proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic analysis, preparation of preliminary engineering plans, and a study of environmental impacts. Based on the results of these studies, the Applicant would decide whether to proceed with the preparation of a development application to construct and operate the project.

p. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular

q. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION"; "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing an original and eight copies to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Hydropower Administration and Compliance, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above-mentioned address. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. Please include the project number (P–12385–000) on any comments or motions filed.

r. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to

have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

#### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–3119 Filed 2–6–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6637-4]

## **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed January 27, 2003, through January 31, 2003, pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 030046, DRAFT EIS, BLM, WY, South Powder River Basin Coal Project, Proposed Application for Leasing of Five Federal Coal Tracts: NARO North/South (North Antelope/Rochelle Mine Complex), Little Thunder (Black Thunder Mine) West Roundup (North Rochelle Mine) and West Antelope (Antelope Mine), Campbell and Converse Counties, WY, Comment Period Ends: April 08, 2003, Contact: Nancy Doelger (307) 261–7627.

This document is available on the Internet at: http://www.wyblm.com.

EIS No. 030047, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MI, Interior Wetlands Project, Proposal to Harvest Timber, Prune White Pine Trees, Adjust the Growth System, Create and Maintain Wildlife Openings and Improve Transportation System, Hiawatha National Forest, Eastside Administrative Unit, Chippewa County, MI, Comment Period Ends: April 08, 2003, Contact: Martha Sjogren (906) 643–7900.

This document is available on the Internet at: http://www.fs.fed.us/r9/hiawatha.

EIS No. 030048, DRAFT EIS, DOD, CA, AS, AK, HI, WA, Ground-Based Midcourse Defense (GMD) Extended Test Range (ETR) Project, Proposal to Construct and Operate Additional Launch and Test Facilities including the Sea Based X-Band Radar, Comment Period Ends: March 24, 2003, Contact: David Hasley (256) 955–4170.

### **Amended Notices**

EIS No. 030034, DRAFT EIS, AFS, CA, Stream Fire Restoration Project, Implementation, Plumas National Forest, Mt. Hough Ranger District, Plumas County, CA, Comment Period Ends: March 17, 2003, Contact: Rich Bednarski (530) 283–7641.

Revision of FR Notice Published on 1/31/2003: Correction to Telephone number.

Dated: February 4, 2003.

#### Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 03–3060 Filed 2–6–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6637-5]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 12, 2002 (67 FR 17992).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-AFS-F65034-WI Rating LO, Northwest Howell Project, Timber Harvest, Wildlife Openings Maintenance, Aspen and Jack Pine Types Regeneration, Hardwood and Conifer Tree Seedilings Protection, Lakes Habitat Improvements and Transportation System Development, Eagle-Florence District, Chequameg-Nicolet National Forest, Forest and Florence Counties, WI.

Summary: EPA has no objections to this vegetation management plan since the design features in the project should function to avoid and reduce potential impacts.

ERP No. D-AFS-F65035-WI Rating LO, Cayuga Project Area, Various Resource Management Projects, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Great Divide Ranger District, Ashland County, WI.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative, we believe Alternative 4 is environmentally preferable because of its emphasis on long-term ecosystem health over the desires of forest resource consumers.

ERP No. D-AFS-G65085-NM Rating EC2, Sacramento, Dry Canyon and Davis Grazing Allotments, Authorization of Livestock Grazing Activities, Lincoln