#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Controlnet International, LTD

Notice is hereby given that, on February 10, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. "the Act"), ControlNet International, Ltd. ("ControlNet") has failed written notification simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Woodhead Software & Electronics, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada has been added as a party to this venture. Also, Fujikura, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership in this group research project remains open, and ControlNet intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 3, 2005, ControlNet filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 1, 2005 (70 FR 9979).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 1, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 23, 2005 (70 FR 55920).

### Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 06–2133 Filed 3–6–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–11–M** 

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# **Antitrust Division**

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open DeviceNet Vendor Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on February 10, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Open

DeviceNet Vendor Association, Inc. ("ODVA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Switchgear & Instrumentation, Ltd., Bradford, United Kingdom; Kawasaki Heavy Industries, Ltd., Hyogo, Japan; Flowserve Corporation, Lynchburg, VA; Weidmueller Interface GmbH & Co. KG, Detmold, Germany; ELAU AG, Marktheidenfeld, Germany; JVL Industri Elektronik A/S. Birkerod. Denmark: OPTO-22, Tennecula, CA: Smart Network Devices GmbH, Juelich, Germany; ELETTRO STEMI S.R.L., Altavilla Vicentina, Italy; and Control Technology Corporation, Hopkinton, MA have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, HaePyung Electronics Research Institute, Kumi-City, Republic of Korea; Crouzet Automatismes SA, Bourguebus, France; Turbotek, Kyunggi-do, Republic of Korea; Fairchild Industrial Products Company, Winston-Salem, NC; Draka USA, Franklin, MA; KDT Systems Co., Ltd., Yongin-City, Republic of Korea; PDL Electronics Ltd., Napier, New Zealand; and Dressler HF—Technik GmbH, Stolberg, Germany have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and ODVA intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 21, 1995, ODVA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 15, 1996 (61 FR 6039).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 1, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 23, 2005 (70 FR 55921).

#### Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 06–2132 Filed 3–06–06; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# **Executive Office for Immigration Review**

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Request for Recognition of a Non-profit Religious, Charitable, Social Service, or Similar Organization (Form EOIR–31).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), **Executive Office for Immigration** Review (EOIR) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 70, Number 178, page 54569 on September 15, 2005, allowing for a 60day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until April 6, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments also may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to