consistent with Department of Defense implementing regulations, DOD 4140.1–R and the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, the Department of the Navy is authorized in the acquisition of new equipment to exchange or sell similar items which are not excess to its needs, and apply the exchange allowance or proceeds of sale in whole or part payment for the items acquired.

Dated: October 31, 2000.

C.G. Carlson,

U.S. Marine Corps, Alternate Federal Register, Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–28867 Filed 11–9–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117 [CGD01-00-239]

Drawbridge Operation Regulations: Danvers River, MA.

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of temporary deviation

from regulations.

SUMMARY: The Commander, First Coast Guard District, has issued a temporary deviation from the drawbridge operation regulations governing the operation of the Massachusetts Bay transportation Authority (MBTA)/Amtrak Bridge, at mile 0.05, across the Danvers River between Beverly and Salem, Massachusetts. This deviation allows the bridge owner to keep the bridge in the closed position from 6 a.m. on Saturday November 18, 2000, through 6 p.m. on Sunday November 19, 2000. This action is necessary to facilitate replacement of the submarine power cables at the bridge.

DATES: This deviation is effective from November 18, 2000, to November 19, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John McDonald, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, at (617) 223–8364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The MBTA/Amtrak Bridge, at mile 0.05, across the Danvers River, has a vertical clearance of 3 feet at mean high water, and 12 feet at mean low water in the closed position. The existing drawbridge operating regulations are listed at 33 CFR 117.595(b).

The bridge owner requested a temporary deviation from the drawbridge operating regulations to facilitate the necessary maintenance for the replacement of the submarine power cables at the bridge. This deviation from the operating regulations allows the bridge owner to keep the bridge in the closed position from 6 a.m. on Saturday, November 18, 2000, through 6 p.m. on Sunday, November 19, 2000. Vessels that can pass under the bridge without an opening may do so at all times during the closed period.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(c), this work will be performed with all due speed in order to return the bridge to normal operation as soon as possible. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: October 31, 2000.

G.N. Naccara,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, First Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 00–28997 Filed 11–9–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[MI74-02-7282a; FRL-6896-3]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; Michigan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is adjusting the applicability date for reinstating the 1hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) in Genesee, Bay, Midland, and Saginaw Counties, Michigan and is determining that these areas have attained the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. This determination is based on 3 consecutive years of complete. quality-assured, ambient air monitoring data for the 1997–1999 ozone seasons that demonstrate that the areas have attained the ozone NAAQS. On the basis of this determination, EPA is also determining that certain attainment demonstration requirements, and certain related requirements of part D of subchapter I of the Clean Air Act (CAA), do not apply to Genesee, Bay, Midland, and Saginaw Counties.

EPA is also approving the State of Michigan's request to redesignate Genesee, Bay, Midland, and Saginaw Counties to attainment for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS. Michigan submitted the redesignation request for these areas on May 9, 2000. EPA is also approving the State's plan for maintaining the 1-hour ozone standard for the next 10 years as

a revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP).

In the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is proposing approval of, and soliciting comments on, this SIP revision. If we receive adverse comments on this action, we will withdraw this final rule and address the comments received in response to this action in a final rule on the related proposed rule. We will not open a second public comment period. Parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: This "direct final" rule is effective January 16, 2001, unless EPA receives adverse written or critical comments by December 13, 2000. If the rule is withdrawn, EPA will publish timely notice in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (We recommend that you telephone John Mooney at (312) 886– 6043 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

A copy of the SIP revision is available for inspection at the Office of Air and Radiation (OAR) Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), Room M1500, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 260–7548.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John M. Mooney, Regulation Development Section (AR–18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6043.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Adjustment of Applicability Date for Reinstating the 1-Hour Ozone Standard
 - A. Why did EPA revoke the 1-hour ozone standard in Genessee, Saginaw, Midland, and Bay Counties?
 - B. Why did EPA reinstate the 1-hour ozone standard in Genessee, Saginaw, Midland, and Bay Counties?
 - C. What does reinstatement mean for Genessee, Saginaw, Midland, and Bay Counties?
- II. Determination of Attainment
 - A. What action is EPA taking?
 - B. Why is EPA taking this action?
 - C. What would be the effect of this action?
- D. What is the background for this action?E. Where is the public record and where do I send comments?
- III. Redesignation Request
 - A. What action is EPA taking?
 - B. What would be the effect of the redesignation?