

As explained in SSR 96–8p (“Titles II and XVI: Assessing Residual Functional Capacity in Initial Claims”), our RFC assessments must consider an individual’s *maximum* remaining ability to do sustained work activities in an ordinary work setting on a regular and continuing basis. A “regular and continuing basis” means 8 hours a day, for 5 days a week, or an equivalent work schedule.<sup>5</sup> In cases involving IC, fatigue may affect the individual’s physical and mental ability to sustain work activity. This may be particularly true in cases involving urinary frequency.

For a child applying for benefits under title XVI, we will evaluate the functional consequences of IC (either alone or in combination with other impairments) to decide if the child’s impairment(s) functionally equals the listings. For example, the functional limitations imposed by IC, by itself or in combination with another impairment(s), may establish an extreme limitation in one broad area of functioning (e.g., attending and completing tasks) or marked limitations in two broad areas of functioning (e.g., attending and completing tasks, and interacting and relating with others).

As with any other impairment, we will explain how we reached our conclusions on whether IC caused any physical or mental limitations.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This Ruling is effective November 5, 2002.

Cross-References: SSR 85–28, “Titles II and XVI: Medical Impairments That Are Not Severe”; SSR 96–2p, “Titles II and XVI: Giving Controlling Weight to Treating Source Medical Opinions”; SSR 96–3p, “Titles II and XVI: Considering Allegations of Pain and Other Symptoms in Determining Whether a Medically Determinable Impairment is Severe”; SSR 96–4p, “Titles II and XVI: Symptoms, Medically Determinable Physical and Mental Impairments, and Exertional and Nonexertional Limitations”; SSR 96–5p, “Titles II and XVI: Medical Source Opinions on Issues Reserved to the Commissioner”; SSR 96–6p, “Titles II and XVI: Consideration of Administrative Findings of Fact by State Agency Medical and Psychological Consultants and Other Program Physicians and Psychologists at the Administrative Law Judge and Appeals

Council Levels of Administrative Review; Medical Equivalence”; SSR 96–7p, “Titles II and XVI: Evaluation of Symptoms in Disability Claims: Assessing the Credibility of an Individual’s Statements”; SSR 96–8p, “Titles II and XVI: Assessing Residual Functional Capacity in Initial Claims”; and SSR 96–9p, “Titles II and XVI: Determining Capability to Do Other Work—Implications of a Residual Functional Capacity for Less Than a Full Range of Sedentary Work.”

[FR Doc. 02–28057 Filed 11–4–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191–02–P

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 4159]

### Renewal of the Overseas Schools Advisory Council

The Department of State is renewing the Overseas Schools Advisory Council to provide a formal channel for regular consultation and advice from U.S. corporations and foundations regarding American-sponsored overseas schools. The Under Secretary for Management has determined that the committee is necessary and in the public interest.

Members of the committee will be appointed by the Assistant Secretary for Administration. The committee will follow the procedures prescribed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). Meetings will be open to the public unless a determination is made in accordance with the FACA section 10(d) and 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (1) and (4) that a meeting or a portion of the meeting should be closed to the public. Notice of each meeting will be provided in the **Federal Register** at least 15 days prior to the meeting date.

For further information, contact Dr. Keith D. Miller, Executive Secretary of the committee at 202–261–8200.

Dated: October 30, 2002.

**Keith D. Miller,**

*Executive Secretary, Overseas Schools Advisory Council, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 02–28088 Filed 11–4–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–24–P

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

### Determinations Under the African Growth and Opportunity Act: Correction

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Correction.

### Correction to Previous Notice

In the **Federal Register** of October 23, 2002, Volume 67, Page 65169, the Office of the United States Trade Representative published a notice entitled “Determinations Under the African Growth and Opportunity Act.” A correction is being made to the information that appeared under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. The reference to Presidential Proclamation 7360 of October 2, 2000 was incorrect. The correct citation is Presidential Proclamation 7350 of October 2, 2000.

**Rosa M. Whitaker,**

*Assistant United States Trade Representative for Africa, Office of the United States Trade Representative.*

[FR Doc. 02–28063 Filed 11–4–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Office of the Secretary

#### Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During the Week Ending October 25, 2002

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. Sections 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

*Docket Number:* OST–2002–13631.

*Date Filed:* October 22, 2002.

*Parties:* Members of the International Air Transport Association.

*Subject:*

PTC23 AFR–TC3 0184 dated 22 October 2002.

Mail Vote 247—TC23/TC123 Africa–South East Asia.

Special Passenger Amending Resolution 010e r1–r2.

PTC23 AFR–TC3 0185 dated 22 October 2002.

Mail Vote 248—TC23/TC123 Africa–Japan/Korea.

Special Passenger Amending Resolution 010f r3–r13.

Intended effective date: 15 November 2002.

*Docket Number:* OST–2002–13681.

*Date Filed:* October 23, 2002.

*Parties:* Members of the International Air Transport Association.

*Subject:*

Mail Vote 239.

PTC123 0201 dated 16 September 2002 r1–r18.

PTC123 0210 dated 11 October 2002 (Affirmative).

Minutes—PTC123 0217 dated 22 October 2002.

Tables—PTC123 Fares 0072 dated 11

<sup>5</sup> However, see footnote 2 of SSR 96–8p. That footnote explains that the ability to work 8 hours a day for 5 days a week is not always required for a finding at step 4 of the sequential evaluation process for adults when an individual can do past relevant work that was part-time work, if that work was substantial gainful activity, performed within the applicable period, and lasted long enough for the person to learn to do it.