

SAFEGUARDS:

In accordance with the requirements of 42 CFR 2.16, USMS EAP, contractor records are stored in a secure environment. Access to USMS EAP records is restricted to designated USMS EAP personnel, except as otherwise permitted by law or with the written consent of the individual. Vouchers prepared to effect payment for services rendered by the contractors in performance of the contract do not contain individual identifiers. Invoices prepared by contractors located outside the Washington, DC metropolitan area are sent by first-class mail to the designated member(s) of the local contractors contracted with the USMS. In turn, invoices or other records prepared in support of payment vouchers which contain individual identifiers are hand-carried by the local contractors to the EAP Administrator who retains the supporting documentation. Records are maintained in locked metal safes. Entry to headquarters is restricted by 24-hour guard service to employees with official and electronic identification.

Access to contractors records is restricted to a designated member(s) of the contractors, except as otherwise provided by law or with the written consent of the individual. Contractors records are stored in locked files also.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Records, paper or electronic, are retained for three years after the individual ceases contact with the USMS EAP and/or the contractor unless a longer retention period is necessary because of pending administrative or judicial proceedings. In such cases, the records are retained for six months after the case is closed. At that time the records are destroyed by shredding (General Records Schedules 26 and 36).

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Employee Assistance Program Administrator, Health and Safety Team, Human Resources Division, United States Marshals Service, CS-3, Washington, DC 20530-1000.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Same as "Record access procedures."

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Address all requests for access to the USMS EAP records in writing to system manager identified above. Address all requests for records maintained by the contractor to these service providers. Address(es) of these service providers may be obtained by contacting the USMS EAP Office. Clearly mark the envelope and letter "Privacy Act

Request." Clearly indicate the name of the requester, nature of the record sought, and approximate date of the record. In addition, provide the required verification of identity (28 CFR 16.41(d)) and a return address for transmitting the information.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Direct all requests to contest or amend information in accordance with the procedures outlined under "Record access procedures." State clearly and concisely the information being contested, the reasons for contesting it, and the proposed amendment to the information sought. Clearly mark the letter and envelope "Privacy Act Amendment Request."

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Records are generated by the EAP client who is the subject of the record; USMS EAP personnel; the contractors; and the specialized service providers; the USMS Human Resources Division; and the employee's supervisor. In the case of a confirmed, unjustified positive drug test, records may also be generated by the staff of the Drug-Free Workplace Program and the Medical Review Officer.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

[FR Doc. E7-16894 Filed 8-24-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—the Nanoparticle Flow Processing Consortium**

Notice is hereby given that, on July 16, 2007, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Nanoparticle Flow Processing Consortium has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: 3M Company, St. Paul, MN; The Proctor & Gamble Company, Cincinnati, OH; Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY; BASF Aktiengesellschaft,

Ludwigshafen, Germany, and Imperial Chemical Industries PLC, London, United Kingdom. The general area of Nanoparticle Flow Processing Consortium's planned activity is to: (1) Develop, test, and validate computer-simulation technologies of near-term application that can improve the quality and reduce the cost of nanoparticle suspension/dispersion manufacture (including suspension stability and processibility); (2) transfer the technology developed under the Research and Development Program in a manner that offers the Consortium members opportunities for commercial advantage; and (3) develop methodologies and aptitude for modeling and simulation of multiscale phenomena intrinsic to the stability and dynamics of dense, nanoparticle suspensions. This development will be synergistic and applicable to many U.S. Department of Energy campaigns for simulation (*viz.* C6, ASC, and other science and technology initiatives like those underpinning MESA).

J. Robert Kramer II,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 07-4166 Filed 8-24-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Center for Manufacturing Sciences, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on July 24, 2007, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), National Center for Manufacturing Sciences, Inc. ("NCMS") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ahura Scientific, Inc., Wilmington, MA; Ben Franklin Technology Partners, Philadelphia, PA; Camber Corporation, Huntsville, AL; City of Detroit Information Technology Services Dept., Detroit, MI; Electro-Mechanical Associates, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI; H.A. Burrow Pattern Works, Inc., La Habra, CA; I.D. Systems, Inc., Hackensack, NJ; MichBio, Ann Arbor, MI; Oxonica plc, Mountain View, CA; Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN;