

the production of textbook illustrations from York, Pennsylvania to Canada, thus causing separations of workers from the subject firm.

A company official was contacted for clarification in regard of a shift in production to Canada. The official stated that there was no shift in production of textbook illustration functions from Pearson Education, Inc., York, Pennsylvania to Canada in 2006 and January of 2007. The official emphasized that the reason of decreasing production of textbook illustrations at the facility in York, Pennsylvania lies behind "an overall business strategy" of Pearson Artworks to shift its focus away from volume illustrations to more of a research and development model.

The petitioner also disagreed with the previous findings that "worker separation at the subject firm was due to a change from manual to automated work processes and not to imports or shift in production" and alleged that "no new automated processes were implemented during the course of workers employment that would eliminate their services."

The company official informed that contrary to petitioners' statements, the workers of the Primary Staffing Services, Inc. were no longer needed because Pearson Education, York, Pennsylvania "developed internal process that streamlined the workflow, thus decreasing the number of employees needed to perform the tasks of the Company's operations in its York office." In particular, the official clarified that these new processes included Manuscript Maker, which automates the creation of art manuscript and visual assets database, Proof Maker and Correction script, which provide automated art proofs and corrections, Media and new automated shipping methods via FedEx and UPS installed computers.

The investigation on reconsideration supported the findings that imports of WEB based line art illustrations did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Primary Staffing Services, Inc., workers employed at Pearson Artworks, a division of Pearson Education, Inc., York, Pennsylvania.

Signed at Washington, DC this 8th day of June, 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-11834 Filed 6-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,254]

American & Efird, Inc., d/b/a Robison and Anton Textile Company, Fairview Division, Fairview, NJ; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on May 10, 2007, applicable to workers of American & Efird, Inc., d/b/a Robison Anton Textile Company, Fairview Division, Fairview, New Jersey. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2007 (72 FR 29181).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of embroidery thread and yarn.

In a request for an amendment, the company provided sufficient information to confirm that the skills of the workers at the subject firm are not easily transferable in the local commuting area.

Information obtained also indicates that a significant number of workers of the subject firm are age 50 or over, workers have skills that are not easily transferable, and conditions in the industry are adverse. Review of this information shows that all eligibility criteria under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended have been met.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to reflect its finding.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-61,254 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of American & Efird, Inc., d/b/a Robison Anton Textile Company, Fairview Division, Fairview, New Jersey, who became totally or partially separated

from employment on or after April 5, 2006 through May 10, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 and are also eligible to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of June 2007.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-11838 Filed 6-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,129]

Romar Textile Co., Inc.; Wampum, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On May 25, 2007, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application on Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 7, 2007 (72 FR 31613).

The previous investigation initiated on March 16, 2007, resulted in a negative determination issued on March 29, 2007, was based on the finding that workers of the subject firm do not produce an article or support production of an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Act. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 10, 2007 (72 FR 17938).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding production at Romar Textile Co., Inc. Upon further contact with the company official, it was revealed that the subject facility supported production of pillow covers and dust ruffles in 2005 and January through May of 2006.

The investigation on reconsideration also revealed that Romar Textile Co., Inc., Wampum, Pennsylvania supplied pillow covers and dust ruffles to one customer. A survey of this declining customer revealed an absolute increase in imports of pillow covers and dust ruffles from 2004 to 2005 and in January through May of 2006 when compared with the same period in 2006. The imports accounted for a meaningful portion of the subject firm's lost sales or production.

In accordance with Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor