

Commission will convene at 10 a.m. and adjourn at 1 p.m. on May 17, 2001, at the Biltmore Hotel, 401 South Meridian, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73108. The purpose of the meeting is to receive planning input for project development.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Melvin L. Jenkins, Director of the Central Regional Office, 913-551-1400 (TDD 913-551-1414). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 20, 2001.

Edward A. Hailes, Jr.,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01-10276 Filed 4-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13.

Bureau: International Trade Administration.

Title: Application for the President's "E" and "E Star" Awards for Export Expansion.

Agency Form Number: ITA-725P.

OMB Number: 0625-0065.

Type of Request: Regular Submission.

Estimated Burden: 1,644 hours.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 60.

Est. Avg. Hours Per Response: 27.4 hours.

Needs and Uses: The President's "E" Award for Excellence in Exporting is our nation's highest award to honor American exporters. "E" Awards recognize firms and organizations for their competitive achievements in world markets, as well as the benefits of their success to the U.S. economy. The President's "E Star" Award recognizes the sustained superior international marketing performance of "E" Award winners.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; Individuals or households; Farms; and State, local, or tribal governments.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-7340.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 20, 2001.

Madeleine Clayton,

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-10281 Filed 4-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Notice of Rescission of New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 2001.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the new shipper review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India manufactured or exported by Snowdrop Trading Pvt. Ltd. (Snowdrop) because record evidence does not indicate that Snowdrop had any U.S. sales suitable for use in a dumping analysis during the period of review, i.e., February 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Killiam or Robert James, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5222 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references

to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2000).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 3, 2000, in response to a request from Snowdrop, the Department published a *Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Review* (65 FR 17485). This review covered sales or entries of stainless steel flanges exported by Snowdrop during the period February 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000. On January 31, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its *Notice of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India* (66 FR 8380).

In its original and supplemental questionnaire responses, Snowdrop indicated that it had a single U.S. sale during the period of review to Texas Metal Works (Texas Metal), a firm in Houston, Texas. Snowdrop also indicated that it did not sell the foreign like product in the home market and, therefore, indicated that sales to Canada should be used as the only viable third-country comparison market. All sales to Canada were to a single firm, Provincial Flange & Fittings, Ltd., of Ontario (Provincial). However, documentation developed in a series of supplemental questionnaires, as well as the Department's November 2000 verification, demonstrates that Snowdrop's alleged "sale" to Texas Metal Works actually involved a transaction between Snowdrop and its third-country customer, Provincial. *See, e.g.,* the Department's January 19, 2001 verification report, on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building. Thus, Snowdrop is proposing that we base both normal value and U.S. price on sales to a single entity, Provincial.

We find it inappropriate to base U.S. price on a sale to the same entity that is also functioning as the sole comparison market customer. Any analysis of dumping attempts to measure the extent of price discrimination, if any, between the U.S. market and an appropriate, viable comparison market. Here, the two markets are one and the same: to wit, sales to Provincial in Canada. Therefore, because no credible measure of dumping is possible under these circumstances, we are rescinding this new shipper administrative review. *See* Memorandum to Joseph A. Spetrini, "Rescission of New Shipper Review of