

administrative and national policy requirements in the application package and reference these and other requirements in the *Applicable Regulations* section of this notice.

We reference the regulations outlining the terms and conditions of an award in the *Applicable Regulations* section of this notice and include these and other specific conditions in the GAN. The GAN also incorporates your approved application as part of your binding commitments under the grant.

3. *Reporting*: (a) If you apply for a grant under this competition, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 and section 1704(f) of the ESEA should you receive funding under the competition. The reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 do not apply if you have an exception under 2 CFR 170.110(b).

(b) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to [www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html](http://www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html).

4. *Performance Measures*: Under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), the Department has developed five performance measures to evaluate the overall effectiveness of the AP Test Fee program: (1) The number of advanced placement tests taken by low-income public school students nationally; (2) The number of advanced placement tests taken by minority (Hispanic, Black, Native American) public school students nationally; (3) The percentage of advanced placement tests passed (for AP exams, scores of 3–5) by low-income public school students nationally; (4) The number of advanced placement tests passed (for AP exams, scores of 3–5) by low-income public school students nationally; and (5) The cost per passage of an advanced placement test taken by a low-income public school student. The information provided by grantees in their final performance reports will be one of the sources of data for the measures. Other sources of data include the College Board, IB Americas, and University of Cambridge International Examinations.

#### VII. Agency Contact

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Francisco Ramirez, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., room 3E224, Washington, DC 20202–

6200. Telephone: (202) 260–1541 or by email: [francisco.ramirez@ed.gov](mailto:francisco.ramirez@ed.gov).

If you use a TDD or a TTY, call the FRS, toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

#### VIII. Other Information

**Accessible Format:** Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotope, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** in section VII of this notice.

**Electronic Access to This Document:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: [www.gpo.gov/fdsys](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys). At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at: [www.federalregister.gov](http://www.federalregister.gov). Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Dated: February 10, 2012.

**Michael Yudin,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 2012–3560 Filed 2–14–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

#### Proposed Agency Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) invites public comment on a proposed collection of information for a National Evaluation of the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG) that DOE is developing for submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments are invited on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Information about the outcomes of the program, including energy and cost savings, the net number of jobs created or retained, and gross reductions in carbon emissions, is needed for a comprehensive evaluation of the program.

**DATES:** Comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before April 16, 2012. If you anticipate difficulty in submitting comments within that period, contact the person listed in **ADDRESSES** as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be sent to Colleen Rizy, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, MS–6036, Oak Ridge, TN 37831–6036; [rizycg@ornl.gov](mailto:rizycg@ornl.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information should be directed to: Colleen Rizy, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, MS–6036, Oak Ridge, TN 37831–6036; [rizycg@ornl.gov](mailto:rizycg@ornl.gov)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This information collection request contains:

(1) *OMB No.* New.  
(2) *Information Collection Request Title:* National Evaluation of the United States Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program.

(3) *Type of Request:* New.

(4) *Purpose:* DOE is conducting an evaluation of EECBG, a national program providing over \$2.7 billion in grants to approximately 2,350 cities, counties, States, territories, and Indian Tribes. Grants could be used for energy efficiency and conservation efforts, building code support, renewable energy installations, distributed energy technologies, transportation activities, recycling and waste management efforts, and other activities approved by DOE.

The EECBG Program, authorized in Title V, Subtitle E of the Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA)

and signed into law on December 19, 2007, was funded, for the first time, by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. The Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) for Formula Grants was issued on June 25, 2009 and closed on June 25, 2010.

The scope of the National Evaluation of EECBG involves a combination of careful reviews of grant status reports and applications (“engineering desk reviews”), conversations with DOE project officers, and in-depth interviews with grant managers to assemble critical data for answering the three questions of interest:

1. What is the total magnitude of energy and cost savings, and other key outcomes, such as gross carbon emissions reduction and the net number of jobs created or retained, achieved in Broad Program Areas that cumulatively account for approximately 80 percent of total Formula Grant expenditures in the 2009–2011 program years?

2. What is the magnitude of outcomes achieved by each of the most heavily-funded Broad Program Areas within the EECBG portfolio?

3. What are the key factors influencing the magnitude of EECBG outcomes?

These questions will be answered by evaluating a sample of 350 grant activity examples from a pool of direct grants and State sub-grants, all issued as part of the EECBG program.

#### Scale of the Information Collection

The DOE Formula grants are well-defined and have been further scrutinized by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)/DOE and its contractors for categorization into Broad Program Areas and activities. The evaluation team will complete the process of counting and categorizing the State sub-grants. From these combined lists of grants, sorted by Broad Program Area, sub-area, and activity, and from a set of criteria developed by ORNL/DOE and its contractors, a sampling approach will be applied to select 350 grants for study. That random sample of projects will be taken from the six Broad Program Areas that, in combination, account for over 80 percent of total EECBG Formula Grant expenditures, which will allow valid inferences to be drawn for each Broad Program Area examined.

Data collection will begin with a combination of careful reviews of DOE program databases, grant status reports and applications (“engineering desk reviews”), and conversations with DOE project officers and Regional and State Coordinators. After this extensive preliminary data collection effort, interviews will be conducted with grant

program managers and grant project managers for each sample point. The two survey instruments proposed are:

1. Grant Activity-Level Contact Survey: Verifies activities performed, measures installed, measure level data, and other relevant project information necessary to calculate program impacts and other metrics.

There will be 2 versions of this survey instrument: One for grant activities targeted to residential dwellings, and one for non-residential buildings.

2. Performance Indicators Survey: Collects information regarding operational success factors to be combined with grant data and secondary data on economic and other external factors for determining what conditions and elements are necessary for a successful project.

Together, these surveys will involve 700 respondents and entail a total burden of 642 hours. This calculation is based on the assumption that the telephone surveys used in this study will require an average of 55 minutes, depending on the individual survey instrument.

This evaluation approach will not include any data collection from individual service recipients to estimate savings or outcomes. This study will use data from the above-mentioned interviews plus additional information that can be obtained from program records and secondary sources, as well as engineering-based analytical methods, to produce energy savings and outcome estimates.

The above-described data collection instruments will be supplemented by additional records research and database review activities provided by the Grant Program Managers and Local Grant Activity Managers. These general recordkeeping activities will require an estimated 487 hours. Combining the burden hours associated with telephone surveys (642 hours) with the burden hours associated with general records review (487 hours) produces a total estimated burden of 1,129 hours.

Two key steps are being taken to avoid duplicating the efforts of any concurrent evaluations of EECBG activities: (1) Identifying results from any EECBG grant evaluation efforts taking place at the State level; and (2) coordinating with the Better Buildings Program evaluation concerning any data collection already taking place during the same time period that addresses EECBG grant activities.

The sample selection of Broad Program Areas and specific programmatic activities within each Broad Program Area is scheduled to be completed by June 2012. Data collection and calculation of outcomes are

scheduled to be completed by October 2012.

The detailed study design and work plan for the EECBG evaluation has been available for public review since January 2012 at <http://weatherization.ornl.gov/eecbg.shtml>.

(5) Annual Estimated Number of Respondents: 700.

(6) Annual Estimated Number of Total Responses: 700.

(7) Annual Estimated Total Number of Burden Hours (Interview and Record Review): 1,129.

**Statutory Authority:** Title V, Subtitle E of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, codified at 42 U.S.C. 17151–17158.

Issued in Washington, DC on February 1, 2012.

**Henry C. Kelly,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.*

[FR Doc. 2012–3535 Filed 2–14–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

The following notice of meeting is published pursuant to section 3(a) of the government in the Sunshine Act (Pub. L. 94–409), 5 U.S.C. 552b:

**AGENCY HOLDING MEETING:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

**DATE AND TIME:** February 16, 2012, 10 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 2C, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Agenda.

\* Note—Items listed on the agenda may be deleted without further notice.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Telephone (202) 502–8400.

For a recorded message listing items struck from or added to the meeting, call (202) 502–8627.

This is a list of matters to be considered by the Commission. It does not include a listing of all documents relevant to the items on the agenda. All public documents, however, may be viewed on line at the Commission’s Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the eLibrary link, or may be examined in the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

#### 978th—Meeting

*Regular Meeting*

February 16, 2012, 10 a.m.