

the Record are required for this rule. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

Submitting comments. We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. To do so, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2022–0222 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If your material cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

Viewing material in docket. To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select “Supporting & Related Material” in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Frequently Asked Questions web page. Also, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted, or a final rule is published of any posting or updates to the docket.

We review all comments received, but we will only post comments that address the topic of the proposed rule. We may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive.

Personal information. We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions in response to this document, see DHS’s eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05–1; and DHS Delegation No. 00170.1. Revision No. 01.3.

■ 2. Amend § 117.317 by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 117.317 Okeechobee Waterway.

* * * * *

(c) Florida East Coast Railroad (FEC) Bridge, mile 7.41, at Stuart. The draw shall operate as follows:

(1) The drawbridge will be maintained in the fully open-to-navigation position, except during periods when it is closed for the passage of train traffic, to conduct inspections, and to perform maintenance and repairs authorized by the Coast Guard.

(2) The drawbridge will not be closed for more than 50 consecutive minutes in any given hour during daytime operations (6 a.m. to 8 p.m.) and for more than 7 total hours during daytime operations (6 a.m. to 8 p.m.).

(3) Notwithstanding paragraph (c)(1), the drawbridge will open and remain open to navigation for a fixed 10-minute period at the top of each hour from 6 a.m. to 8 p.m.

(4) From 8:01 p.m. until 5:59 a.m. daily, the drawbridge will remain in the fully open-to-navigation position, except during periods when it is closed for the passage of train traffic, to conduct inspections, and to perform maintenance and repairs authorized by the Coast Guard. The drawbridge will not be closed more than 60 consecutive minutes.

(5) If a train is in the track circuit at the start of a fixed opening period, the opening may be delayed up to, but not more than, five minutes. Once the train has cleared the circuit, the bridge must open immediately for navigation to begin the fixed opening period.

(6) The drawbridge will be tended from 6 a.m. to 8 p.m., daily. The bridge tender will monitor VHF–FM channels 9 and 16 and will provide estimated times of drawbridge openings and closures, or any operational information requested. Operational information will be provided 24 hours a day by telephone at (772) 403–1005.

(7) The drawbridge owner will maintain a mobile application. The

drawbridge owner will publish drawbridge opening times, and the drawbridge owner will provide timely updates to schedules, including but not limited to, impacts due to emergency circumstances, inspections, maintenance, and repairs authorized by the Coast Guard.

(8) Signs will be posted and visible to marine traffic, displaying VHF radio contact information, application information, and the telephone number for the bridge tender.

* * * * *

Dated: March 5, 2025.

Douglas M. Schofield,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Coast Guard Seventh District.

[FR Doc. 2025–04918 Filed 3–24–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 60

[EPA–HQ–OAR–2024–0419; FRL–11542–03–OAR]

RIN 2060–AW21

Review of New Source Performance Standards for Stationary Combustion Turbines and Stationary Gas Turbines; Reopening of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On December 13, 2024, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed amendments to the new source performance standards (NSPS) for new, modified, and reconstructed stationary combustion turbines and stationary gas turbines. The EPA is reopening the public comment period for this proposed rule to allow additional time for stakeholders to review and comment on the proposal. The initial 90-day public comment period, which ended March 13, 2025, is being reopened for an additional 21 days, from March 25, 2025 to April 15, 2025.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published on December 13, 2024, at 89 FR 101306, is reopened. Comments must be received on or before April 15, 2025.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2024–0419, by any of the following methods:

• **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov> (our

preferred method). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

- *Email:* a-and-r-docket@epa.gov. Include Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0419 in the subject line of the message.

- *Fax:* (202) 566-9744. Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0419.

- *Mail:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center, Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0419, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460.

- *Hand/Courier Delivery:* EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center's hours of operation are 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m., Monday–Friday (except Federal Holidays).

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received may be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Ashley, Sector Policies and Programs Division (D243-02), Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive P.O. Box 12055 RTP, North Carolina 27711; telephone number: (919) 541-1458; and email address: ashley.john@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Rationale. On December 13, 2024, pursuant to its statutory duty to review and, if appropriate, revise NSPS under section 111(b)(1)(B) of the Clean Air Act, the EPA proposed to strengthen the limits for emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) from most new, modified, and reconstructed stationary combustion turbines under new subpart KKKKa of 40 CFR part 60. In addition, the EPA proposed amendments to address specific technical and editorial issues in the current regulations for new, modified, and reconstructed stationary combustion turbines and stationary gas turbines under 40 CFR part 60, subparts KKKK and GG. The EPA received multiple requests for an extension of the initial 90-day comment period to allow stakeholders additional time to review and comment on the proposed rule. This initial comment period ended March 13, 2025. The EPA has decided to reopen the comment period for 21

days. The public comment period will now end on April 15, 2025.

Docket. The EPA has established a docket for this rulemaking under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0419. All documents in the docket are listed in <https://www.regulations.gov>. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only as pdf versions that can only be accessed on the EPA computers in the docket office reading room. Certain databases and physical items cannot be downloaded from the docket but may be requested by contacting the docket office at (202) 566-1744. The docket office has up to 10 business days to respond to these requests. Except for such material, publicly available docket materials are available electronically in [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov).

Instructions. Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0419. The EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit electronically to <https://www.regulations.gov> any information that you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. This type of information should be submitted as discussed below.

The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/submitting-comments>.

The <https://www.regulations.gov> website allows you to submit your comment anonymously, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide

it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through <https://www.regulations.gov>, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any digital storage media you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should not include special characters or any form of encryption and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about the EPA's public docket, visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Submitting CBI. Do not submit information containing CBI to the EPA through <https://www.regulations.gov>. Clearly mark the part or all the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information on any digital storage media that you mail to the EPA, note the docket ID, mark the outside of the digital storage media as CBI, and identify electronically within the digital storage media the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comments that includes information claimed as CBI, you must submit a copy of the comments that does not contain the information claimed as CBI directly to the public docket through the procedures outlined in *Instructions* above. If you submit any digital storage media that does not contain CBI, mark the outside of the digital storage media clearly that it does not contain CBI and note the docket ID. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and the EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. Information marked as CBI will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 2.

Our preferred method to receive CBI is for it to be transmitted electronically using email attachments, File Transfer Protocol (FTP), or other online file sharing services (e.g., Dropbox, OneDrive, Google Drive). Electronic submissions must be transmitted directly to the OAQPS CBI Office at the email address oaqpscbi@epa.gov and, as described above, should include clear CBI markings and note the docket ID. If assistance is needed with submitting large electronic files that exceed the file size limit for email attachments, and if

you do not have your own file sharing service, please email oaqpscbi@epa.gov to request a file transfer link. If sending CBI information through the postal service, please send it to the following address: U.S. EPA, Attn: OAQPS Document Control Officer, Mail Drop: C404-02, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive, P.O. Box 12055, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0419. The mailed CBI material should be double wrapped and clearly marked. Any CBI markings should not show through the outer envelope.

Penny Lassiter,

Director, Sector Policies and Programs Division.

[FR Doc. 2025-04990 Filed 3-24-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 25-139; RM-12001; DA 25-228; FR ID 285689]

Television Broadcasting Services Las Vegas, Nevada

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Video Division, Media Bureau (Bureau), has before it a petition for rulemaking, as amended, filed by CHANNEL 33, INC. (Channel 33 or Petitioner), the licensee of KHSV, channel 2, Las Vegas, Nevada (Station or KHSV). Petitioner requests that the Bureau substitute channel 23 for channel 2 at Las Vegas, Nevada in the Table of TV Allotments (table).

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before April 24, 2025 and reply comments on or before May 9, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve counsel for the Petitioner as follows: Paul Cicelski, Esq., Lerman Senter PLLC, 2001 L Street NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emily Harrison, Media Bureau, at Emily.Harrison@fcc.gov, (202) 418-1665, or Mark Colombo, Media Bureau, at Mark.Colombo@fcc.gov, (202) 418-7611.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In its Petition, Channel 33 asserts that the proposed channel substitution of UHF

channel 23 for low-VHF channel 2 serves the public interest because it resolves longstanding indoor digital VHF reception problems experienced by Station viewers. In this regard, Channel 33 states that the Commission has recognized that VHF channels have certain characteristics that pose challenges for their use in providing digital television service, including propagation characteristics that allow undesired signals and noise to be receivable at relatively far distances. According to Channel 33, radiation by the illumination devices in Las Vegas and its casinos are known to interfere with low-VHF channels. In order to demonstrate that many persons within the channel 2 noise limited service contour (NLSC) currently are not receiving a viewable signal, Petitioner submits examples of complaints from over 200 viewers in Las Vegas city boundaries and immediate surrounding areas, where KHSV's signal strength is the strongest, reporting that they cannot reliably receive a viewable signal.

Channel 33 further asserts that while the proposed channel 23 predicted NLSC does not reach 41,840 persons who currently reside within the channel 2 predicted NLSC, this population number is not representative of the actual loss area. Petitioner explains that in addition to the Station operating on a low VHF channel, there are unique factors in Las Vegas which support a deviation from the Commission's general policy to only accept *de minimis* loss of population in connection with channel substitution requests. According to an analysis provided by Petitioner, most of the predicted loss area is on the fringe of the Station's protected NLSC, which extends more than 80 miles from the Station's antenna site as calculated by § 73.619(b)(4) of the Commission's rules (Rules). Petitioner explains that Las Vegas is unique in that it sits in a "topographical bowl" surrounded by "extremely tall walls of rock" which block the Station's signal from areas at the edge of the Station's NLSC, such as Mesquite, Nevada, Bullhead City, Arizona, and Kingman, Arizona (Fringe Localities). Included with its Petition are terrain maps of the Las Vegas area which show the extreme terrain that blocks the Station's signal from reaching the Fringe Localities because they do not have line-of-sight to the KHSV antenna and, thus, cannot reliably receive the Station's signal. Petitioner also provides field measurements which it states show that KHSV's signal is largely not present in the Fringe Localities and in those limited locations that have a signal, it is

"barely useable" or the signal is in largely undeveloped or underdeveloped areas away from significant residential and industrial electronic noise. Based on this analysis, Petitioner concludes that changing the Station's channel "will not create more than a *de minimis* population loss (since there is a *de minimis* number of viewers to start with) in these distant communities."

We believe that the Petitioner's channel substitution proposal for KHSV warrants consideration. Based on an analysis by Bureau staff, channel 23 can be substituted for channel 2 as proposed in compliance with the principal community coverage requirements of section 73.618 of the Rules, at coordinates 36-00'-31.0" N and 115-00'-20.0" W. In addition, we find that this channel change meets the technical requirements set forth in section 73.622(a) of the Rules. Further, because of the unique reception difficulties experienced on low-VHF channels due to the significant levels of interference from numerous illumination devices in Las Vegas, the topography of the Las Vegas area, and the measured lack of reception today in the loss areas due to terrain, we tentatively conclude that although total viewer loss is not *de minimis*, based on the unique facts and circumstances, the benefits of the proposal to the public outweigh the harms. We seek comment on this tentative conclusion. According to the Petitioner, 86,424 persons will fall outside of the Station's current channel 2 NLSC. However, when using Longley-Rice, which takes into account terrain loss, the loss population in the Fringe Localities is predicted to be less than half that—41,480 persons. Approximately, 44,944 persons in the Fringe Localities should continue to receive KHSV despite being outside the Station's new channel 23 NLSC contour. An analysis included by the Petitioner also confirms that most of the remaining loss area is served by translators for other stations and low power television (LPTV) stations. For example, nine such LPTV/translator stations exist in the Kingman, Arizona area. In addition, an analysis by Bureau staff agrees with the Petitioner that some viewers in the loss area will continue to be covered by other full power stations. For example, KMOH-TV, Kingman, AZ (Facility ID No. 24573) and KMCC(TV), Laughlin, NV (Facility ID No. 41237) cover portions of the Fringe Localities. While we do not depend on these facts in tentatively concluding that the proposal warrants consideration, we believe that these facts help to support our tentative