

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-37,240]

**Chevron Products Company,  
Roosevelt, UT; Dismissal of  
Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Chevron Products Company, Roosevelt, Utah. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-37,240; Chevron Products Company, Roosevelt, Utah (March 29, 2000)

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 29th day of March, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-8914 Filed 4-10-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-37,346]

**Enaid Sportswear, Inc., New York, New  
York; Notice of Termination of  
Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on February 14, 2000, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Enaid Sportswear, Inc., New York, New York.

The subject firm closed on September 30, 1999. The Department has been unable to locate principals of the firm on otherwise obtain information to reach a determination on worker eligibility. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 27th day of March 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-8930 Filed 4-10-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-37,438]

**Georgia Pacific Corporation, Building  
Products Division, OSB Mill,  
Woodland, ME; Notice of Termination  
of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 13, 2000 in response to a worker petition which was filed by the union on behalf of workers at Georgia Pacific Corporation, Building Products Division, OSB Mill, Woodland, Maine.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 24th day of March, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-8928 Filed 4-10-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-37,211; NAFTA-03584]

**Masonite Corporation, Pilot Rock, OR;  
Dismissal of Application for  
Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Masonite Corporation, Pilot Rock, Oregon. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-37,211 and NAFTA-03584; Masonite Corporation, Pilot Rock, Oregon (March 28, 2000)

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 29th day of March, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-8926 Filed 4-10-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-36,442]

**Philips Lighting Company, Fairmont,  
WV; Notice of Negative Determination  
on Reconsideration**

On November 23, 1999, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 21, 1999 (64 FR 244, Pages 71502-71503).

The Department initially denied TAA to workers of the Fairmont facility because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The Department's findings determined that separations of workers during the relevant period were the result of fluctuations in demand and a domestic transfer of production. The determination also stated that Philips Lighting Company does not import fluorescent lighting products.

The petitioners requesting reconsideration asserted that the company is importing lighting products such as those produced at Fairmont in recent periods and that equipment transferred to a foreign location was being used to manufacture products formerly produced at Fairmont.

The Department's initial Negative Determination noted that workers of the Fairmont facility were covered by a previous TAA certification through April 15, 1999. Thus, the instant investigation focused on separations that have occurred since April 15, 1999.

On reconsideration, the Department conducted further investigation and obtained additional information from the subject firm. The Department has concluded that, although the company does in fact import fluorescent lighting products, it does not import any products such as those produced at Fairmont within the past two years. The further investigation substantiated the previous finding that separations of workers from the Fairmont facility in the second half of 1999 were attributable to the transfer of production of certain fluorescent lighting products to another domestic location of the subject firm. The further investigation also substantiated previous findings that equipment transferred from the Fairmont plant to a foreign location included such items as fork lifts and that no equipment transferred to the