

Authority: These data will be collected under the authority of 7 U.S.C. 2204(a). Individually identifiable data collected under this authority are governed by section 1770 of the Food Security Act of 1985, 7 U.S.C. 2276, which requires USDA to afford strict confidentiality to non-aggregated data provided by respondents. This Notice is submitted in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-113) and Office of Management and Budget regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 (60 FR 44978, August 29, 1995).

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 20 minutes per response.

Respondents: Farmers, Ranchers, Farm Managers, and producers of Nursery, Greenhouse and Floricultural Products.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 50,000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 16,700 hours.

Copies of this information collection and related instructions can be obtained without charge from NASS Clearance Officer, at (202) 720-2248.

Comments Are Invited On: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All responses to this notice will become a matter of public record and be summarized in the request for OMB approval.

Signed at Washington, DC, February 19, 2008.

Joseph T. Reilly,
Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc. E8-4987 Filed 3-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1543]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 202 Los Angeles, California, Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 202, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand its zone to include a site within the Tejon Industrial Complex (Site 23—177 acres) in Lebec, California, adjacent to the Los Angeles-Long Beach Customs and Border Protection port of entry (FTZ Docket 44-2006, filed 11/8/06);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 66499, 11/15/06) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 202 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including section 400.28, subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and further subject to a sunset provision that would terminate authority on March 31, 2013, for Site 23 where no activity has occurred under FTZ procedures before that date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of February 2008.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST: _____
Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-5050 Filed 3-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1545]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 75 Phoenix, AZ

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the City of Phoenix, Arizona, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 75, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand its zone to include two sites located at the Riverside Industrial Center (Site 3-74 acres) and at the Santa Fe Business Park (Site 4-18 acres) in Phoenix, Arizona, within the Phoenix Customs and Border Protection port of entry (FTZ Docket 36-2007, filed 8/14/07);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 46603, 8/21/07) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 75 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of February 2008.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST: _____
Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-5049 Filed 3-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1542]

Reorganization and Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 39 Dallas/Fort Worth, TX, Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-

Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 39, submitted an application to the Board for authority to reorganize and expand Site 2 (Dallas Logistics Hub—1,949 acres) and to expand the zone to include additional sites located at the Duke Intermodal Park in Hutchins (Site 7—39 acres), within the Sunridge Business Park in Wilmer (Site 8—434 acres), at the Dalport Business Park in Wilmer (Site 9—356 acres), within the Lancaster Municipal Airport Complex in Lancaster (Site 10—50 acres), at the ProLogis 20/35 Industrial Park in Lancaster (Site 11—175 acres), and at the Crossroads Trade Center in DeSoto (Site 12—112 acres), within the Dallas Customs and Border Protection port of entry (FTZ Docket 35—2007, filed 8/8/07);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 46603, 8/21/07) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize and expand FTZ 39 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and further subject to a sunset provision that would terminate authority on March 31, 2015, for Sites 2, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 where no activity has occurred under FTZ procedures before that date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of February 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-5048 Filed 3-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-830]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 7, 2007, the Department published the preliminary results of its fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Mexico. The review covers one producer of the subject merchandise, Hylsa Puebla, S.A. de C.V. ("Hylsa"). The period of review ("POR") is October 1, 2005, through September 30, 2006. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 13, 2008

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff or Jolanta Lawska, Office 3, Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1009 or (202) 482-8362, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 7, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Mexico. *See Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Steel Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico*, 72 FR 62820 November 7, 2007 ("Preliminary Results").

We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On December 7, 2007, we received case briefs from Hylsa and petitioners.¹ On December 12, 2007, Hylsa and petitioners submitted rebuttal briefs. No party requested a hearing.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of

approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter.

Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") definitions for (a) stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (*i.e.*, products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements: 0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorus, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium).

Also excluded from the scope are 1080 grade tire cord quality wire rod and 1080 grade tire bead quality wire rod. This grade 1080 tire cord quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod measuring 5.0 mm or more but not more than 6.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.15 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.30 mm or less with 3 or fewer breaks per ton, and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.006 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel and chromium.

This grade 1080 tire bead quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod measuring 5.5 mm or more but not more than 7.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.2 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.78 mm or larger with 0.5

¹ Petitioners are Mittal Steel USA Inc. - Georgetown, Gerdau USA Inc., Nucor Steel Connecticut Inc., Keystone Consolidated Industries Inc., and Rocky Mountain Steel Mills.