

complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves the extension of a previously published suspension of reporting requirements established for CDC barges transiting the inland rivers of the Ninth Coast Guard District. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction. Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, an environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are not required for this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Amend 33 CFR 165.921 by staying paragraphs (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h) from October 3, 2013 until December 31, 2015.

Dated: September 25, 2013.

**Fred M. Midgett,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2013–24153 Filed 10–2–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

##### Coast Guard

##### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG–2012–0309]

RIN 1625–AA00

##### Safety Zone; Chicago Harbor, Navy Pier East, Chicago, IL; Correction

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of enforcement of regulation; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is correcting the docket number in a notice of enforcement that appeared in the **Federal Register** on September 26, 2013 (78 FR 59240). The correct docket number is USCG–2012–0309.

**DATES:** This correction is effective October 3, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** MST1 Joseph McCollum, Prevention Department, Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan, Milwaukee, WI, 414–747–7148.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The heading of the notice of enforcement of regulation published in the **Federal Register** of September 26, 2013, in FR Doc. 2013–23383, on page 59240, contained an incorrect docket Number, “USCG–2013–0309.” The correct RIN Number is “USCG–2013–0309.” We are publishing this notice to correct that error.

#### Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the notice of enforcement of regulation entitled Safety Zone; Chicago Harbor, Navy Pier East, Chicago, IL published in the **Federal Register** of September 26, 2013, in FR Doc. 2013–23383, is corrected as follows: On page 59240, in the heading, “Docket No. USCG–2013–0309” is corrected to read “Docket No. USCG–2012–0309”.

Dated: September 27, 2013.

**Michael Cavallaro,**

*Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Chief, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law.*

[FR Doc. 2013–24152 Filed 10–2–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### United States Patent and Trademark Office

##### 37 CFR Chapter I

[Docket No.: PTO–C–2013–0044]

##### Patent and Trademark Office Acquisition Guidelines (PTAG)

**AGENCY:** United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) has updated the Patent and Trademark Office Acquisition Guidelines (PTAG), which are the agency’s internal operating procedures for procurement.

**DATES:** October 3, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** *Written comments:* Please submit any comments by email to

*loren.howcroft@uspto.gov*. Although electronic comments are preferred, written comments may be submitted by postal mail addressed to: Loren Howcroft, Division Chief—Policy, Analysis and Liaison Division, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Madison East Building, Room MDE 7D03, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314. Comments may also be submitted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Comments submitted to the Federal eRulemaking Portal should include docket number PTO–C–2013–0044 in the subject line of the email. All comments made through the Federal eRulemaking Portal Web site will be made available for public inspection. Therefore, any information that should not be made public, such as an address or phone number, should not be included in the comments. While the USPTO welcomes and values all comments from the public in response to this notice, these comments do not bind the USPTO to any further actions related to the comments, and the USPTO may not respond to any or every comment that is submitted. The USPTO will, however, give consideration to all comments received. To view the PTAG, please visit the USPTO’s Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov> and type “PTAG” in the search box.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Loren Howcroft, Division Chief—Policy, Analysis and Liaison Division, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Office of Procurement, by telephone at (571) 270–1625, or by mail addressed to: Loren Howcroft, Division Chief—Policy, Analysis and Liaison Division, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Madison East Building, Room MDE 7D03, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** As outlined herein, the PTAG has been reorganized into parts that follow the acquisition process and that can be more easily cited. Clarifying language was added to more fully explain the USPTO’s agency-specific procurement flexibilities. This updated PTAG supersedes the one issued on March 10, 2003 [68 FR 25, 2/6/03, effective 3/10/03]. This PTAG will be effective as of October 3, 2013.

#### Nature of Guidelines

The alternate procedures set forth in this notice are intended to incorporate brevity of content, streamlined procedures, innovation in process, flexibility, and discretion to the acquisition process while ensuring objectivity and maximum reasonable