

the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. on November 29, 2024, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by November 29, 2024. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission’s website at [https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\\_on\\_filing\\_procedures.pdf](https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf), elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Determination.**—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Act; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 3, 2024.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2024–23273 Filed 10–8–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1361]

### Certain Wi-Fi Routers, Wi-Fi Devices, Mesh Wi-Fi Network Devices, and Hardware and Software Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to grant a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward S. Jou, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3316. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on May 8, 2023, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Netgear Inc. of San Jose, California (“Netgear”). 88 FR 29693–94 (May 8, 2023). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain Wi-Fi routers, Wi-Fi devices, mesh Wi-Fi network devices, and hardware and software components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,936,714 (“the ‘714 patent’”); 10,681,698 (“the ‘698 patent’”); 10,278,179 (“the ‘179 patent’”); 9,468,205; 10,327,242; and 10,356,681. The complaint further alleged that a domestic industry exists. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents: TP-Link

Technologies Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; TP-Link Corporation Limited, f/k/a TP-Link International Limited of Kowloon, Hong Kong; TP-Link USA Corporation of Irvine, California; and TP-Link Research Institute USA Corp. d/b/a TP-Link Research America Corp. of San Jose, California (collectively, “TP-Link”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation.

On May 30, 2024, the presiding administrative law judge issued a final initial determination finding a violation of section 337 with respect to claim 13 of the ‘714 patent and claims 12, 15, 17, and 19 of the ‘698 patent, but no violation with respect to claim 11 of the ‘179 patent.

On September 10, 2024, Netgear and TP-Link filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On September 11, 2024, Netgear and TP-Link filed a public version of their joint motion to terminate, attaching a redacted version of their settlement agreement.

The Commission has determined to grant the joint motion to terminate because it complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 210.21(b)). Specifically, the Commission finds that the joint motion includes confidential and public versions of the parties’ settlement agreement and a statement that “[o]ther than a stipulation regarding joint discovery cross-use in the 1405 investigation and stipulations relating to procedural and discovery matters, importation and inventory, hearing procedures, and exhibits in this investigation,” there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation. The Commission further finds that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would warrant denial of the requested termination, and termination would not be contrary to the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on October 3, 2024.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 3, 2024.

**Lisa Barton,**  
*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2024–23326 Filed 10–8–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1200 (Second Review)]

**Large Residential Washers From Mexico**

**Determination**

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on large residential washers from Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**Background**

The Commission instituted this review on April 1, 2024 (89 FR 22455) and determined on July 5, 2024, that it would conduct an expedited review (89 FR 67669, August 21, 2024).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on October 4, 2024. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5552 (October 2024), entitled *Large Residential Washers from Mexico: Investigation No. 731–TA–1200 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.  
Issued: October 4, 2024.

**Lisa Barton,**  
*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2024–23379 Filed 10–8–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Toxic Substances Control Act**

On September 30, 2024, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree (the “Consent Decree”) with the District Court of the Southern District of New York in a lawsuit

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

entitled *United States of America v. Rose Demolition & Carting Inc.*, Civil Action No. 24–7375.

In this action, the United States seeks, injunctive relief from Rose Demolition & Carting Inc., in connection with the defendant’s unlawful work practices during renovations governed by the Renovation, Repair, and Painting Rule, 40 CFR part 745, a rule promulgated under Toxic Substances Control Act (“TSCA”). The proposed consent decree resolves the United States’ claims, requires Rose Demolition & Carting Inc. to pay a \$100,000 penalty, and imposes injunctive relief.

The publication of this notice opens the public comment on the proposed settlement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States of America v. Rose Demolition & Carting Inc.*, DJ #90–5–1–1–11139. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email .....	<a href="mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov">pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</a> .
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Any comments submitted in writing may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. If you require assistance accessing the Consent Decree, you may request assistance by email or by mail to the addresses provided above for submitting comments.

**Eric D. Albert,**  
*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2024–23034 Filed 10–8–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act**

On September 30, 2024, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in the lawsuit entitled *United*

*States v. EMR (USA Holdings) Inc.; Camden Iron & Metal, Inc.; SPC Corporation; Atlas Traders, LLC; Rhino Recycling, Inc.; Delco Metals, Inc.; Tioga Real Estate, LLC; United Compressed Steel Company; Sims Group USA Holdings Corporation; Simsmetal East LLC f/k/a Hugo Neu Schnitzer East; Metal Management Northeast, Inc; and Mercer Group International of New Jersey, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:24–CV–09545–KMW–MJS.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves the United States’ claims on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) under section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”), regarding the Shamrock Enterprises Superfund Site (“Site”), located at 281 Clearfield Avenue, Franklinville, Gloucester County, New Jersey, comprised of 4 lots collectively approximately 52 acres in size and identified as Block 1802, Lots 30, 31, 32, 33 on the Tax Map of Franklin Township, New Jersey. EPA in 2018 removed approximately 3000 compressed gas cylinders containing hazardous substances such as acetylene, ethylene oxide, hydrogen chloride, hydrogen, sulfide, and silane accumulated at the Site. The United States incurred at least \$1,516,119 in response costs at the Site. The settling defendants are generators who sent cylinders containing hazardous substances to the Site and will collectively pay \$900,000.00 to resolve their liability.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. EMR (USA Holdings) Inc., et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. Number 90–11–3–12494. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By e-mail .....	<a href="mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov">pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</a> .
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Any comments submitted in writing may be filed by the United States in whole or in part on the public court docket without notice to the commenter.