

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 2.1.)

Dated: October 14, 2004.

Craig Trulock,

*District Ranger, Pinedale Ranger District,
Bridger-Teton National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 04-23614 Filed 10-20-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Membership of the USCCR Performance Review Board

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Notice of membership of the USCCR Performance Review Board.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the appointment of the Performance Review Board (PRB) of the United States Commission on Civil Rights. Publication of PRB membership is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4).

The PRB provides fair and impartial review of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights' Senior Executive Service performance appraisals and makes recommendations regarding performance ratings and performance awards to the Staff Director, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights for the FY2003 rating year.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janice Minor, Human Resources Assistant, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 9th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20425, (202) 376-8364.

Members: Gloria Gutierrez, Deputy Administrator for Management, Food and Nutrition Service, USDA; Jill M. Crumpacker, Director, Policy & Performance Management, Chief, Human Capitol Officer, Federal Labor Relations Authority; Joseph Mancias, Senior Management Counsel, Department Homeland Security.

TinaLouise Martin,

*Director of Human Resources, U.S.
Commission on Civil Rights.*

[FR Doc. 04-23573 Filed 10-20-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-098]

Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France: Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of revocation of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 53408 (September 1, 2004). Because no domestic party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 21, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope

Imports covered by this order covers anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France, a crystallized silicate which is alkaline and readily soluble in water. Applications include waste paper de-inking, ore-flotation, bleach stabilization, clay processing, medium or heavy duty cleaning, and compounding into other detergent formulations. This merchandise is classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 2839.11.00 and 2839.19.00. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Background

On January 7, 1981, the Department issued an antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France. *See Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France, Antidumping Duty Order*, 46 FR 1667 (January 7, 1981). On October 21, 1999, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department published in the **Federal Register** its notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order following the first sunset review. *See Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France*, 64 FR 56737 (October 21, 1999). On September 1, 2004, the Department initiated a second sunset review of this order pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the "Act"), and 19 CFR part 351, in general.

See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 69 FR 53408 (September 1, 2004). As a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for this proceeding to inform them of the automatic initiation of a sunset review of this order. We received no response from the domestic industry by the deadline date. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). As a result, the Department determined that no domestic party intends to participate in the sunset review. On September 21, 2004, the Department notified the International Trade Commission ("ITC") in writing that we intended to issue a final determination revoking this antidumping duty order. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B).

Determination To Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the order. Because no domestic interested party filed a notice of intent to participate or a substantive response, the Department finds that no domestic interested party is participating in this review. Therefore, we are revoking this antidumping duty order effective October 21, 2004, the fifth anniversary of the date of the determination to continue the order, consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i) and section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after October 21, 2004. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 15, 2004.

Jeffrey A. May,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4-2792 Filed 10-20-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-867]

Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of the first administrative review of automotive replacement glass windshields from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") published its preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on automotive replacement glass windshields ("ARG") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") on May 7, 2004. *See Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* 69 FR 25545 (May 7, 2004) ("Preliminary Results"). The period of review ("POR") is September 19, 2001, through March 31, 2003.

Based on our analysis of the comments we received, we have made changes from the preliminary results of review. Therefore, the final results differ from the *Preliminary Results* with respect to the weighted-average dumping margins. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firms is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

DATES: Effective October 21, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Will Dickerson or Jon Freed, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1778 and (202) 482-3818, respectively.

Background

On May 21, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of the initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of ARG from the PRC for the period

September 19, 2001, through March 31, 2003. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 27781 (May 21, 2003). The respondents included Changchun Pilkington Safety Glass Company, Ltd., Shanghai Yaohua Pilkington Autoglass Company, Ltd., Wuhan Yaohua Pilkington Safety Glass Company, Ltd., Guilin Pilkington Safety Glass Company, Ltd. (collectively "Pilkington JVs"), Dongguan Kongwan Automobile Glass Ltd. and Peaceful City, Ltd., (collectively "Peaceful City"), Fuyao Glass Industry Group company, Ltd. ("Fuyao"), Shenzhen CSG Automotive Glass Co., Ltd. (formerly Shenzhen Benxun AutoGlass Co., Ltd.) ("Shenzhen CSG"), TCG International, Inc. ("TCGI"), and Xinyi Automotive Glass (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. ("Xinyi").

On September 8, 2003, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** rescinding the administrative reviews of TCGI, Xinyi, and Shenzhen CSG. *See Certain Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 52893 (September 8, 2003) ("Notice of Rescission").

In the Department's original investigation, Shenzhen Benxun AutoGlass Co., Ltd. ("Benxun") received a rate separate from the PRC-wide entity. When Shenzhen CSG requested an administrative review, it indicated it was the company known formerly as Benxun, but that it had undergone a name change since the Department's original investigation. On July 8, 2003, Shenzhen CSG withdrew its request for an administrative review. Because Shenzhen CSG withdrew its request for administrative review, the Department did not have the information necessary to make a successor-in-interest determination. Therefore, the Department did not determine that Shenzhen CSG was entitled to receive the same antidumping rate accorded Benxun within the context of this administrative review. In a changed-circumstance review subsequent to the September 8, 2003, Notice of Rescission, the Department determined that entries of merchandise from Shenzhen CSG are eligible for Benxun's cash-deposit rate. *See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 43388 (July 20, 2004).

We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. *See Preliminary Results*. On June 7, 2004,

the Department received case briefs from PNA, Fuyao, and Shenzhen CSG. On June 9, 2004, the Department received an untimely filed case brief from Peaceful City, which it rejected in accordance with 19 CFR 351.302(d). *See Letter to Peaceful City Rejecting Case Brief*, dated July 9, 2004. We did not receive any rebuttal comments. We have now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

Scope of Order

The products covered by this order are ARG windshields, and parts thereof, whether clear or tinted, whether coated or not, and whether or not they include antennas, ceramics, mirror buttons or VIN notches, and whether or not they are encapsulated. ARG windshields are laminated safety glass (*i.e.*, two layers of (typically float) glass with a sheet of clear or tinted plastic in between (usually polyvinyl butyral)), which are produced and sold for use by automotive glass installation shops to replace windshields in automotive vehicles (*e.g.*, passenger cars, light trucks, vans, sport utility vehicles, etc.) that are cracked, broken or otherwise damaged.

ARG windshields subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheading 7007.21.10.10 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States (HTSUS). Specifically excluded from the scope of the order are laminated automotive windshields sold for use in original assembly of vehicles. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Facts Available

In the instant review, for the preliminary results, the Department applied the petition rate as adverse facts available, in accordance with section 776(a) of the Act, to Peaceful City because Peaceful City withheld certain information that had been requested by the Department, it failed to provide certain information by the Department's statutory deadlines, it significantly impeded the Department's investigation, and it failed to provide certain information that could be verified pursuant to sections 776(a)(2)(A), (B), (C) and (D) of the Act. *See Preliminary Results*, 69 FR at 25550-25555. There is no argument on the record to cause us to reconsider our decision in the *Preliminary Results*. Therefore, we have determined that the application of facts available continues to be appropriate with respect to Peaceful City.