

United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video game consoles, routers and gateways, and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,917,272 (“the ‘272 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 11,646,927 (“the ‘927 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 11,777,776 (“the ‘776 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 12,063,134 (“the ‘134 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2025).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 21, 2025, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1 and 11 of the ‘272 patent; claims 1 and 2 of the ‘927 patent; claims 1–6 of the

‘776 patent; and claims 1–7 of the ‘134 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “video game consoles, routers, and gateways, and components thereof”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

*The complainant is:* AX Wireless, LLC, 2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260, Austin, TX 78705.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Sony Interactive Entertainment Inc., 1–7–1 Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan 108–0075

Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC, 2207 Bridgepointe Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94404

Vantiva SA, 10, Boulevard De Grenelle,

Paris, Ile-de-France, France 75015

Vantiva USA, LLC, 4855 Peachtree Industrial Blvd., Suite 200, Norcross, GA 30092

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the

Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: March 21, 2025.

**Sharon Bellamy,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2025–05172 Filed 3–26–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1675–1678 (Final)]**

**Diocetyl Terephthalate (DOTP) From Malaysia, Poland, Taiwan, and Turkey; Cancellation of Hearing for Antidumping Duty Investigations**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**DATES:** March 21, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jesse Sanchez ((202) 205–2402), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 5, 2024, the Commission

established a schedule for the final phase of the antidumping duty investigations (89 FR 91423, November 19, 2024). On March 19, 2025, counsel for Eastman Chemical Company (“Eastman”) and counsel for BASF Corporation (“BASF”) filed requests to appear at the hearing. No other parties submitted a request to appear at the hearing. On March 20, 2025, counsel for Eastman filed a request that the Commission cancel the scheduled hearing for these investigations and withdrew its request to appear at the hearing. On March 20, 2025, counsel for BASF withdrew its request to appear at the hearing. Counsel indicated a willingness to respond to any Commission questions in lieu of an actual hearing. Consequently, the public hearing in connection with these investigations, scheduled to begin at 9:30 a.m. on Tuesday, March 25, 2025, is cancelled. Parties to these investigations should respond to any written questions posed by the Commission in their posthearing briefs, which are due to be filed on April 1, 2025.

For further information concerning these investigations see the Commission’s notice cited above and the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**Authority:** These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.21 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 24, 2025.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2025–05271 Filed 3–26–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–1526]

#### Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Application; Promega Corporation

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of application.

**SUMMARY:** Promega Corporation has applied to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of basic class(es) of controlled substance(s). Refer to

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** listed below for further drug information.

**DATES:** Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants, therefore, may submit electronic comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before May 27, 2025. Such persons may also file a written request for a hearing on the application on or before May 27, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** The Drug Enforcement Administration requires that all comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal, which provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the web page or attach a file for lengthier comments. Please go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Upon submission of your comment, you will receive a Comment Tracking Number. Please be aware that submitted comments are not instantaneously available for public view on <https://www.regulations.gov>. If you have received a Comment Tracking Number, your comment has been successfully submitted and there is no need to resubmit the same comment.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a), this is notice that on February 26, 2025, Promega Corporation, 3075 Sub Zero Parkway, Fitchburg, Wisconsin 53719, applied to be registered as bulk manufacturer of the following basic class(es) of controlled substance(s):

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Psilocybin .....	7437	I
Psilocyn .....	7438	I

The company plans to bulk manufacture the listed controlled substances as Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API) for sale to its customers. No other activities for these drug codes are authorized for this registration.

**Matthew Strait,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2025–05283 Filed 3–26–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 25–19]

#### Willard J. Davis, D.O.; Decision and Order

On November 13, 2024, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or

Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Willard J. Davis, D.O., of Round Rock, Texas (Respondent). OSC, at 1, 4. The OSC proposed the revocation of Respondent’s DEA Certificate of Registration No. BD9134254, alleging that Respondent’s DEA registration should be revoked because Respondent is “without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Texas, the state in which [he is] registered with DEA.” *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).

On December 10, 2024 Respondent filed a request for a hearing. On December 30, 2024, the Government filed a Motion for Summary Disposition, which Respondent opposed. On January 23, 2025, Administrative Law Judge Teresa A. Wallbaum (the ALJ) granted the Government’s Motion for Summary Disposition and recommended the revocation of Respondent’s registration, finding that because Respondent lacks state authority to handle controlled substances in Texas, the state in which he is registered with DEA, “[t]here is no genuine issue of material fact in this case.” Order Granting the Government’s Motion for Summary Disposition, and Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (RD), at 6. Respondent did not file exceptions to the RD.

Having reviewed the entire record, the Agency adopts and hereby incorporates by reference the entirety of the ALJ’s rulings, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommended sanction as found in the RD and summarizes and expands upon portions thereof herein.

#### Findings of Fact

On May 16, 2024, the Texas Medical Board suspended Respondent’s Texas medical license. RD, at 3.<sup>1</sup> According to Texas online records, of which the Agency takes official notice, Respondent’s Texas medical license remains suspended.<sup>2</sup> Texas Medical Board Healthcare Provider Search, <https://profile.tmb.state.tx.us> (last visited date of signature of this Order).

Accordingly, the Agency finds that Respondent is not currently licensed to

<sup>1</sup> See also Government’s Notice of Filing of Evidence and Motion for Summary Disposition, Exhibit 1, at 3–6.

<sup>2</sup> Under the Administrative Procedure Act, an agency “may take official notice of facts at any stage in a proceeding—even in the final decision.” United States Department of Justice, Attorney General’s Manual on the Administrative Procedure Act 80 (1947) (Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., Reprint 1979).