Information collection activities	Number of respondents (A)	Frequency of responses (B)	Burden hours per respondent (C)	Annual responses (AxB)	Total annual burden hours (AxBxC)
Claims Information	4	On Occasion	1.5	16	24
Total	4		1.5	16	24

ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

Estimated Cost: The annualized cost burden for Fire Chiefs to complete and process a claim is estimated to be \$15,288 annually.

Comments: Written comments are solicited to (a) evaluate whether the proposed data collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. Comments must be submitted on or before February 13, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons should submit written comments to Chief, Records Management Section, Information Resources Management Branch, Information Technology Services Division, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Room 316, Washington, DC 20472.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Tim Ganley, Fire Program Specialist, U.S. Fire Administration, (301) 447–1358 for additional information. You may contact the Records Management Branch for copies of the proposed collection of information at facsimile number (202) 646–3347 or e-mail address: FEMA-Information-Collections@dhs.gov.

Dated: January 4, 2006.

Darcy Bingham,

Branch Chief, Information Resources Management Branch, Information Technology Services Division. [FR Doc. E6–212 Filed 1–11–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-17-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [UT-080-05-1310-DB]

Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Chapita Wells-Stagecoach Area Natural Gas Development Project, Uintah County, UT

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: Under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA) and associated regulations, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that evaluates, analyzes, and discloses to the public direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts of a proposal to develop natural gas in Uintah County, Utah.

DATES: The DEIS will be available for review for 45 calendar days following the date that the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its NOA in the **Federal Register**. The BLM can best use comments and resource information submitted within this 45-day review period.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed directly or delivered to the BLM at: CWSA DEIS, Bureau of Land Management, Vernal Field Office, 170 South 500 East, Vernal, UT 84078. Comments may be submitted by facsimile to the Vernal Field Office at 435-781-4410. At this time BLM is unable to accept electronic comments. A copy of the DEIS has been sent to the affected Federal, State, and local government agencies, Native American Tribes and to interested parties. Copies of the DEIS are available for public inspection at the address listed above and the Bureau of Land Management Utah State Office, 440 West 200 South, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, UT 84101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Howard, Project Manager, BLM Vernal Field Office, 170 South 500 East, Vernal, UT 84078. Ms. Howard may also be reached at 435–781–4400. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In response to a proposal submitted by EOG Resources, Inc., (EOG), the BLM published in the October 1, 2004, Federal Register a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

The Chapita Wells-Stagecoach Area (CWSA) involves approximately 31,870 acres located in Townships 8 through 10 South, Ranges 22 and 23 East, Salt Lake Base Meridian, about 30 miles south of Vernal, Uintah County, Utah. The DEIS analyzes a proposal by EOG to fully develop Federal natural gas resources in the Chapita Wells and the Stagecoach Units, in addition to non-unitized lands in the project area. The Company's proposal includes drilling a total of up to 627 new wells and constructing associated ancillary transportation and transmission facilities within the project area. Of the planned wells, 473 wells would be new locations and 154 wells would be twinned, drilled from existing locations. Of the 31,870 acres within the project area, about 71% is Federal lands administered by the BLM; 21% is owned by the Ute Tribe and/or its allottees and administered by the BIA; 6% is owned by the State of Utah and administered by the Utah State School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration; and 2% is privately owned. The proposed life of the project is 40 years, with the majority of the drilling and development activities to occur within the first 7 years following approval of the BLM's Record of Decision.

As set out in the NOI, EOG proposes to fully develop its existing leases within the Chapita Wells-Stagecoach Area. As of March 2004, the CWSA contained 325 gas-producing wells, about 121 miles of roads and 115 miles of pipeline. An additional 100 wells, 12 miles of access road, and 18.5 miles of pipelines were approved by EA No. UT–080–1999–32, Environmental Assessment, Chapita Wells Unit Infill Development, Uintah County, Utah. Currently no oil wells or produced water disposal wells occur in the CWSA. The new gas wells would be

drilled to the Green River, Wasatch, Mesaverde, Mancos "B", and possibly, other formations. EOG's proposal is based on 40-acre spacing; although some pilot 20-acre locations may be drilled to the Mesaverde Group to help in determining whether development on 40-acre spacing can reasonably provide for optimum recovery. The Proposed Action incorporates standard operating procedures and applicant-committed best management practices currently employed on BLM-administered public lands in the Uintah Basin that mitigate impacts to the environment.

The DEIS describes in detail and analyzes the impacts of EOG's Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative. Seven additional alternatives were considered but eliminated from detailed analysis. The following is a summary of

the alternatives:

- 1. Proposed Action—Up to 627 new gas wells at 40-acre spacing, including up to 66 new locations drilled on 20-acre spacing, would be drilled to the Green River, Wasatch, Mesaverde Group (including the Blackhawk), Mancos Shale, and possibly, other formations. About 99 miles of new roads and 104.5 miles of pipelines would be constructed to support this proposed development. At this time the Proposed Action is the BLM's preferred alternative.
- 2. No Action Alternative—The proposed natural gas development on Federal lands would not be implemented; however, natural gas development would continue to occur under the authority of the 1985 Book Cliffs RMP, the 1999 Chapita Wells EA, and on non-Federal lands within the project area.
- 3. Alternatives Considered, but Eliminated from Further Analysis
 - a. One pad per well.
 - b. No new development.
 - c. Directional drilling.
- d. No new development in the White River Corridor and floodplains.
 - e. White River Protection.
 - f. Decreased density.

g. Best Management Practices (BMP). The public is encouraged to comment on any of these alternatives.

The BLM welcomes your comments on the Chapita Wells-Stagecoach Area DEIS. The BLM asks that those submitting comments make them as specific as possible with reference to chapters, page numbers, and paragraphs in the DEIS document. Comments that contain only opinions or preferences will not receive a formal response; however, they will be considered, and included, as part of the BLM decision-making process. The most useful comments will contain new technical or scientific information, identify data gaps

in the impact analysis, or will provide technical or scientific rationale for opinions or preferences. It is BLM's practice to make comments, including the names and street addresses of each respondent, available for public review at the BLM office listed above during business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except for Federal holidays. Your comments may be published as part of the EIS process. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or street address, or both, from public review, or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comments. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. BLM will not consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations or businesses will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

William Stringer,

Vernal Field Manager. [FR Doc. E6–251 Filed 1–11–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

30 Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Collection of Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: National Park Service, The Department of the Interior. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 3507) and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Record keeping Requirements, the National Park Service invites public comments on a submitted request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve an extension of a currently approved collection (OMB #1024–1018).

The primary purpose of the Information Collection Request is to nominate properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, the official list of the Nation's cultural resources worthy of preservation, which public law requires that the Secretary of the Interior maintain and expand. Properties are listed in the National Register upon nomination by State Historic Preservation Officers and Federal Preservation Officers. Law also requires Federal agencies to request determinations of eligibility for property under their jurisdiction or affected by their programs and projects. The forms

provide the historic documentation on which decisions for listing and eligibility are based.

DATES: Public comments will be accepted on or before February 13, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments directly to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior (OMB #1024–0018), Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, by fax at 202/395–6566, or by electronic mail at oira_docket@omb.eop.gov. Please also mail or hand carry a copy of your comments to Beth L. Savage, Managing Editor, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW., #2280, Washington, DC 20240. All comments will be a matter of public record.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: 36 CFR parts 60 and 63, National Register of Historic Places Registration Form, Continuation Sheet, Multiple Property Documentation Forms (aka MPS).

Form: NPS 10–900, 10–900–a, 10–900–b.

OMB Control Number: 1024–0018. Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Expiration Date: December 31, 2005. Description of need: The National Historic Preservation Act requires the Secretary of the Interior to maintain and expand the National Register of Historic Places, and to establish criteria and guidelines for including properties in the National Register. The National Register of Historic Places Registration Form documents properties nominated for listing in the National Register and demonstrates that they meet the criteria established for inclusion. The documentation is used to assist in preserving and protecting the properties and for heritage education and interpretation.

National Register properties must be considered in the planning for Federal or federally assisted projects. National Register listing is required for eligibility for the federal rehabilitation tax incentives. Comments are invited on: (1) The need for information including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the reporting burden estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Description of respondents: The affected public are State, tribal, and local governments, businesses, non-profit organizations, and individuals.