dumping margin is above de minimis (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of sales.4 We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate is above de minimis. Where either the respondent's weightedaverage dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases.⁵ Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (i.e., at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For New King Shan (Zhu Hai) Co., Ltd., the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporterspecific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate

applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: January 13, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2014–00944 Filed 1–16–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-913]

Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: January 17, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Lindgren, Enforcement and Compliance, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3870.

Background

On September 3, 2013, the Department of Commerce (Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires (OTR Tires) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013.1 The Department received a timely request from Guizhou Tyre Co. Ltd. (GTC) for a CVD administrative review of itself.2 No other interested party submitted a request for review. On November 8, 2013, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the CVD order on OTR Tires from the PRC with respect to GTC.3 On December 30, 2013, GTC timely withdrew its request for a review.4

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. GTC timely submitted a withdrawal request within the 90-day period (i.e., before February 6, 2014). Because the review request filed by GTC was the only request filed, we are rescinding this administrative review of the CVD order on OTR Tires from the PRC, consistent with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess CVDs on all appropriate entries. GTC shall be assessed CVDs at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated CVDs required at the time of entry, or

⁴ See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

⁵ See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

¹ See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 78 FR 54235 (September 3, 2013).

² See Letter to the Department from GTC regarding "Request for Administrative Review: Countervailing Duty Order on Certain New Pneumatic Off-The-Road Tires from the People's Republic of China (Case No: C–570–913) (POR: January 1, 2012–December 31, 2012)," dated September 30, 2013.

³ See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 78 FR 67104 (November 8, 2013).

⁴ See Letter to the Department from GTC regarding "GTC Withdrawal of Review Request: Countervailing Duty Order on Certain New Pneumatic Off-The-Road Tires from the People's Republic of China (Case No: C–570–913) (POR: January 1, 2012–December 31, 2012)," dated December 30, 2013.

withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2013, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 13, 2014.

Christian Marsh.

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014-00908 Filed 1-16-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-570-999]

1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: January 17, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Katie Marksberry or Josh Startup, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–7906 and 202–482–5260, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 2, 2013, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated

the countervailing duty investigation of 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane from the People's Republic of China.¹ Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than February 5, 2014.

Postponement of Due Date for Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, the Department may postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation if the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension pursuant to section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act. In the instant investigation, the petitioner made a timely request on January 7 2014, requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2).2 Therefore, pursuant to the discretion afforded the Department under section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act and because the Department does not find any compelling reason to deny the request, we are fully postponing the due date until 130 days after the Department's initiation for the preliminary determination. Therefore, the deadline for the completion of the preliminary determination is now April 11, 2014.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: January 13, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014–00947 Filed 1–16–14; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent

scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before February 6, 2014. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 13-031. Applicant: Max Planck Florida Institute, One Max Planck Way, Jupiter, FL 33458. Instrument: Field Emission Gun-Scanning Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to examine neural circuits and precisely identify "synaptic contacts" between neurons and distinguish between overlapping processes or actual synaptic contacts using 3D reconstruction of each process and its surroundings. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 22, 2013.

Docket Number: 13-042. Applicant: University of Washington Medical Center, 1959 NE Pacific Street, Seattle WA 98195-6100. Instrument: Transmission Electron Microscope system type: Tecnai G2 Spirit BioTWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to reveal the details of structures within cells and the matrix in which living cells are surrounded, and their alterations in disease settings. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: September 19, 2013.

Docket Number: 13-044. Applicant: University of Minnesota-Twin Cities, 421 Washington Avenue SE., Minneapolis, MN 55455. Instrument: Ultrafast Transmission Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to study atomic-scale dynamic, nonequilibrium phenomena in a wide range of materials including polymer/carbon composite materials, polycrystalline graphene membranes, magnetic metal alloys, polycrystalline semiconducting alloys, biotic membranes and singlecrystal elemental materials. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no

¹ See 1,1,1,2- Tetrafluoroethane from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation, 78 FR 73839 (December 9, 2013).

 $^{^2}$ See 19 CFR 351.205(e) and the petitioner's January 7, 2014 letter requesting postponement of the preliminary determination.