List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 74

Animal diseases, Livestock, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Accordingly, we are revising 9 CFR part 74 to read as follows:

PART 74—PROHIBITION OF INTERSTATE MOVEMENT OF LAND TORTOISES

Sec.

74.1 General prohibition.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 111–113, 114a, 115, 117, 120, 122–126, 134b, 134f; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(d).

§74.1 General prohibition.

The interstate movement of leopard tortoise (Geochelone pardalis), African spurred tortoise (Geochelone sulcata), and Bell's hingeback tortoise (Kinixys belliana) is prohibited except when tortoises are accompanied by a health certificate signed by a Federal or accredited veterinarian stating that the tortoises have been examined by that veterinarian and found free of ticks.

Done in Washington, DC, this 17th day of July 2000.

Craig A. Reed,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 00–18566 Filed 7–20–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-210-AD; Amendment 39-11824; AD 2000-14-14]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; BFGoodrich Main Brake Assemblies as Installed on Airbus Model A319 and A320 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for

comments.

summary: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain BFGoodrich main brake assemblies as installed on Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes. This action requires repetitive inspections to determine the length of the wear indicator pins of the main brake assemblies of the main landing gear (MLG); follow-on inspections; and corrective actions, if necessary. This

amendment is prompted by reports from several operators that severe oxidation was found on the rotor disk assemblies of the main brake assemblies. This action is necessary to detect and correct thermal oxidation of the main brake assemblies, which could result in deterioration of the MLG brakes, and consequent reduced braking performance.

DATES: Effective August 7, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the **Federal Register** as of August 7, 2000.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before August 21, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000-NM-210-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9anm-iarcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2000-NM-210-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from BFGoodrich Aerospace Wheel & Brake Systems Division, P.O. Box 340, Troy, Ohio, 45373. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

November 24, 1999, the FAA issued AD 99–25–07, amendment 39–11450 (64 FR 68620, December 8, 1999), which is applicable to certain BFGoodrich main brake assemblies having part number (P/N) 2–1598 or P/N 2–1600 as installed on Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes. That AD requires a one-time

inspection of the wear indicator pins to determine the level of wear of the main brake assemblies of the main landing gear (MLG), and corrective actions, if necessary. That AD also requires modification of the main brake assemblies of the MLG to shorten the wear indicator pins, and change P/N 2–1598 to P/N 2–1598–1 or change P/N 2–1600 to P/N 2–1600–1. In addition, that AD requires incorporation of specified wear limits into the maintenance inspection program.

Since the issuance of AD 99-25-07, the FAA has received reports from several operators of severe oxidation on the carbon heat sinks used on BFGoodrich main brake assemblies, P/N 2-1598-1 and P/N 2-1600-1, installed on Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes. Those reports indicate that the accomplishment of AD 99-25-07 did not adequately address the problem of oxidation on the main brake assemblies in time to correct the identified unsafe condition. Investigation has revealed that deterioration of the BFGoodrich main brake assemblies was caused by thermal oxidation of the carbon material on the heat sinks used in the main brake assemblies, P/N 2-1598-1 and P/N 2-1600-1, due to exposure to elevated temperatures for prolonged periods of time.

Further investigation revealed that the oxidation inhibitor process used by BFGoodrich does not completely prevent oxidation of the carbon brake material. BFGoodrich advises that the carbon brakes, which are susceptible to this oxidation condition, are used only on Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes. Such oxidation first develops on the inner diameter of the rotor disk assemblies when the brake assembly is almost worn-to-limit. This condition, if not corrected, could result in deterioration of the MLG brakes, and consequent reduced braking performance.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

BFGoodrich has issued Service
Bulletins 2–1598–32–2 and 2–1600–32–3, both dated June 16, 2000, which
describe procedures for an initial
inspection to determine the length of
the wear indicator pins of the MLG
main brake assemblies and repetitive
inspections thereafter at certain
intervals. Procedures include follow-on
inspections if the length of either wear
indicator pin measures between 0.60
and 0.70 inches, or if the length of the
pin measures between 0.20 and 0.30
inches; and corrective actions, if
necessary. Follow-on inspections

include inspecting the rotor disks located in the center of the heat sinks of the main brake assemblies of the MLG to detect the level of oxidation on the brake assemblies. Corrective actions include replacement of the main brake assembly with a new assembly if any oxidation exceeding the limits specified in the applicable service bulletin is detected. Following such replacement, repetitive inspections of the wear indicator pins are continued.

FAA's Conclusions

These airplane models are manufactured in France and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement.

Explanation of Requirements of Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD is being issued to detect and correct thermal oxidation of the main brake assemblies, which could result in deterioration of the MLG brakes, and consequent reduced braking performance. This AD requires repetitive inspections to determine the length of the wear indicator pins of each main brake assembly of the MLG; follow-on inspections; and corrective actions, if necessary. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the applicable service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between AD and Service Information

Operators should note that the previously referenced BFGoodrich service bulletins recommend accomplishment of an initial inspection on all MLG brake assemblies to determine the length of the wear indicator pins "as soon as possible." However, the FAA finds that a definitive compliance time is necessary. Therefore, paragraph (a) of this AD requires the accomplishment of the repetitive inspections within 10 days after the effective date of this AD, or within 500 flight cycles after replacement of any brake assembly, whichever occurs later. The FAA considers that such a compliance time represents an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Operators should note that the previously referenced service bulletins

include procedures for a one-time inspection on all brakes when any wear indicator pin measures between 0.60 to 0.70 inches, and another such inspection when any pin measures between 0.20 to 0.30 inches. However, the FAA has determined that it is necessary to change those wear indicator pin measurements in this AD to ensure that all brakes are inspected at appropriate intervals. Paragrapĥ (b) of this AD requires a one-time inspection of the brake if either wear indicator pin measures between 0.31 and 0.70 inches, and paragraph (c) of this AD requires an inspection of the brake if either wear indicator pin measures 0.30 inches or less. In the event that the special detailed inspection required by paragraph (c) of this AD is accomplished prior to paragraph (b) of this AD, the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD is deemed unnecessary.

Interim Action

This is considered to be interim action. The brake manufacturer has advised that it currently is developing a modification that will positively address the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. Once this modification is developed, approved, and available, the FAA may consider additional rulemaking.

Determination of Rule's Effective Date

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether

additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the AD is being requested.
- Include justification (*e.g.*, reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2000–NM–210–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2000–14–14 BFGoodrich: Amendment 39–11824. Docket 2000–NM–210–AD.

Applicability: Model BFGoodrich main brake assemblies having part number (P/N) 2–1598–1 or P/N 2–1600–1, as installed on Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct thermal oxidation of the main brake assemblies, which could result in deterioration of the main landing gear (MLG) brakes, and consequent reduced braking performance, accomplish the following:

Initial and Repetitive Inspections

(a) Within 10 days after the effective date of this AD, or within 500 flight cycles after replacement of any brake assembly, whichever occurs later: Perform an inspection to determine the length of the wear indicator pins of each main brake assembly of the MLG, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 2–1598–32–2 or 2–1600–32–3, both dated June 16, 2000, as applicable. Repeat the inspection thereafter for each brake assembly as specified by paragraph (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), or (a)(4), as applicable.

(1) If the length of both wear indicator pins is greater than 2.00 inches, repeat the

inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 500 flight cycles.

(2) If the length of the shortest wear indicator pin is between 2.00 and 1.50 inches, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 250 flight cycles.

(3) If the length of the shortest wear indicator pin is between 1.49 and 1.0 inches, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 flight cycles.

(4) If the length of the shortest wear indicator pin is between 0.31 and 0.99 inches, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 10 days.

(5) If the length of the shortest wear indicator pin is less than 0.31 inches, no further action is required by this paragraph until the brake is replaced.

Follow-on Inspections and Corrective Actions

(b) During any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, if the length of the shortest wear indicator pin measures between 0.31 and 0.70 inches: Prior to further flight, perform a one-time special detailed inspection of the rotor disks located in the center of the heat sinks of the main brake assemblies of the MLG to detect the level of oxidation on the main brake assemblies in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 2-1598-32-2 or 2-1600-32-3, both dated June 16, 2000, as applicable. The inspection required by this paragraph is required only the first time the length of the shortest wear indicator pin measures between 0.31 and 0.70 inches.

(1) If no oxidation is detected, or if oxidation within the limits specified in the applicable service bulletin is detected on any brake assembly, continue the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

(2) If any oxidation exceeding the limits specified in the applicable service bulletin is detected on any brake assembly, prior to further flight, replace the brake assembly with a new brake assembly in accordance with the applicable service bulletin. Within 500 flight cycles following such replacement, continue the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a special detailed inspection is defined as: "An intensive examination of a specific item(s), installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. The examination is likely to make extensive use of specialized inspection techniques and/or equipment. Intricate cleaning and substantial access or disassembly procedures may be required."

(c) During any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, if the length of the shortest wear indicator pin measures 0.30 inches or less: Prior to further flight, perform a one-time special detailed inspection of the rotor disks located in the center of the heat sinks of the main brake assemblies of the MLG to detect the level of oxidation on the main brake assemblies in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 2-1598-32-2 or 2-1600-32-3, both dated June 16, 2000, as applicable. The inspection required by this paragraph is required only the first time the length of the shortest wear indicator pin measures 0.30 inches or less.

(1) If no oxidation is detected, or if oxidation within the limits specified in the applicable service bulletin is detected on any brake assembly, no further action is required by this AD until the brake is replaced in accordance with the FAA-approved maintenance program. Within 500 flight cycles following such replacement, continue the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

(2) If any oxidation exceeding the limits specified in the applicable service bulletin is detected on any brake assembly, prior to further flight, replace the brake assembly with a new brake assembly in accordance with the applicable service bulletin. Within 500 flight cycles following such replacement, continue the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

Spares

(d) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane a BFGoodrich main brake assembly having P/ N 2–1598–1 or P/N 2–1600–1 if the wear indicator pin measures 0.70 inches or less, unless an inspection to detect oxidation of the brake assembly has been accomplished in accordance with paragraph 3.A.(3) of the Accomplishment Instructions of BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 2–1598–32–2 or 2–1600–32–3, both dated June 16, 2000, as applicable.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(g) Except as provided by paragraph (c)(1) of this AD, the inspections and replacement actions shall be done in accordance with BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 2-1598-32-2, dated June 16, 2000, or BFGoodrich Service Bulletin 2–1600–32–3, dated June 16, 2000; as applicable. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from BFGoodrich Aerospace Wheel & Brake Systems Division, P.O. Box 340, Troy, Ohio, 45373. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal

Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Effective Date

(h) This amendment becomes effective on August 7, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 13, 2000.

Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 00–18281 Filed 7–20–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 179

[Docket No. 98F-0165]

Irradiation in the Production, Processing and Handling of Food

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration,

HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Fee

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of ionizing radiation for the reduction of *Salmonella* in fresh shell eggs. This action is in response to a petition filed by Edward S. Josephson. DATES: This rule is effective July 21, 2000. Submit written objections and requests for a hearing by August 21, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit written objections to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA– 305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William J. Trotter, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS– 206), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–418–3088.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In a notice published in the **Federal Register** of March 20, 1998 (63 FR 13675), FDA announced that a food additive petition (FAP 8M4584) had been filed by Edward S. Josephson, University of Rhode Island, Food Science and Nutrition Research Center, 530 Liberty Lane, West Kingston, RI 02892–1802. The petitioner proposed that the food additive regulations in part 179 Irradiation in the Production, Processing and Handling of Food (21 CFR part 179) be amended to provide

for the safe use of ionizing radiation for the reduction of *Salmonella* in fresh shell eggs.

II. Safety Evaluation

Under section 201(s) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 321(s)), a source of radiation used to treat food is defined as a food additive. The additive is not, literally, added to food. Instead, a source of radiation is used to process or treat food such that, analogous to other food processes, its use can affect the characteristics of the food. In the subject petition, the intended technical effect is a change in the microbial load of the food, specifically, a reduction in the numbers of *Salmonella*, a human pathogen, in or on fresh shell eggs.

The petitioner submitted published articles and other study reports containing data and information related to eggs and other kinds of food in the areas of radiation chemistry, nutrition, toxicology, and microbiology. FDA has fully considered the data and studies submitted in the petition, as well as other information in its files relevant to the safety and nutritional adequacy of eggs treated with ionizing radiation.

The effects of ionizing radiation on the characteristics of treated foods are a direct result of the chemical reactions induced by the absorbed radiation. Scientists have compiled a large body of data regarding the effects of ionizing radiation on different foods under various conditions of irradiation. Research has established that the types and amounts of products generated by radiation-induced chemical reactions (hereinafter referred to as "radiolysis products") depend on the chemical constituents of the food and on the conditions of irradiation (e.g., temperature and presence or absence of air and moisture). Furthermore, the principles of radiation chemistry govern the extent of changes both in the nutrient levels and in the microbial load of irradiated foods. Key factors include the specific nutrient or microorganism of interest, the food, and the conditions of irradiation. (See the agency's final rule permitting the irradiation of meat (the meat final rule) in the Federal Register of December 3, 1997 (62 FR 64107) for FDA's discussion of radiation chemistry, nutrition, toxicology, and microbiology related to irradiation of foods composed primarily of water, protein, and lipids under various conditions of irradiation.)

FDA has reviewed the relevant data and information submitted in the petition regarding the radiation chemistry of fresh shell eggs, and data available in the agency's files. Fresh

whole eggs are composed mainly of water (75.3 percent), protein (12.5 percent), and lipid (10.0 percent) (Ref. As discussed in the meat final rule, the radiation chemistry associated with these types of compounds is well known. FDA has concluded that the concentrations and types of radiolysis products formed by the irradiation of eggs will be comparable to those products produced by the irradiation of other foods of similar composition, such as meat (Ref. 2). In addition, the petitioner's data support the conclusion that there is little change in the levels of individual fatty acids, or in the structure, digestibility, or biological value of protein, when shell eggs are treated with ionizing radiation up to 3 kiloGray (kGy) (Refs. 2 and 3). Most of the radiolysis products are either the same as, or structurally similar to, compounds found in foods that have not been irradiated, and are formed in very small amounts. In summary, an absorbed dose of 3 kGy for the irradiation of fresh shell eggs will result in only minimal changes in the macronutrients (protein, lipid, or carbohydrate), and the chemical composition of eggs will not differ in any significant manner from eggs that have not been irradiated.

The petitioner submitted studies and published reports relevant to the safety of irradiated foods, in general. In addition, a variety of irradiated foods including: Red meat, chicken, fish, and eggs, have been tested in earlier animal feeding studies and genotoxicity studies; and they were previously reviewed by FDA (see, e.g., 62 FR 64107, December 3, 1997). Included in the information considered by FDA in the review of this petition are three studies conducted specifically on irradiated eggs (Ref. 4). In the first such study, rats were fed a biscuit diet containing whole eggs irradiated at 5 kGy at a dietary level of 25 percent on a dry weight basis for 3 years (two generations). No adverse effects were observed compared to the control group fed a diet containing nonirradiated eggs. In the second study, mice and rats were fed a diet containing dried eggs irradiated at 93 kGy and irradiated pork brain. No effects were observed that were attributed to the irradiated food. In the third study, rats were fed canned eggs irradiated at 5 kGy in their diet for two generations. No effects were observed that were attributed to the irradiated diet. Taken as a whole, based on the totality of evidence from all evaluated data and studies, FDA concludes that the petitioned use of