

TABLE 1.—SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES A, B, AND C—Continued
[Mitigation indicated in footnotes]

Resource ¹	Alternative A: Consolidation						Alternative B: Virtual con- solidation	Alternative C: No action
	A.2.1 NASA John- son Space Center	A.2.2 NASA Sten- nis Space Center	A.4.1 Aerospace Technology Park (by GRC)	A.4.2 Central Flori- da Research Park (CFRP) by KSC)	A.4.3 City Center at Oyster Point (by LaRC)	A.4.4 Cummings Research Park (CRP) (by MSFC)		
Biological Re- sources ¹⁴ .	Low to no im- pact ¹⁵ .	Low to no im- pact.	Low to no im- pact ¹⁶ .	Low to no im- pact.	No impact	No impact	No impact	No impact.
Ecological Resources.	No impact	No impact	Wetlands im- pact to be mitigated ¹⁷ .	No impact	No impact	No impact	No impact	No impact.
Cultural and Historic Re- sources ¹⁸ .	Low to no im- pact ¹⁹ .	No impact	Low to no im- pact ²⁰ .	Low to no im- pact ²¹ .	Low to no im- pact ²² .	No impact	No impact	No impact.
Environmenta- l Justice ²³ .	No adverse impact.	No adverse impact.	No adverse impact.	No adverse impact.	No adverse impact.	No adverse impact.	No adverse impact.	No adverse impact.

¹ Alternative A: NASA NSSC Environmental Management System to be developed and full- or part-time NASA NSSC Environmental Manager to be designated. Alternatives B and C: Current NASA Center EMS would apply.

² Alternative A: All nominations required consistency with NASA's sustainable facilities policy.

³ No Level/Phase 1 Site Assessment. Available information does not indicate contamination likely. Confirmatory Environmental Site Assessment for contamination required prior to lease or contract.

⁴ Center-wide survey completed. No contamination indicated at the proposed site. State of Mississippi concurred.

⁵ No Level/Phase 1 Site Assessment. Available information does not indicate contamination likely. Confirmatory Environmental Site Assessment for contamination required prior to lease or contract.

⁶ No Level/Phase 1 Site Assessment. Available information does not indicate contamination likely. Confirmatory Environmental Site Assessment for contamination required prior to lease or contract.

⁷ Level/Phase 1 Site Assessment completed. Level 2 Site Assessment not indicated.

⁸ Level/Phase 1 Site Assessment completed. Level 2 Site Assessment not indicated.

⁹ Alternative A: NASA NSSC Energy Manager, full- or part-time, to be designated. Alternatives B and C: Current on-site NASA Center Energy Manager.

¹⁰ Noise impacts from adjoining airport to be mitigated in accordance with occupational health and safety regulations and local noise codes.

¹¹ Confirmatory Clean Air Act General Conformity Determination (NO_x and VOCs) may be required; construction scheduling adjustment and other mitigation may be required if results for relevant emissions exceed *de minimus* levels. Preliminary analysis indicated that levels would be well below *de minimus* levels.

¹² State Environmental Resources Permit would be required.

¹³ State approved stormwater management plan would be required.

¹⁴ All: If protected species are subsequently discovered on site or species on site are later designated for protection, NASA will consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

¹⁵ Pre-construction survey required for migratory birds and, if results indicate presence, adjustment of construction schedule may be required.

¹⁶ Pre-construction survey required for migratory birds and Indiana bat and if results indicate presence, adjustment of construction schedule may be required.

¹⁷ Clean Water Act sec. 404 wetlands permit from the Army Corps of Engineers required; wetlands mitigation planned off-site.

¹⁸ Alternative A: If unanticipated discovery occurs during excavation or construction, consultation with SHPO would be required to development mitigation plan if needed that may include adjustment of the footprint or construction schedule, data recovery, curation, and public education display.

¹⁹ No impact to National Historic Landmarks at JSC. Confirmatory site testing for archeological resources may be required, and if results indicate presence, consultation with SHPO would be required to development mitigation plan if needed that may include adjustment of the footprint or construction schedule, data recovery, curation, and public education display.

²⁰ Site testing for archeological resources would be required as recommended by SHPO, and if results indicate presence, consultation with SHPO would be required to development mitigation plan if needed that may include adjustment of the footprint or construction schedule, data recovery, curation, and public education display.

²¹ Confirmatory site testing for archeological resources may be required, and if results indicate presence, consultation with SHPO would be required to development mitigation plan if needed that may include adjustment of the footprint or construction schedule, data recovery, curation, and public education display.

²² Confirmatory site testing for archeological resources may be required, and if results indicate presence, consultation with SHPO would be required to development mitigation plan if needed that may include adjustment of the footprint or construction schedule, data recovery, curation, and public education display.

²³ Alternative A: NASA NSSC EJ Strategy would be developed. Alternatives B and C: Current NASA Center EJ Strategy would apply.

[FR Doc. 05-2812 Filed 2-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Meeting; Sunshine Act

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Thursday,
February 17, 2005.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room
7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA
22314-3428.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Quarterly Insurance Fund Report.
2. Final Rule: Section 701.21(e), (f), and (g) of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Loans to Members and Lines of Credit to Members.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board,
telephone: 703-518-6304.

Mary Rupp,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 05-2889 Filed 2-10-05; 1:03 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M