

(Name and title of affiant)

(Signature of affiant)

Date

*Penalty for False Statement:* A fine or imprisonment, or both, are provided for violation of the proscriptions contained in 18 U.S.C. 1001 (*see also*, 18 U.S.C. 286, 287 and 46 U.S.C. 12151).

■ 4. In § 356.5 revise paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 356.5(g) It is incumbent upon the parties filing affidavits under this part to notify the Citizenship Approval Officer in writing within 30 calendar days of any changes in information last furnished with respect to the officers, directors, and stockholders, including 5 percent or more stockholders of the issued and outstanding stock of each class, together with information concerning their citizenship status. If other than a corporation, comparable information must be filed by other entities owning the Fishing Industry Vessel, including any entity whose ownership interest is being relied upon to establish 75% ownership by Citizens of the United States; (2) if the information contained in an owner's most recent affidavit of citizenship filing has not changed, as an alternative to submitting an annual affidavit of citizenship under this part, a party may file a certification with the Maritime Administration. The certification should be substantially in the following format: "I, \_\_\_\_\_, [Title] of [Name of Corporation] ("Corporation"), being duly authorized by the Corporation, certify to you that there have been no changes to the ownership information contained in the affidavit of citizenship filed with the Maritime Administration on [Date]."

This certification is subject to penalty for false statement. A fine or imprisonment, or both, are provided for violation of the proscriptions contained in 18 U.S.C. 1001 (*see also*, 18 U.S.C. 286, 287 and 46 U.S.C. 12151).

#### § 356.7 [Amended]

■ 5. In § 356.7

(a) Revise paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 356.7(c):

(c) The "fair inference method" is used by corporations whose stock is publicly traded or is held by more than 30 stockholders.

(1) Use of the fair inference method for a publicly traded corporation requires that:

(i) At least 95 percent of the stock (each class) of the corporation be held directly or beneficially by Persons having a U.S. address in order to infer

at least 75 percent ownership by U.S. Citizens; and

(ii) For determining the requisite percentage of stockholders with U.S. addresses, the corporation may rely on the methods outlined in § 356.7(e);

(2) Use of the fair inference method for a non-publicly traded corporation with more than 30 stockholders requires that:

(i) At least 95 percent of the stock (each class) of the corporation be held by Persons having a registered U.S. address in order to infer at least 75 percent ownership by U.S. Citizens; and

(ii) Disclosure be made in the Affidavit of U.S. Citizenship of the names and citizenship of any stockholders who hold five percent or more of the corporation's stock (including all classes of stock, voting and non-voting), officers, and directors.

(b) Add a paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 356.7(e) If the corporation is publicly traded, the corporation may employ any number or combination of methods to measure, monitor, determine, and affirm the required percentage of U.S. citizen share ownership for the primary corporation, including the following:

(i) Use of the Depository Trust Company segregated account (or "SEG-100") system;

(ii) Monitoring Securities and Exchange Commission filings for 5% holders (Schedules 13D, 13G, Form 13F) and requesting citizenship information from those filers;

(iii) Use of protective provisions in organizational documents in order to guard against and rectify the possibility of excess non-citizen share ownership;

(iv) Communications with Non-Objecting Beneficial Owners (or "NOBOs");

(v) Geographic surveys of shareholder addresses provided by proxy service providers;

(vi) Analysis of registered stockholders and use of dual stock certificates; and

(vii) Alternative methods upon written approval of the Citizenship Approval Officer.

#### § 356.19 [Amended]

■ 7. In § 356.19:

■ (a) In paragraph (a)(5), remove "12102(a)" and, in its place, add "12103".

■ (b) In paragraph (b)(1), remove "46 U.S.C. 12102(c) and section 2(c) of the 1916 Act" and, in its place, add "46 U.S.C. 12113 and 46 U.S.C. 50501(d)".

■ (c) In paragraph (b)(6), remove "12102(c)" and, in its place, add "12113".

#### § 356.25 [Amended]

■ 8. In § 356.25:

■ (a) In paragraph (e), remove "section 2(c) of the 1916 Act, 46 App. U.S.C. 802(c) and 46 U.S.C. 12102(c)" and, in its place, add "46 U.S.C. 12113 and 46 U.S.C. 50501(d)".

#### § 356.51 [Amended]

■ 9. In § 356.51(a), remove "12102(c)" and, in its place, add "12113".

#### § 356.53 [Amended]

■ 10. In § 356.53, remove "Room 7228, 400 7th Street, SW" and in its place, add "Mail Stop #4, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE".

(Authority: 46 U.S.C. 12113(e), 12151, 31322, 49 CFR 1.93)

By order of the Maritime Administration.

**T. Mitchell Hudson, Jr.,**

*Secretary, Maritime Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2025-12087 Filed 6-27-25; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4910-81-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

#### 49 CFR Part 107

[Docket No. PHMSA-2025-0095 (HM-268G)]

RIN 2137-AG09

### Hazardous Materials: Improving Efficiencies for Special Permits and Approvals Renewals

**AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This NPRM streamlines the Hazardous Materials Regulations by allowing a grantee to file an application to renew a special permit or approval any time before its expiration date rather than requiring the application to be filed 60 days in advance.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 2, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by the Docket Number PHMSA-2025-0095 using any of the following methods:

*E-Gov Web:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. This site allows the public to enter comments on any **Federal Register** notice issued by any agency. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

*Mail:* Docket Management System: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200

New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

**Hand Delivery:** U.S. DOT Docket Management System: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**Fax:** 1-202-493-2251.

**Instructions:** Please include the docket number PHMSA-2025-0095 at the beginning of your comments. If you submit your comments by mail, submit two copies. If you wish to receive confirmation that PHMSA received your comments, include a self-addressed stamped postcard. Internet users may submit comments at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

**Note:** Comments are posted without changes or edits to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. There is a privacy statement published on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

**Privacy Act:** In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to <https://www.regulations.gov>, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at <https://www.dot.gov/privacy>.

**Confidential Business Information:** Confidential Business Information (CBI) is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. It is important that you clearly designate the comments submitted as CBI if: your comments responsive to this document contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private; you actually treat such information as private; and your comment is relevant or responsive to this notice. You may ask PHMSA to provide confidential treatment to information you give to the agency by taking the following steps: (1) mark each page of the original document submission containing CBI as "Confidential"; (2) send PHMSA, along with the original document, a second copy of the original document with the CBI deleted; and (3) explain why the information that you are submitting is CBI. Submissions containing CBI should be sent to Steven Andrews, Standards and Rulemaking Division, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), 2nd Floor,

1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001, or by email at [steven.andrews@dot.gov](mailto:steven.andrews@dot.gov). Any materials PHMSA receives that is not specifically designated as CBI will be placed in the public docket.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket. Alternatively, you may review the documents in person at the street address listed above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Andrews, Transportation Regulations Specialist, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590, 202-366-8553, [steven.andrews@dot.gov](mailto:steven.andrews@dot.gov).

## I. General Discussion

PHMSA is proposing to revise certain provisions in Part 107 of Chapter I of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) to eliminate unnecessary regulatory burdens. Specifically, PHMSA is proposing to revise the filing requirements in §§ 107.109(b) and 107.705(c) for special permits and approvals. The current requirements in § 107.109(b) for special permits and § 107.705(c) for approvals state that an applicant must apply for a renewal at least 60 days before an existing special permit or approval expires. This proposed revision would streamline the renewal process by allowing for the grantee to apply for a renewal any time up until the expiration of the current special permit.

PHMSA has made a preliminary determination that the current 60-day requirement is overly burdensome and no longer necessary. When PHMSA originally codified that requirement into §§ 107.109(b) and 107.705(c), the application process relied on postal correspondence and a manual paper document system. A 60-day filing period was incorporated into the regulations to allow for mail delivery and manual typing of correspondence. That 60-day period is no longer necessary as the filing process is now completed electronically via the internet and longer relies on mail delivery and paper correspondence.

For these reasons, PHMSA is proposing to revise § 107.109(b) to change "at least 60 days before an existing special permit expires" to "before an existing special permit expires." PHMSA also is proposing to revise § 107.705(c) to change "at least 60 days before an existing approval expires" to "before an existing approval expires," and to change "not filed within 60 days of the expiration date" to "not filed before the expiration date."

PHMSA does not expect that the proposed revisions will have any adverse impact on transportation safety.

## II. Regulatory Analysis and Notices

### A. Legal Authority

This proposed rule is published under the authority of the Secretary of Transportation set forth in the Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation Laws (49 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.*) and delegated to the PHMSA Administrator pursuant to 49 CFR 1.97.

### B. Executive Orders 12866; Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 ("Regulatory Planning and Review"),<sup>1</sup> as implemented by DOT Order 2100.6B ("Policies and Procedures for Rulemaking"), requires agencies to regulate in the "most cost-effective manner," to make a "reasoned determination that the benefits of the intended regulation justify its costs," and to develop regulations that "impose the least burden on society." DOT Order 2100.6B specifies that regulations should generally "not be issued unless their benefits are expected to exceed their costs." In arriving at those conclusions, E.O. 12866 requires that agencies should consider "both quantifiable measures . . . and qualitative measures of costs and benefits that are difficult to quantify" and "maximize net benefits . . . unless a statute requires another regulatory approach." E.O. 12866 also requires that "agencies should assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives, including the alternative of not regulating." DOT Order 2100.6B directs that PHMSA and other Operating Administrations must generally choose the "least costly regulatory alternative that achieves the relevant objectives" unless required by law or compelling safety need.

E.O. 12866 and DOT Order 2100.6B also require that PHMSA submit "significant regulatory actions" to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) within the Executive Office of the President's Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. This proposed rule is a not significant regulatory action pursuant to E.O. 12866; it also has not designated this rule as a "major rule" as defined by the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*).

PHMSA has complied with the requirements in E.O. 12866 as implemented by DOT Order 2100.6B and preliminarily determined that this

<sup>1</sup> 58 FR 51735 (Oct. 4, 1993).

proposed rule will result in cost savings by reducing regulatory burdens and regulatory uncertainty for affected entities by simplifying the special permit and approval renewal procedures. PHMSA expects those cost savings will also result in reduced costs for the public to whom those entities generally transfer a portion of their compliance costs.

#### C. Executive Orders 14192 and 14219

This proposed rule, if finalized as proposed, is expected to be an E.O. 14192 deregulatory action.<sup>2</sup> PHMSA seeks data that would be helpful to generate an estimate of the cost savings from this rule. PHMSA's initial estimates are that the total costs of the rule on the regulated community will be less than zero. Nor does this proposed rule does implicate any of the factors identified in section 2(a) of E.O. 14219 indicative of a regulation that is "unlawful . . . [or] that undermine[s] the national interest."<sup>3</sup>

#### D. Energy-Related Executive Orders 13211, 14154, and 14156

The President has declared in E.O. 14156 ("Declaring a National Energy Emergency")<sup>4</sup> a national emergency to address the United States's inadequate energy development production, transportation, refining, and generation capacity. Similarly, E.O. 14154 ("Unleashing American Energy")<sup>5</sup> asserts a Federal policy to unleash American energy by ensuing access to abundant supplies of reliable, affordable energy from (inter alia) the removal of "undue burden[s]" on the identification, development, or use of domestic energy resources such as PHMSA-jurisdictional shippers and carriers of hazardous materials. PHMSA preliminarily finds this proposed rule is consistent with each of E.O. 14156 and E.O. 14154. The proposed rule will give affected entities greater flexibility for renewing special permits and permits by reducing the time period required for submitting their renewal. PHMSA therefore expects the regulatory amendments in this proposed rule will contribute to the ability of hazardous material shippers and carriers to provide abundant, reliable, affordable energy-related products in response to residential, commercial, and industrial demand.

However, this proposed rule is not a "significant energy action" under E.O. 13211 ("Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect

Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use"), which requires Federal agencies to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for any "significant energy action." Because this proposed rule is not a significant action under E.O. 12866, it will not have a significant adverse effect on supply, distribution, or energy use; and OIRA has therefore not designated this proposed rule as a significant energy action.

#### E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

PHMSA analyzed this proposed rule in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in E.O. 13132 ("Federalism")<sup>6</sup> and the Presidential Memorandum ("Preemption") published in the **Federal Register** on May 22, 2009.<sup>7</sup> E.O. 13132 requires agencies to assure meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that may have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." The Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation laws contain an express preemption provision at 49 U.S.C. 5125(b) that preempts state, local, and tribal requirements on certain covered subjects, unless the non-federal requirements are "substantively the same" as the federal requirements, including the following:

- (1) The designation, description, and classification of hazardous material;
- (2) The packing, repacking, handling, labeling, marking, and placarding of hazardous material;
- (3) The preparation, execution, and use of shipping documents related to hazardous material and requirements related to the number, contents, and placement of those documents;
- (4) The written notification, recording, and reporting of the unintentional release in transportation of hazardous material; and
- (5) The design, manufacture, fabrication, inspection, marking, maintenance, recondition, repair, or testing of a packaging or container represented, marked, certified, or sold as qualified for use in transporting hazardous material in commerce.

This proposed rule addresses covered subject items paragraph I above and would preempt state, local, and Tribal requirements not meeting the "substantively the same" standard.

While the proposed rule may operate to

preempt some State requirements, it would not impose any regulation that has substantial direct effects on the States, the relationship between the National Government and the States, or the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. The preemptive effect of the regulatory amendments in this proposed rule is limited to the minimum level necessary to achieve the objectives of the Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation laws. Therefore, the consultation and funding requirements of E.O. 13132 do not apply.

#### F. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) requires Federal agencies to conduct an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) for a proposed rule subject to notice-and-comment rulemaking under the APA unless the agency head certifies that the proposed rule in the rulemaking will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. E.O. 13272 ("Proper Consideration of Small Entities in Agency Rulemaking")<sup>8</sup> obliges agencies to establish procedures promoting compliance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act. DOT posts its implementing guidance on a dedicated web page.<sup>9</sup> This proposed rule was developed in accordance with E.O. 13272 and DOT implementing guidance to ensure compliance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The proposed rule is expected to reduce burdens. Therefore, PHMSA certifies the proposed rule does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### G. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*) requires agencies to assess the effects of Federal regulatory actions on State, local, and Tribal governments, and the private sector. For any proposed or direct final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by state, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate of \$100 million or more (in 1996 dollars) in any given year, the agency must prepare, amongst other things, a written statement that qualitatively and quantitatively assesses the costs and benefits of the Federal mandate.

<sup>2</sup> 90 FR 9065 (Jan. 31, 2025).

<sup>3</sup> 90 FR 10583 (Feb. 19, 2025).

<sup>4</sup> 90 FR 8353 (Jan. 29, 2025).

<sup>5</sup> 90 FR 8353 (Jan. 29, 2025).

<sup>6</sup> 64 FR 43255 (Aug. 10, 1999).

<sup>7</sup> 74 FR 24693 (May 22, 2009).

<sup>8</sup> 67 FR 53461 (Aug. 16, 2002).

<sup>9</sup> DOT, "Rulemaking Requirements Related to Small Entities," <https://www.transportation.gov/regulations/rulemaking-requirements-concerning-small-entities> (last accessed Sept 3, 2024).

This proposed rule does not impose unfunded mandates under UMRA because it does not result in costs of \$100 million or more (in 1996 dollars) per year for either State, local, or Tribal governments, or to the private sector.

#### H. National Environmental Policy Act

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) requires that Federal agencies assess and consider the impact of major Federal actions on the human and natural environment.

PHMSA analyzed this proposed rule in accordance with NEPA and has preliminarily determined that the rulemaking will not adversely affect safety and therefore will not significantly affect the quality of the human and natural environment. The public is invited to comment on the impact of the proposed action.

#### I. Executive Order 13175

PHMSA analyzed this proposed rule according to the principles and criteria in E.O. 13175 (“Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments”) <sup>10</sup> and DOT Order 5301.1A (“Department of Transportation Tribal Consultation Policies and Procedures”). E.O. 13175 requires agencies to assure meaningful and timely input from Tribal government representatives in the development of rules that significantly or uniquely affect Tribal communities by imposing “substantial direct compliance costs” or “substantial direct effects” on such communities or the relationship or distribution of power between the Federal government and Tribes.

PHMSA assessed the impact of the proposed rule and determined that it will not significantly or uniquely affect Tribal communities or Indian Tribal governments. The rulemaking’s regulatory amendments have a broad, national scope; therefore, this proposed rule will not significantly or uniquely affect Tribal communities, much less impose substantial compliance costs on Native American Tribal governments or mandate Tribal action. For these reasons, PHMSA has concluded that the funding and consultation requirements of E.O. 13175 and DOT Order 5301.1A do not apply.

#### J. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR 1320.8(d) requires that PHMSA provide interested members of the public and affected agencies with an opportunity to

comment on information collection and recordkeeping requests. This rulemaking will not create, amend, or rescind any existing information collections.

#### K. Executive Order 13609 and International Trade Analysis

E.O. 13609 (“Promoting International Regulatory Cooperation”) <sup>11</sup> requires agencies consider whether the impacts associated with significant variations between domestic and international regulatory approaches are unnecessary or may impair the ability of American business to export and compete internationally. In meeting shared challenges involving health, safety, labor, security, environmental, and other issues, international regulatory cooperation can identify approaches that are at least as protective as those that are or would be adopted in the absence of such cooperation. International regulatory cooperation can also reduce, eliminate, or prevent unnecessary differences in regulatory requirements.

Similarly, the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96–39), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Pub. L. 103–465), prohibits Federal agencies from establishing any standards or engaging in related activities that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States. For purposes of these requirements, Federal agencies may participate in the establishment of international standards, so long as the standards have a legitimate domestic objective, such as providing for safety, and do not operate to exclude imports that meet this objective. The statute also requires consideration of international standards and, where appropriate, that they be the basis for U.S. standards.

PHMSA engages with international standards setting bodies to protect the safety of the American public. PHMSA has assessed the effects of the proposed rule and has determined that its regulatory amendments will not cause unnecessary obstacles to foreign trade.

#### L. Cybersecurity and Executive Order 14028

E.O. 14028 (“Improving the Nation’s Cybersecurity”) <sup>12</sup> directed the Federal government to improve its efforts to identify, deter, and respond to “persistent and increasingly sophisticated malicious cyber campaigns.” PHMSA has considered the effects of the proposed and has determined that its regulatory

amendments would not materially affect the cybersecurity risk profile for affected entities.

#### List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 107

Administrative practice and procedure, Hazardous materials transportation, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth above, PHMSA proposes to amend 49 CFR part 107 as follows:

### PART 107—HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PROGRAM PROCEDURES

- 1. The authority citation for part 107 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 5101–5128, 44701; Pub. L. 101–410 Section 4; Pub. L. 104–121 Sections 212–213; Pub. L. 104–134 Section 31001; Pub. L. 114–74 Section 701 (28 U.S.C. 2461 note); 49 CFR 1.81 and 1.97; 33 U.S.C. 1321.

- 2. In § 107.109, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

#### § 107.109 Application for renewal.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) If the holder submits a complete renewal application meeting the requirements outlined in this section before the expiration of an existing special permit, the special permit will not expire until final administrative action on the renewal application has been taken.

\* \* \* \* \*

- 3. In § 107.705, revise paragraph (c) to read as follows:

#### § 107.705 Registrations, reports, and applications for approval.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) For an approval with an expiration date, each application for renewal or modification must be filed in the same manner as an original application. If, before an existing approval expires the holder files an application for renewal that is complete and conforms to the requirements of this section, the approval will not expire until final administrative action on the application for renewal has been taken. Operation under an expired approval not filed before the expiration date is prohibited. This paragraph does not limit the authority of the Associate Administrator to modify, suspend, or terminate an approval under § 107.713.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 26, 2025, under the authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.97.

**Benjamin D. Kochman,**  
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2025–12084 Filed 6–27–25; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4910–60–P**

<sup>10</sup> 65 FR 67249 (Nov. 9, 2000).

<sup>11</sup> 77 FR 26413 (May 4, 2012).

<sup>12</sup> 86 FR 26633 (May 17, 2021).