

OSM has identified three possible alternatives that the combined PED/EIS would evaluate:

- Alternative 1—Designate the entire petition area as unsuitable for surface coal mining operations.
- Alternative 2—Not designate any of the area as unsuitable for surface coal mining operations.
- Alternative 3—Designate parts of the petition area as unsuitable for all or certain types of surface coal mining operations.

A scoping comment period is intended to raise the relevant issues to be addressed by the combined document. OSM seeks public comments in relation to the scope of issues to be addressed by the impact evaluation, including impacts and alternatives that should be addressed. Written comments should be specific and confined to issues pertinent to the petition. The public comments received during the scoping period will assist OSM in making decisions on the petition evaluation and in preparing the PED/EIS. OSM believes that the proposed action is a major Federal action that may significantly affect the quality of the human environment and may require the preparation of an EIS. OSM additionally gives notice here that should information or analyses show that the proposed action does not require an EIS, we will terminate the EIS process through an appropriate notice in the **Federal Register**, prepare an environmental assessment, and continue processing of the petition under the regulations at 30 CFR parts 764 and 942.

Dated: January 31, 2011.

Sterling Rideout,

Assistant Director, Program Support.

[FR Doc. 2011-2765 Filed 2-7-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-713]

Certain Display Devices Including Digital Televisions and Monitors; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to

review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 25) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 21, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Sony Corporation of Japan ("Sony"). 75 FR 20860-1. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital display devices including digital televisions and monitors by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,434,626; 5,751,373; 6,111,614; 5,583,577; 5,684,542; 5,731,847; 6,661,472; 6,816,131; Re 38,055; and Re 40,468. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named various respondents including Chimei Innolux Corporation and Innolux Corporation (collectively "CMI"); TPV Technology Limited; Top Victory Electronics (Taiwan) Co.; TPV International (USA), Inc.; Envision Peripherals, Inc.; Top Victory Investments Ltd.; TPV Electronics (Fujian) Co. Ltd.; TPV Display Technology (Wuhan) Co.; TPV Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd. (collectively "TPV respondents"). On September 24, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID granting Sony's motion to terminate the TPV

respondents based on a settlement agreement.

On December 16, 2010, Sony and respondents CMI filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement as embodied in a memorandum of understanding. On December 27, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

On January 3, 2011, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b). No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: February 2, 2011.

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 2011-2683 Filed 2-7-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Rutherford Oil Corporation, et al.*, No. 3:08-cv-231, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas on February 2, 2011.

This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against Rutherford Oil Corporation, Brown Water Marine Service, Inc., Caillou Island Towing Company, Inc., Inland Marine Management Corporation, and Triple S Marine, LLC, pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and 33 U.S.C. 403, to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging pollutants without a permit into waters of the United States. The proposed Consent Decree resolves these allegations by requiring the Defendants to conduct a restoration project and to pay a civil penalty. The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Please