

would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act.

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C.804(2), the Small Business Regulatory enforcement Fairness Act. This rule: (a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million; (b) will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, geographic regions, or Federal State or local governmental agencies; and (c) does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S. based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. this determination is based on the fact that the State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based on counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation was not considered a major rule.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 944

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: December 14, 2000.

James F. Fulton,

Acting Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 01-558 Filed 1-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[FRL-6928-5]

Approval of the Clean Air Act, Section 112(l) Program and Delegation of Authority to the State of Oklahoma

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to take direct final action on section 112(l) program approval and delegation of authority to Oklahoma. The Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) has requested delegation of

certain Federal National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) found in 40 CFR parts 61 and 63.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving ODEQ's program of authorities and resources to implement and enforce NESHAPs in 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 and General Provisions as they apply to these sources and the mechanism for receiving future delegation of unchanged NESHAPs as they apply to non-part 70 sources. The EPA is granting ODEQ the authority to implement and enforce specified NESHAPs adopted by reference by ODEQ. The EPA is taking direct final action without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, the EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and it will not take effect. All public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received by February 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted to Mr. Robert M. Todd at the Region 6 office listed below. Copies of the requests for delegation and other supporting documentation are available for public inspection at the following location: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division (6PD), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733. Anyone wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment at least two working days in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert M. Todd, U.S. EPA, Region 6, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division (6PD), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733, (214) 665-2156.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns delegation of unchanged NESHAPs and Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards to ODEQ. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: December 21, 2000.

Lynda F. Carroll,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 01-111 Filed 1-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-B-7408]

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed base (1% annual chance) flood elevations and proposed base flood elevation modifications for the communities listed below. The base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

DATES: The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

ADDRESSES: The proposed base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew B. Miller, P.E., Chief, Hazards Study Branch, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3461, or (e-mail) matt.miller@fema.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency proposes to make determinations of base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community listed below, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed base flood and modified base flood elevations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that