

Bureau, Purpose of Visit, Facility number and location.

Approvals. Based upon the information required concerning each Foreign National, OSY Headquarters will conduct applicable agency checks and forward the results to the servicing security office. The servicing security office will make a risk assessment determination and notify the DS of approval or denial of access. In the event of denial of access, a senior executive of the affected bureau, operating unit, or office may appeal to the Director for Security who will consider whether the benefits of a proposed visit justify the risks.

Export Licenses. Approval of a visit by a Foreign National Visitor or Guest under this Order does not substitute for a license issued by the Bureau of Industry and Security pursuant to the Export Administration Regulations or any other U.S. Government agency with appropriate jurisdiction.

Debriefing. During the course of a visit by, or upon the departure of, select Visitors and Guests, particularly those from countries designated as State Sponsors of Terrorism and Countries of Proliferation Concern, the servicing security office or OSY Headquarters will conduct a debriefing of the DS and other employees of the Department who have had contact with the Foreign National.

II. Method of Collection

Required information may be submitted via fax or secure file transfer. OSY Headquarters and the servicing security office will maintain a database containing identifying data for all Foreign National Visitors and Guests to which this applies.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0605–XXXX.
Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular submission (new information collection).

Affected Public: Foreign Nationals (any persons who are not citizens or nationals of the United States).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 10,500.

Estimated Time per Response: 10 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,750.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the

agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 29, 2014.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–12776 Filed 6–2–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–03–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–201–846]

Sugar From Mexico: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 3, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kaitlin Wojnar, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–3857; telephone: 202–482–3857.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 17, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the countervailing duty (CVD) investigation of sugar from Mexico.¹ Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than June 23, 2014.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation no

later than 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the Department determines that the parties concerned in the investigation are cooperating and that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act allows the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which it initiated the investigation.

The Department determines that the parties involved in this proceeding are cooperating.² Since the petition for this CVD investigation was filed,³ four separate Mexican sugar exporters/producers filed entries of appearances,⁴ as well as one Mexican sugar industry trade group, which alone represents at least nineteen individual Mexican sugar companies, including the Mexican government's expropriated mill-operating organization, Fondo de Empresas Expropiadas del Sector Azucarero.⁵ Each of these interested parties contributed to the record of this investigation.⁶ Furthermore, the Government of Mexico (GOM) has been actively involved in this proceeding. The GOM is on the public service list for this investigation, sent a delegation to the Department's main building for consultations regarding initiation,⁷ and has since filed comments on the investigation's scope.⁸ Such actions by the Mexican sugar industry and the GOM indicate that the interested parties are currently cooperating in this investigation.

The Department also determines that this investigation is extraordinarily complicated in light of (1) the number and complexity of the alleged countervailable subsidy programs and (2) the need to determine the extent to which particular countervailable subsidies are used by individual manufacturers, producers, and

² See section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act.

³ See Petition for the Imposition of Countervailing Duties on Imports of Sugar from Mexico, March 28, 2014.

⁴ See, e.g., "Entry of Appearance and APO Application," filed on behalf of Impulsora Azucarera del Noroeste, S.A. de C.V., April 24, 2014.

⁵ See "Entry of Appearance and Administrative Protective Order Application" and "Amended Entry of Appearance and Administrative Protective Order Application," filed on behalf of Camara Nacional de Las Industrias Azucarera Y Al Alcoholera, April 11, 2014.

⁶ See, e.g., "Scope Comments," filed on behalf of Batory Foods Inc., May 7, 2014.

⁷ See "Consultations with the Government of Mexico Regarding the Countervailing Duty Petition on Sugar from Mexico," April 11, 2014.

⁸ See "Brief Submission of the Government of Mexico," May 7, 2014.

¹ See *Sugar from Mexico: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 79 FR 22790 (April 24, 2014).

exporters.⁹ Specifically, the Department must analyze at least 20 complex alleged subsidy programs, most of which have never before been examined by the Department.¹⁰ These programs include debt discount and forgiveness, grants, various tax benefits, and preferential loans, which must be examined for each respondent selected and any company that is cross-owned with such respondents. If certain companies are selected as respondents, the Department will also analyze several company-specific uncreditworthiness allegations.¹¹ As such, the Department will likely have to issue multiple supplemental questionnaires. Moreover, the Department determines that additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination in this investigation because initial questionnaires for this investigation have not yet been issued to the GOM or respondents and the Department will require additional time to review and analyze questionnaire responses once received from the GOM, respondents, and any companies cross-owned with respondents.

For these reasons, pursuant to section 703(c)(1) of the Act, the Department is hereby postponing the due date for the preliminary CVD determination to no later than 130 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated. As a result of this postponement, the deadline for completion of the preliminary determination is now August 25, 2014.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: May 28, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-12849 Filed 6-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 12-2 and CPSC Docket No. 13-2]

Notice of Telephonic Prehearing Conference

AGENCY: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

Authority: Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2064.

⁹ See section 703(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act.

¹⁰ See generally "Countervailing Duty Initiation Checklist," April 17, 2014.

¹¹ *Id.* at 24-25.

SUMMARY: Notice of telephonic prehearing conference for the consolidated case: In the Matter of ZEN MAGNETS, LLC; and STAR NETWORKS USA, LLC; CPSC Docket No. 12-2; and CPSC Docket No. 13-2.

DATES: June 19, 2014, 12:00 p.m. Mountain/1:00 p.m. Central/2:00 p.m. Eastern

ADDRESSES: Members of the public are welcome to attend the prehearing conference at the Courtroom of Hon. Dean C. Metry at 601 25th Street, 5th Floor Courtroom, Galveston, Texas 77550.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jan Emig, Paralegal Specialist, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Program, (409) 765-1300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Any or all of the following may be considered during the prehearing conference:

- (1) Petitions for leave to intervene;
- (2) Motions, including motions for consolidation of proceedings and for certification of class actions;
- (3) Identification, simplification and clarification of the issues;
- (4) Necessity or desirability of amending the pleadings;
- (5) Stipulations and admissions of fact and of the content and authenticity of documents;
- (6) Oppositions to notices of depositions;
- (7) Motions for protective orders to limit or modify discovery;
- (8) Issuance of subpoenas to compel the appearance of witnesses and the production of documents;
- (9) Limitation of the number of witnesses, particularly to avoid duplicate expert witnesses;
- (10) Matters of which official notice should be taken and matters which may be resolved by reliance upon the laws administered by the Commission or upon the Commission's substantive standards, regulations, and consumer product safety rules;
- (11) Disclosure of the names of witnesses and of documents or other physical exhibits which are intended to be introduced into evidence;
- (12) Consideration of offers of settlement;
- (13) Establishment of a schedule for the exchange of final witness lists, prepared testimony and documents, and for the date, time and place of the hearing, with due regard to the convenience of the parties; and
- (14) Such other matters as may aid in the efficient presentation or disposition of the proceedings.

Telephonic conferencing arrangements to contact the parties will be made by the court. Mary B. Murphy,

Esq.; Jennifer C. Argabright, Esq.; Daniel R. Vice, Esq.; and Ray M. Aragon, Esq., Counsel for the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, shall be contacted by a third party conferencing center at (301) 504-7809. David C. Japha, Esq., Counsel for ZEN MAGNETS, LLC and STAR NETWORKS USA, LLC shall be contacted by a third party conferencing center at (303) 964-9500.

Dated: May 22, 2014.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-12666 Filed 6-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Renewal of Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committees

AGENCY: DoD.

ACTION: Re-establishment of Federal Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing this notice to announce that it is re-establishing the charter for the National Intelligence University Board of Visitors ("the Board").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Freeman, Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, 703-692-5952.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This committee's charter is being re-established under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C. Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b) ("the Sunshine Act"), and 41 CFR 102-3.50(d).

The Board is a discretionary Federal advisory committee that shall provide the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense, through the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence (USD(I)) and the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, independent advice and recommendations on matters related to mission, policy, accreditation, faculty, students, facilities, curricula, educational methods, research, and administration of the National Intelligence University.

The Board shall report to the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense through the USD(I) and the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, shall provide support, as deemed necessary, for the Board's performance, and shall ensure compliance with the requirements of the FACA, the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended)