### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Table of Contents**

I. Introduction II. Notice of Commission Action III. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30-.35, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 208 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Request, Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

### **II. Notice of Commission Action**

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016–123 and CP2016–156 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 208 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than April 28, 2016. The public portions of these filings can be

accessed via the Commission's Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints Christopher C. Mohr to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

- 1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016–123 and CP2016–156 to consider the matters raised in each docket.
- 2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Christopher C. Mohr is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).
- 3. Comments are due no later than April 28, 2016.
- 4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Stacy L. Ruble,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-09735 Filed 4-26-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

### RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

# **Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

Summary: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's

estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

1. Title and purpose of information collection: Evidence of Marital Relationship, Living with Requirements; OMB 3220–0021.

To support an application for a spouse or widow(er)'s annuity under Sections 2(c) or 2(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act, an applicant must submit proof of a valid marriage to a railroad employee. In some cases, the existence of a marital relationship is not formalized by a civil or religious ceremony. In other cases, questions may arise about the legal termination of a prior marriage of the employee, spouse, or widow(er). In these instances, the RRB must secure additional information to resolve questionable marital relationships. The circumstances requiring an applicant to submit documentary evidence of marriage are prescribed in 20 CFR 219.30.

In the absence of documentary evidence, the RRB needs to determine if a valid marriage existed between a spouse or widow(er) annuity applicant and a railroad employee. The RRB utilizes Forms G-124, Individual Statement of Marital Relationship; G-124a, Certification of Marriage Information; G-237, Statement Regarding Marital Status; G-238, Statement of Residence; and G-238a, Statement Regarding Divorce or Annulment, to secure the needed information. One response is requested of each respondent. Completion is required to obtain benefits. The RRB proposes minor non-burden impacting changes to the forms in the collection.

## ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL RESPONDENT BURDEN

Form number	Annual responses	Time (minutes)	Burden (hours)
G-124 (in person) G-124 (by mail) G-124a G-237 (in person) G-237 (by mail) G-238 (in person) G-238 (by mail) G-238 (by mail) G-238a	125 75 300 75 75 150 150	15 20 10 15 20 3 5	31 25 50 19 25 8 13 25
Total	1,100		196

<sup>\*</sup>Forms G-124, G-237, G-238, and G-238a can be completed either with assistance from RRB personnel during an in-office interview or by mail.

2. Title and purpose of information collection: Voluntary Customer Surveys in Accordance with E.O. 12862; OMB 3220–0192.

In accordance with Executive Order 12862, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) conducts a number of customer surveys designed to determine the kinds and quality of services our beneficiaries, claimants, employers and members of the public want and expect, as well as their satisfaction with existing RRB services. The information collected is used by RRB management to monitor customer satisfaction by determining to what extent services are satisfactory and where and to what extent services can be improved. The surveys are limited to data collections that solicit strictly voluntary opinions, and do not collect information which is required or regulated. The information collection, which was first approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in 1997, provides the RRB with a generic clearance authority. This generic authority allows the RRB to submit a variety of new or revised customer survey instruments (needed to timely implement customer monitoring activities) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for expedited review and approval.

The average burden per response for customer satisfaction activities is estimated to range from 2 minutes for a Web site questionnaire to 2 hours for participation in a focus group. The RRB estimates an annual burden of 1,620 annual respondents totaling 731 hours for the generic customer survey clearance.

Additional Information or Comments: To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, contact Dana Hickman at (312) 751–4981 or Dana.Hickman@RRB.GOV. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Charles Mierzwa, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092 or emailed to Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

## Charles Mierzwa,

Chief of Information Resources Management. [FR Doc. 2016–09804 Filed 4–26–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77680; File No. SR-NYSEMKT-2016-17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, To Establish Procedures for the Allocation of Cages to Co-Located Users, Including the Waiver of Certain Fees, and To Amend the Visitor Security Escort Fee

April 21, 2016.

### I. Introduction

On February 23, 2016 NYSE MKT LLC ("the Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to establish procedures for the allocation of cages to co-located Users, including the waiver of certain fees, and to amend the visitor security escort fee. On March 1, 2016, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. The proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, was published for comment in the Federal Register on March 11, 2016.3 There were no comments on the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No.

### II. Background and Description of the Proposal, as Modified by Amendment No. 1

The Exchange proposes to establish procedures for the allocation of cages to its co-located Users,<sup>4</sup> including the waiver of certain fees subject to specified conditions, and to amend the visitor security escort fee.<sup>5</sup> The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE MKT Equities Price List ("Price List") and the NYSE Amex Options Fee

Schedule ("Fee Schedule") to reflect the changes.<sup>6</sup>

As more fully set forth in the Notice, the Exchange offers Users the ability to rent cages to house their cabinets in the Data Center,<sup>7</sup> and historically has offered these cages on a first come/first serve basis.8 The Exchange states that a cage typically is purchased by a User that has several cabinets within the Data Center and wishes to arrange its cabinets contiguously while also enhancing privacy around its cabinets.9 The Exchange offers three cage sizes, corresponding to the number of cabinets housed therein, and charges fees for the cages based on the size.<sup>10</sup> The physical footprint of each cage is greater than that of the cabinets that it houses, as each cage is constructed so as to include aisles around the purchasing User's cabinets, for accessibility and to comply with safety regulations. 11 In order to offer the cages, the Exchange must have sufficient contiguous open space available for the cage. 12

In 2015, the Exchange determined that to continue to be able to meet its obligation to accommodate demand, and in particular to make available more contiguous, larger spaces for new and existing Users, it would exercise its right to move some Users' equipment within the Data Center (the "Migration").13 The Exchange established procedures to manage the Migration process, and continues to implement them.<sup>14</sup> The Exchange states that, notwithstanding the Migration, contiguous open space will still be limited, and may become more limited over time.15

Proposed Cage Allocation Procedure

The Exchange has proposed to establish procedures governing the allocation of cages should the currently available open contiguous space in the Data Center be insufficient to house a new cage or if the open contiguous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–77304 (March 7, 2016), 81 FR 12981 ("Notice"). Amendment No.1 was included in the Notice and provided certain clarifications, including that that the proposed waiver of fees for two bundles of 24 cross connects, applicable while a User is on the waitlist, would only apply to cross-connects used to connect an individual User's non-contiguous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For purposes of the Exchange's co-location services, a "User" means any market participant that requests to receive co-location services directly from the Exchange. The Exchange provides colocation services to Users from its data center ("Data Center") in Mahwah, New Jersey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Notice, 81 FR at 12981.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See io

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  See Notice, 81 FR at 12982. A User must have at least two cabinets in the Data Center to purchase a cage. See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Notice, 81 FR at 12982.

<sup>9</sup> See id.

<sup>10</sup> See id.

<sup>11</sup> See id.

<sup>12</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Notice, 81 FR at 12982; see also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76269 (October 26, 2015), 80 FR 66947 (October 30, 2015) (SR-NYSE-2015-42); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76268 (October 26, 2015), 80 FR 66944 (October 30, 2015) (SR-NYSEMKT-2015-70); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76270 (October 26, 2015), 80 FR 66944 (October 30, 2015) (SR-NYSEArca-2015-85) (collectively "Migration Filing").

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  See Notice, 81 FR at 12982; see also Migration Filing supra note 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Notice, 81 FR at 12982.