Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov. or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: April 30, 2014.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-10425 Filed 5-6-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL14-42-000; QF87-120-014]

Badger Creek Limited; Notice of Petition for Limited Waiver

Take notice that on April 29, 2014, pursuant to section 292.205(c) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 292.205(c), Badger Creek Limited (BCL) filed a petition for limited waiver of the qualifying facility operating and efficiency standards set forth in 18 CFR 292.205(a) of the Commission's Regulations for its facility located in Bakersfield, California (Facility), for calendar years 2014 and 2015. BCL makes such a request due to a permanent discontinuation of steam sales to the Facility's thermal host.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on May 20, 2014.

Dated: April 30, 2014.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–10422 Filed 5–6–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM13-5-000]

Version 5 Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards; Supplemental Notice Concerning Post Technical Conference Comments

As announced during the Commission staff convened technical conference held on April 29, 2014 to discuss issues related to Critical Infrastructure Protection Issues Identified in Order No. 791, parties may choose to file post-technical conference comments. Parties wishing to file comments on the matters discussed at the technical conference should do so on the following schedule:

Comments: Due on or before May 21, 2014.

Dated: April 30, 2014.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–10430 Filed 5–6–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9910-47-Region-3]

Adequacy Status of the Submitted Maintenance Plan for the Maryland Portion of the Martinsburg-Hagerstown, WV-MD 1997 Fine Particulate National Ambient Air Quality Standard Nonattainment Area for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is notifying the public that EPA has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Maryland portion of the Martinsburg-Hagerstown, WV–MD 1997 Fine Particulate (PM_{2.5}) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) Nonattainment Area Maintenance Plan (Hagerstown Maintenance Plan), submitted as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision by Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE), are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA's finding, the State of Maryland must use the 2017 and 2025 MVEBs from the Hagerstown Maintenance Plan for future conformity determinations for the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

DATES: Effective on May 22, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Becoat, Physical Scientist, Office of Air Program Planning (3AP30), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, (215) 814– 2036; becoat.gregory@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region III sent a letter to MDE on April 3, 2014, stating that EPA has found that the MVEBs in the Hagerstown Maintenance Plan for budget years 2017 and 2025, submitted on December 23, 2013 by MDE, are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA's finding, the State of Maryland must use the 2017 and 2025 MVEBs from the December 23, 2013 Hagerstown Maintenance Plan for future conformity determinations in the Maryland portion of the Martinsburg-Hagerstown, WV-MD 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS Nonattainment Area. Receipt of the submittal was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site. No comments were received. The findings letter is available at EPA's conformity

Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm. The adequate direct particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen oxides (NO $_{\rm X}$) MVEBs are provided in Table 1.

TABLE 1—ON-ROAD MVEBS CONTAINED IN THE MARYLAND PORTION OF THE MARTINSBURG-HAGERSTOWN, WV-MD 1997 PM_{2.5} NON-ATTAINMENT AREA MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR THE 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS

Year	Motor vehicle emissions budget for PM _{2.5} on-road emissions (tons per year)	Mobile vehicle emissions budget for NO _x on-road emissions (tons per year)
2017	149.63	4,057.00
2025	93.35	2,774.63

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). EPA described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a July 1, 2004 preamble starting at 69 FR 40038 and used the information in these resources in making this adequacy determination. The State of Maryland did not provide emission budgets for sulfur dioxide (SO₂), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), or ammonia for the Hagerstown Maintenance Plan because it concluded that emissions of these precursors from motor vehicles are not significant contributors to the area's PM_{2.5} air quality problem. The transportation conformity rule provision at 40 CFR 93.102(b)(2)(v) indicates that conformity does not apply for these precursors, due to the lack of motor vehicle emissions budgets for these precursors and state's conclusion that motor vehicle emissions of SO₂, VOCs, and ammonia do not contribute significantly to the area's PM_{2.5} nonattainment problem. This provision of the transportation conformity rule predates and was not disturbed by the January 4, 2013 decision in the litigation on the PM_{2.5}

implementation rule. 1 EPA has preliminarily concluded that Maryland's decision to not include budgets for SO₂, VOCs, and ammonia is consistent with the requirements of the transportation conformity rule. That decision does not affect EPA's adequacy finding for the submitted direct PM and NO_X MVEBs for the Hagerstown Maintenance Plan.

Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if EPA finds the budgets for the Hagerstown Maintenance Plan adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved. The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 25, 2014.

W.C. Early,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 2014–10348 Filed 5–6–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9910-46-Region-5]

Notification of a Public Meeting of the Great Lakes Advisory Board

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announces a public meeting of the Great Lakes Advisory Board (Board). The purpose of this meeting is to address administrative matters and discuss further advice to strengthen the effectiveness of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI).

DATES: The public meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 28, 2014 from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Central Time, 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time. An opportunity will be provided to the public to comment.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held at the EPA Region 5 Offices, Lake Michigan Room, twelfth floor, in the Ralph H. Metcalfe Federal Building, 77 W. Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604. The teleconference number is: (877) 744–6030; Participant code: 24658751.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any member of the public wishing further information regarding this meeting may contact Taylor Fiscus, Acting Designated Federal Officer (DFO), by telephone at 312–353–6059 or email at Fiscus. Taylor@epa.gov. General information on the GLRI and the Board can be found at http://www.glri.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The Board is a federal advisory committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), Public Law 92–463. EPA established the Board in 2013 to provide independent advice to the EPA Administrator in her capacity as Chair of the federal Great Lakes Interagency Task Force (IATF). The Board conducts business in accordance with FACA and related regulations.

The Board consists of 18 members appointed by EPA's Administrator in her capacity as IATF Chair. Members serve as representatives of state, local and tribal government, environmental groups, agriculture, business, transportation, foundations, educational institutions, and as technical experts.

The Board held teleconferences and meetings in 2013 to develop recommendations for the FY 2015–2019 GLRI Action Plan. In December 2013, the Board issued its Advisory Report. See http://greatlakesrestoration.us/advisory/index.html.

Availability of Meeting Materials: The agenda and other materials in support of the meeting will be available on the GLRI Web site at http://www.glri.us in advance of the meeting.

Procedures for Providing Public Input: Federal advisory committees provide independent advice to federal agencies. Members of the public can submit relevant comments for consideration by the Board. Input from the public to the Board will have the most impact if it provides specific information for the Board to consider. Members of the public wishing to provide comments should contact the Acting DFO directly.

Oral Statements: In general, individuals or groups requesting an oral presentation at this public meeting will be limited to three minutes per speaker, subject to the number of people wanting to comment. Interested parties should contact the Acting DFO in writing (preferably via email) at the contact

 $^{^{1}}$ EPA issued conformity regulations to implement the 1997 PM $_{2.5}$ NAAQS (69 FR 40004, July 1, 2004 and 70 FR 24280, May 6, 2005, respectively). Those actions were not part of the final rule recently remanded to EPA by the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in NRDC v. EPA, No. 08–1250 (January 4, 2013), in which the Court remanded to EPA the implementation rule for the PM $_{2.5}$ NAAQS because it concluded that EPA must implement that NAAQS pursuant to the PM-specific implementation provisions of subpart 4 of Part D of Title I of the CAA, rather than solely under the general provisions of subpart 1.