

duty order on pure magnesium from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 6, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debra Baker (202-205-3180), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 6, 2000, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (65 FR 17531, April 3, 2000) was adequate and the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on August 1, 2000, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before August 4, 2000, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by August 4, 2000. However, should Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 14, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-18317 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

United States Parole Commission

Sunshine Act Meeting; Record of Vote of Meeting Closure (Public Law 94-409) (5 U.S.C. Sec 552b)

I, Michael J. Gaines, Chairman of the United States Parole Commission, was present at a meeting of said Commission which started at approximately three p.m. on Wednesday, July 12, 2000, at the U.S. Parole Commission, 5550 Friendship Boulevard, 4th Floor, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815. The purpose of the meeting was to decide two appeals from the National Commissioners' decisions pursuant to 28 CFR section 2.27. Five Commissioners were present, constituting a quorum when the vote to close the meeting was submitted.

Public announcement further describing the subject matter of the meeting and certifications of General Counsel that this meeting may be closed by vote of the Commissioners present were submitted to the Commissioners prior to the conduct of any other business. Upon motion duly made, seconded, and carried, the following Commissioners voted that the meeting be closed: Michael J. Gaines, Marie F. Ragghianti, Edward F. Reilly, Jr., John R. Simpson, and Janie L. Jeffers.

In Witness Whereof, I make this official record of the vote taken to close this meeting and authorize this record to be made available to the public.

Dated: July 14, 2000.

Michael J. Gaines,

Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 00-18483 Filed 7-18-00; 11:02 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

July 10, 2000.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation for BLS, ETA, PWBA, and OASAM contact Karin Kurz ((202) 219-5096 ext. 159 or by E-mail to Kurz-Karin@dol.gov). To obtain documentation of ESA, MSHA, OSHA, and VETS contact Darrin King

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by Magcorp to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

((202) 219-5096 ext. 151 or by E-mail to King-Darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Type of Review: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Job Corps Application Data.

OMB Number: 1205-0025.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Form	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response	Estimated total burden
ETA 652	94,792	One-time	94,792	25 Min	39,497
ETA 655	91,732	One-time	91,732	5 Min	7,644
ETA 682	7,768	On occasion	7,768	5 Min	640
Totals					47,781

Total annualized capital/startup costs: \$2,680,000.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: These forms are used to obtain information for screening and enrollment purposes to determine eligibility for the Job Corps Program. They are prepared by the admissions counselor for each applicant and have no further impact on the public.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Office.

[FR Doc. 00-18350 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

TA-W-37, 435 and NAFTA-3754; Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Distribution Center, Oshkosh, Wisconsin (July 10, 2000)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of July, 2000.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00-18357 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,603]

A. Schulman, Inc., Dispersion Division, Orange, TX; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 28, 2000, the petitioner, PACE Local 4-836, requests administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on May 25, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40135).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The workers at A. Schulman, Inc., Dispersion Division, Orange, Texas, produce polypropylene and polyethylene products (TPPs and PBAs). The workers were denied eligibility to apply for TAA based on the finding that criterion (3) of the worker group eligibility requirements of Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm.

The petitioner asserts that the production equipment moved to Mexico will be used to produce articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the workers of A. Schulman, Inc. at the Orange, Texas plant.

The Trade Act of 1974 does not contain provisions to certify a worker group based on a shift in production to a foreign country.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,435 and NAFTA-3754]

Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Distribution Center, Oshkosh, Wisconsin; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Distribution Center, Oshkosh, Wisconsin. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.