

Solutions Corp (S3), Bethesda, MD; Glover 38th Street Holdings LLC, Smithfield, VA; KAB Laboratories, Inc., San Diego, CA; Tektronix, Inc., Beaverton, OR; ORSA Technologies, LLC, Sierra Vista, AZ; DEEPSIG, Inc., Arlington, VA; QRC Technologies, Fredericksburg, VA; Freedom Technologies, Inc., Arlington, VA; Gigatronics, Incorporated, Dublin, CA; Cambium Networks, Inc., Rolling Meadows, IL; Epiq Solutions, Schaumburg, IL; LinQuest Corporation, Los Angeles, CA; Syncopated Engineering, Inc., Ellicott City, MD; Bascom Hunter Technologies, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA; InCadence Strategic Solutions, Manassas, VA; and Long Wave, Inc., Oklahoma City, OK, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Hercules Research LLC, Chantilly, VA, has withdrawn from this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and NSC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 24, 2014, NSC filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on November 4, 2014 (72 FR 65424).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 10, 2017. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 12, 2017 (82 FR 22160).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2017-17148 Filed 8-14-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Network Centric Operations Industry Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on July 11, 2017, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Network Centric Operations Industry Consortium, Inc. (“NCOIC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the

Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Lewis Combs (individual member), Cincinnati, OH, has been added as a party to this venture.

Also, Tata Power SED, Mumbai, INDIA; and beamSmart, Vienna, VA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and NCOIC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 19, 2004, NCOIC filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 2, 2005 (70 FR 5486).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 13, 2017. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 4, 2017 (82 FR 16420).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2017-17150 Filed 8-14-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Raman I. Popli, M.D.; Decision and Order

On May 4, 2017, the Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government), issued an Order to Show Cause to Raman Popli, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant) of McHenry, Illinois, the holder of Certificate of Registration No. BP7189067. GX 2 (Registration Certificate). The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Registrant’s Certificate of Registration on the ground that Registrant does “not have authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Illinois,” the State in which he is registered. GX 1, at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3)).

As the jurisdictional basis for the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that registration BP7189067, pursuant to which Registrant is authorized to prescribe controlled substances in Schedules II through V, expires on March 31, 2019. *Id.*

As the substantive grounds for the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that Registrant currently lacks “authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Illinois.” *Id.* It alleged that, on March 13, 2017, Illinois suspended Registrant’s “authority to prescribe and administer controlled substances.” *Id.*

The Show Cause Order notified Registrant of his right to request a hearing on the allegation or to submit a written statement while waiving his right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 2, citing 21 CFR 1301.43. The Show Cause Order also notified Registrant of the opportunity to submit a corrective action plan. *Id.*, citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C).

On May 5, 2017, a DEA Office of Chief Counsel Legal Assistant faxed and mailed “via USPS First Class Mail” a copy of the Show Cause Order to Registrant’s attorney, Alan Rhine, Esq., at the fax number and business address he provided. GX 5, at 1 (Legal Assistant Declaration dated June 8, 2017) and attachment. The Legal Assistant’s Declaration appended emails to and from Registrant’s attorney confirming that Registrant authorized him to accept service of documents from the DEA on Registrant’s behalf. *Id.* I find that the Government’s service of the Show Cause Order on Registrant was legally sufficient.

The Government submitted a Request for Final Agency Action (hereinafter, RFAA) dated June 9, 2017 and an evidentiary record to support the Show Cause Order’s allegations. According to the Government’s representations in the RFAA, “more than thirty days have passed since the Order to Show Cause was served on Respondent and no request for hearing has been received by DEA, and . . . no written statement or other correspondence has been filed in lieu of a hearing request.” RFAA, at 1.

Based on the Government’s representations and my review of the record, I find that more than 30 days have now passed since the date of service of the Show Cause Order on Registrant through his attorney, and that neither Registrant, his attorney, nor anyone else purporting to represent him, has requested a hearing or submitted a written statement while waiving his right to a hearing. Accordingly, I find that Registrant has waived his right to a hearing and his right to submit a written statement. 21 CFR 1301.43(d). I therefore issue this Decision and Order based on the record submitted by the Government. 21 CFR 1301.43(e).