Denial, 07/06/2001, Exemption No. 7563

Docket No.: FAA-2001-8878 (previously Docket No. 29207). Petitioner: American Airlines, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit American to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman in place of an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilotin-command (PIC) while that PIC is performing prescribed duties during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing when completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424. Grant, 07/06/2001, Exemption No. 6916A.

Docket: FAA-2001-9641. Petitioner: Rhoades Aviation, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 35.152(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Rhoades to operate one Douglas DC—3TP airplane (Registration No. N376AS) without that airplane being equipped with an approved digital flight data recorder that is capable of recording propeller speed or TE flaps. Grant, 07/06/2001, Exemption No. 7562.

Docket: FAA-2001-8942.
Petitioner: Aerotech of Louisville.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 145.45(f).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Aerotech to place and maintain its inspection procedures manual (IPM) in fixed locations within its repair station facility rather than giving a copy of its IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel. Grant, 05/10/2001, Exemption No. 7535.

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9097 (previously Docket No. 27205). Petitioner: Federal Express Corporation.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit FedEx to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 5711F.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9232 (previously Docket No. 29618). Petitioner: Blatti Aviation, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Blatti to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 6957A.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9788.

Petitioner: Industrial Helicopters, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit IHI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 7566.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9790.

Petitioner: Cornerstone Air Charter,
Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit CACI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 7564.

[FR Doc. 01–18546 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 34066]

City of Peoria, IL—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Union Pacific Railroad Company

City of Peoria, IL (City), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire from Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) and operate a 1.9-mile line of railroad, commonly known as the Pioneer Industrial Lead (Lead),¹ that extends easterly from UP's Peoria Subdivision, at approximately milepost 71.5, to the end of a track, a short distance west of University Avenue, in the City of Peoria, Peoria County, IL.²

The transaction was scheduled to be consummated on July 10, 2001 (7 days after the exemption was filed).

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34066 must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423—

0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Thomas F. McFarland, Esq., 208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1890, Chicago, IL 60604–1194.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: July 18, 2001.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–18570 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

July 18, 2001.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220. **DATES:** Written comments should be

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before August 24, 2001 to be assured of consideration.

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF)

OMB Number: 1512–0561.
Form Number: ATF Form 5013.1.
Type of Review: Extension.
Title: Electronic Filing User Access
Enrollment Form.

Description: This form will be used in a pilot program for electronic filing of ATF forms. The pilot is being developed by ATF and Treasury's Financial Management Service. Participants will need to complete the form to be granted a password to access the e-filing system.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25

Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent: 18 minutes.

Frequency of Response: Annually.
Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 7

Clearance Officer: Frank Bowers, (202) 927–8930, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Room 3200, 650

¹The City notes that the Lead is not delineated by milepost numbers.

² The City indicates that there are no active shippers presently on the Lead and that, if the City were called upon to operate the Lead, it would do so or would arrange for a rail operator to do so.