

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-355 (Review)
731-TA-659-660 (Review)]

**Grain-Oriented Silicon Electrical Steel
From Italy and Japan**

AGENCY: United States International
Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of full five-year
reviews concerning the countervailing
duty and antidumping duty orders on
grain-oriented silicon electrical steel
from Italy and Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives
notice of the scheduling of full reviews
pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the
Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C.
§ 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine
whether revocation of the
countervailing duty and antidumping
duty orders on grain-oriented silicon
electrical steel from Italy and Japan
would be likely to lead to continuation
or recurrence of material injury within
a reasonably foreseeable time. For
further information concerning the
conduct of these reviews and rules of
general application, consult the
Commission's Rules of Practice and
Procedure, part 201, subparts A through
E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207,
subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part
207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 10, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Taylor (202-708-4101), Office of
Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW.,
Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-
impaired persons can obtain
information on this matter by contacting
the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-
205-1810. Persons with mobility
impairments who will need special
assistance in gaining access to the
Commission should contact the Office
of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.
General information concerning the
Commission may also be obtained by
accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On March 3, 2000, the
Commission determined that responses
to its notice of institution of the subject
five-year reviews were such that full
reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of
the Act should proceed (65 FR 13989,
March 15, 2000). A record of the
Commissioners' votes, the
Commission's statement on adequacy,
and any individual Commissioner's
statements are available from the Office
of the Secretary and at the
Commission's web site.

**Participation in the reviews and
public service list.**—Persons, including
industrial users of the subject
merchandise and, if the merchandise is
sold at the retail level, representative
consumer organizations, wishing to
participate in these reviews as parties
must file an entry of appearance with
the Secretary to the Commission, as
provided in section 201.11 of the
Commission's rules, by 45 days after
publication of this notice. A party that
filed a notice of appearance following
publication of the Commission's notice
of institution of these reviews need not
file an additional notice of appearance.
The Secretary will maintain a public
service list containing the names and
addresses of all persons, or their
representatives, who are parties to the
reviews.

**Limited disclosure of business
proprietary information (BPI) under an
administrative protective order (APO)
and BPI service list.**—Pursuant to
section 207.7(a) of the Commission's
rules, the Secretary will make BPI
gathered in these reviews available to
authorized applicants under the APO
issued in the reviews, provided that the
application is made by 45 days after
publication of this notice. Authorized
applicants must represent interested
parties, as defined by 19 U.S.C.
§ 1677(9), who are parties to the
reviews. A party granted access to BPI
following publication of the
Commission's notice of institution of
the reviews need not reapply for such
access. A separate service list will be
maintained by the Secretary for those
parties authorized to receive BPI under
the APO.

Staff report.—The prehearing staff
report in these reviews will be placed in
the nonpublic record on December 12,
2000, and a public version will be
issued thereafter, pursuant to section
207.64 of the Commission's rules.

Hearing.—The Commission will hold
a hearing in connection with these
reviews beginning at 9:30 a.m. on
January 4, 2001, at the U.S. International
Trade Commission Building. Requests
to appear at the hearing should be filed
in writing with the Secretary to the
Commission on or before December 27,
2000. A nonparty who has testimony
that may aid the Commission's
deliberations may request permission to
present a short statement at the hearing.
All parties and nonparties desiring to
appear at the hearing and make oral
presentations should attend a
prehearing conference to be held at 9:30
a.m. on January 2, 2001, at the U.S.
International Trade Commission
Building. Oral testimony and written
materials to be submitted at the public

hearing are governed by sections
201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), 207.24, and
207.66 of the Commission's rules.
Parties must submit any request to
present a portion of their hearing
testimony in camera no later than 7 days
prior to the date of the hearing.

Written submissions.—Each party to
the reviews may submit a prehearing
brief to the Commission. Prehearing
briefs must conform with the provisions
of section 207.65 of the Commission's
rules; the deadline for filing is
December 21, 2000. Parties may also file
written testimony in connection with
their presentation at the hearing, as
provided in section 207.24 of the
Commission's rules, and posthearing
briefs, which must conform with the
provisions of section 207.67 of the
Commission's rules. The deadline for
filing posthearing briefs is January 12,
2001; witness testimony must be filed
no later than three days before the
hearing. In addition, any person who
has not entered an appearance as a party
to the reviews may submit a written
statement of information pertinent to
the subject of the reviews on or before
January 12, 2001. On January 31, 2001,
the Commission will make available to
parties all information on which they
have not had an opportunity to
comment. Parties may submit final
comments on this information on or
before February 2, 2001, but such final
comments must not contain new factual
information and must otherwise comply
with section 207.68 of the Commission's
rules. All written submissions must
conform with the provisions of section
201.8 of the Commission's rules; any
submissions that contain BPI must also
conform with the requirements of
sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the
Commission's rules. The Commission's
rules do not authorize filing of
submissions with the Secretary by
facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c)
and 207.3 of the Commission's rules,
each document filed by a party to the
reviews must be served on all other
parties to the reviews (as identified by
either the public or BPI service list), and
a certificate of service must be timely
filed. The Secretary will not accept a
document for filing without a certificate
of service.

Authority: These reviews are being
conducted under authority of title VII of the
Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published
pursuant to section 207.62 of the
Commission's rules.

Issued: August 10, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-20850 Filed 8-15-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-860 (Final)]

Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission determines,² pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Japan of tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet, provided for in subheadings 7210.11.00, 7210.12.00, 7210.50.00, 7212.10.00, and 7212.50.00 if of non-alloy steel and under subheadings 7225.99.00 and 7226.99.00 if of alloy steel (other than stainless steel) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Background

The Commission instituted this investigation effective October 28, 1999, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by Weirton Steel Corp., Weirton, WV, the Independent Steelworkers Union, and the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO. The final phase of the investigation was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by the Department of Commerce that imports of tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of April 24, 2000 (65 FR 21791). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 29,

2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on August 9, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3337 (August 2000), entitled Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan: Investigation No. 731-TA-860 (Final).

Issued: August 9, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-20848 Filed 8-15-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Department policy, 28 C.F.R. § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *United States v. RAM Industries, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 00-3826 (E.D. Pa.) was lodged on July 28, 2000, with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The consent decree resolves the claims of the United States against RAM Industries, Inc. under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for reimbursement of response costs incurred by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in connection with the Eighth Street Drum Site located in Chester, Delaware County, Pennsylvania. Under the terms of the consent decree, EPA would receive \$13,500, which represents approximately 33% of the amount expended by the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., 20530, and should refer to *United States v. RAM Industries, Inc.*, DOJ #90-11-3-06920.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the offices of the United States Attorney, 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106-4476. A copy of the consent decree may

also be obtained by mail from the U.S. Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$6.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment & Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 00-20740 Filed 8-15-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Core Principles for Federal Non-Binding Workplace ADR Programs; Developing Guidance for Binding Arbitration—A Handbook for Federal Agencies

AGENCY: Department of Justice/Federal Alternative Dispute Resolution Council.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice contains two documents to assist Federal agencies in developing alternative dispute resolution (ADR) programs: "Core Principles for Non-Binding Workplace ADR Programs" and "Developing Guidance for Binding Arbitration—A Handbook for Federal Agencies." These documents were created by the Federal ADR Council, a group of high level government agency officials chaired by the Attorney General. The documents are based on the combined expertise of ADR specialists in federal agencies with active ADR programs. The first document describes ten key elements that are essential in any fair and effective ADR program. The second document provides information and assistance for agencies on the use of binding arbitration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter R. Steenland and Jeffrey M. Senger, Office of Dispute Resolution, United States Department of Justice, Room 5240, Washington, DC 20530; (202) 616-9471.

Dated: August 8, 2000.

Jeffrey M. Senger,

Deputy Senior Counsel for Dispute Resolution, United States Department of Justice.

Federal Register Introduction

The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996 (ADRA), 5 U.S.C. 571-584, requires that each Federal agency take steps to promote the use of ADR and calls for the establishment of an interagency committee to facilitate and encourage agency use of ADR. As

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

² Chairman Stephen Koplan and Commissioner Thelma J. Askey dissenting.