Applications received after March 17, 2016, will be considered only if space and scheduling constrains permit and participation fees must be paid by March 31, 2016.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Amendments to Revise the Dates.

Background

Due to the recent personnel changes, applications for this Mission will now be accepted through March 17, 2016 (and after that date if space remains and scheduling constraints permit). Interested U.S. companies and trade associations/organizations providing renewable energy equipment, technology, and services which have not already submitted an application are encouraged to do so.

The U.S. Department of Commerce will review applications and make selection decisions on a staggered basis. The applicants selected will be notified as soon as possible.

Contact Information

Ethel M. Azueta Glen, International Trade Specialist, Trade Missions, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, Tel: 202–482–5388, Fax: 202–482–9000, Ethel.Glen@trade.gov.

Frank Spector,

Director, Trade Missions Program.

[FR Doc. 2016-05411 Filed 3-9-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from India.¹ The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015. This review covers two producers or exporters of the subject merchandise: Ambica Steels Limited (Ambica), and Bhansali Bright Bars Pvt. Ltd. (Bhansali). We preliminarily find that Ambica and Bhansali have not made sales of the subject merchandise at prices below normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 10, 2016.

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 18202 (April 3, 2015).