

States v. Drum Service Company of Florida, et al., M.D. FL, Civil No. 98–687–Civ–Orl–28C, DOJ Ref. #90–11–2–266.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Region 4 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlanta, GA 30303 and the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Florida, Federal building & U.S. Courthouse, 80 N. Hughey Avenue, Orlando, Florida 32801 c/o Assistant U.S. Attorney Roberto Rodriguez. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, Post Office Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In requesting copies please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$12.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Ellen Mahan,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01–11122 Filed 5–2–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with 28 CFR 50.7 and Section 122 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9622, notice is hereby given that on April 23, 2001, a proposed consent decree in *United States v. General Motors Corp.*, Civil Action NO. 01–CV–0589, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York.

In this action the United States sought costs for response activities in connection with the aluminum diecasting facility owned by General Motors Corp. in Massena, New York. The Complaint alleges that the defendant is liable under Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), of CERCLA. Pursuant to the decree, defendant will pay to the United States past unreimbursed response costs in an amount totaling at least \$1,245,832.73, plus interest.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC

20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. Alcoa, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–558A.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of New York, James T. Foley Federal Building, 445 Broadway, Albany, New York, 12207 and at U.S. EPA, (Region II) 290 Broadway, 17th Floor New York, New York 10007–1866. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Ronald Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01–11125 Filed 5–2–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Clean Air Act, and the Clean Water Act

In accordance with 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on April 18, 2001, a Consent Decree in *United States v. Massachusetts Institute of Technology*, Civil Action No. 01–cv10646–JLT, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. A complaint in the action was also filed simultaneously with the lodging of the Consent Decree. In the complaint the United States alleges that the defendant Massachusetts Institute of Technology (“MIT”) (a) violated federal hazardous waste emergency, storage, handling, and labeling regulations promulgated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6901, *et seq.* (“RCRA”), (b) failed to comply with requirements relating to monitoring and reporting in violation of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*, and (c) failed to meet regulatory requirements relating to oil spill prevention plans in violation of section 311 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1321.

Under the proposed decree, MIT will pay a civil penalty of \$155,000, undertake three Supplemental Environmental Projects, and comply with a variety of injunctive measures to achieve full compliance with RCRA, the CAA, and the CWA.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044, and should refer to *United States v. Massachusetts Institute of Technology*, D.J. Ref. 90–7–1–06942.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Suite 9200, 1 Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, and at the Region I office of the Environmental Protection Agency, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, Massachusetts 02114. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, PO Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check (there is a 25 cent per page reproduction cost) in the amount of \$20.25 payable to the “Consent Decree Library.”

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01–11123 Filed 5–2–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The ATM Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on March 29, 2001, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), The ATM Forum has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Verilink, Madison, AL; ShareGate, Inc., Reno, NV; Mahi Networks, Petaluma, CA; Pivotech Systems, Inc., Piscataway, NJ; Partner Votstream, Vojens, Denmark; and SII Network Systems, Inc., Chiba-shi, Chiba, Japan have been added as parties to this venture. The following principal members have downgraded to auditing members: Thales Communications,

Colombes Cedex, France; Fujitsu, Raleigh, NC; Zdruzenie ATM v SR, Bratislava, Slovakia; Paradyne, Largo, FL; and CNT Corporation, Plymouth, MN. The following members changed their names: CSELT S.p.A. to Telcom Italia Lab S.p.A., Torino, Italy; and Thomson-CSF to Thales Communications, Colombes Cedex, France. The following members have been involved in acquisitions: Spyrant, Calabasas, CA acquired Hekimian Laboratories, Rockville, MD; Qwest Communications, Arlington, VA acquired US West, Boulder, CO; Avtec Systems, Inc., Fairfax, VA acquired Symbiont, Fairfax, VA; Dynegy Connect, LP, Aurora, CO acquired Extant, Aurora, CO; Natural MicroSystems, Inc., St-Hubert, Quebec, Canada acquired InnoMediaLogic, Inc., Framingham, MA; and Altera Corporation, High Wycombe, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom acquired DesignPRO, Inc., Nepean, Ontario, Canada. Also, Elsa Communications, Helsinki, Finland; Ciena Corporation, Marlboro, MA; K-Net, Ltd., Odiham, Hampshire, United Kingdom; Societe Europeene Des Satellites S.A., Betzdorf, Luxembourg; Telecom New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand; Roke Manor Research, Romsey Hampshire, United Kingdom; University of Tech Helsinki, Espoo, Finland; University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg Germany; Central Research Institute of Electric Power, Tokyo, Japan; and Intercai Telematics Consultants, Utrecht, The Netherlands have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and The ATM Forum intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 19, 1993, The ATM Forum filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 2, 1993 (58 FR 31415).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 29, 2000. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 27, 2001 (66 FR 12565).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 01-11127 Filed 5-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1933—PKI Forum, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 2, 2001, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), PKI Forum, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Andes Networks, Inc., Mountain View, CA; Baltimore, Dublin, Ireland; CertCo, New York, NY; Chrysalis-ITS, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Cisco Systems, San Jose, CA; Communications Electronics Security Group (CESG), Cheltenham, Glos, United Kingdom; Compaq Computer Corporation, Houston, TX; Computer Associates, Herndon, VA; Conclusive Logic, Maidenhead, Berks, United Kingdom; Cryptomathic, Aarhus C, Denmark; Cylink, Corporation, Santa Clara, CA; DataKey, Inc., Minneapolis, MN; De La Rue InterClear Limited, Gasingstoke, United Kingdom; Digital Signature Trust Co., Salt Lake City, UT; Diversinet Corp., Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Entrust Technologies, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Fujitsu Limited, Tokyo, Japan; FundSERV Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada; GlobalSign SA/NV, Brussels, Belgium; LockStar, Inc., Lyndhurst, NJ; Neucum Corporation, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo, Japan; Odyssey Technologies Ltd, Chennai, India; RSA Security, Inc., Bedford, MA; SECUDE GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany; SHYM Technology, Inc., Needham, MA; SSE Ltd, Dublin, Ireland; SSH Communications Security Corp., Helsinki, Finland; Sybase Inc., Emeryville, CA; TeleTrusT e.V., Erfurt, Germany; VeriSign, Inc., Mountain View, CA; Visa International, Foster City, CA; and Wells Fargo, San Francisco, CA.

The venture was formed as a Delaware non-stock member corporation. The nature and objectives of the venture are (a) to provide a forum for the demonstration of support for standards-based, interoperable public key infrastructure as a foundation for e-

business and e-business applications; (b) to foster interoperability by interacting with appropriate standards and testing bodies; (c) to initiate studies and demonstration projects to show the value of interoperable PKI Forum, Inc. and PKI Forum, Inc. based solutions; and (d) to undertake such other activities as may from time to time be appropriate to further the purposes and achieve the goals set forth above.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 01-11128 Filed 5-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1933—Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd.

Notice is hereby given that, on January 8, 2001, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd. ("WAP") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Air-Go Technologies Corp., San Francisco, CA; APAS Inc., Tokyo, Japan; Apollis AG, Munchen, Germany; Arcot Systems, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; Banksys, Brussels, Belgium; Blue Martini Software, San Mateo, CA; Cherrypicks, Hong Kong, Hong Kong-China; Civista Ltd., Tolworth, England, United Kingdom; ClientSoft Inc., Hawthorne, NY; Columbitech AB, Stockholm, Sweden; Digital Boardwalk, Inc., Santa Monica, CA; EncryptTix, Inc., El Segundo, CA; Equifax, Atlanta, GA; India's Wireless internet Initiative (IWIN), Bangalore, India; Intergraph Corporation, Inc., Huntsville, AL; Inventec Electronics (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, People's Republic of China; KPMG Consulting LLC, McLean, VA; Leap Corporation, Atlanta, GA; Lightbridge, Inc., Burlington, MA; Logical Design Solutions, Inc., Morristown, NJ; mCentric Ltd., London, England, United Kingdom; Mgate Systems AB, Stockholm, Sweden; MobileRAIN Technologies, Inc., Union City, CA; MobileWebSurf.com, Milpitas, CA; Mspect, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA;