

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation	*Elevation in feet (NGVD) +Elevation in feet (NAVD) #Depth in feet above ground modified	Communities affected
--------------------	----------------------------------	--	----------------------

## ADDRESSES

**City of Worland**

Maps are available for inspection at 1001 Big Horn Avenue, Worland, WY 82401.

**Town of Ten Sleep**

Maps are available for inspection at 1001 Big Horn Avenue, Worland, WY 82401.

**Unincorporated Areas of Washakie County**

Maps are available for inspection at 1001 Big Horn Avenue, Worland, WY 82401.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.022, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: September 15, 2008.

**Michael K. Buckley,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator, Mitigation Directorate, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency.*

[FR Doc. E8-22524 Filed 9-24-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION****48 CFR Part 51****Use of Government Sources by Contractors***CFR Correction*

In title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, revised as of October 1, 2007, on page 956, in § 51.107, the last sentence is removed.

[FR Doc. E8-22596 Filed 9-24-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Fish and Wildlife Service****50 CFR Part 21**

[FWS-R9-MB-2007-0012; 91200-1231-9BPP]

RIN 1018-AV35

**Migratory Bird Permits; Revisions to Migratory Bird Import and Export Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, published a document in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 2008 that revised the regulations governing migratory bird permitting. That document inadvertently used the term "migratory game birds" in a way that contradicts the existing definition of that term elsewhere in our regulations. This document corrects the final regulations.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on September 25, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. George T. Allen, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 703-358-1825.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On August 13, 2008, we published a document in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 47092) that revised the regulations governing migratory bird permitting at 50 CFR part 21. Section 21.21(b) of the revised regulations concern import and export permits for migratory birds. In that section, we inadvertently used the term "migratory game birds" in a way that contradicts the existing definition of that term in our regulations at 50 CFR 20.11(a). The definition at 50 CFR 20.11(a) limits the definition of "migratory game birds" to, among other things, those migratory birds "for which

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****47 CFR Part 22****Public Mobile Services***CFR Correction*

In title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 22, revised as of Oct. 1, 2007, on page 78, in § 22.709, paragraph (b)(3) is reinstated to read as follows:

**§ 22.709 Rural radiotelephone service application requirements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b)\* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

(3) The center frequency of each channel requested, the maximum effective radiated power, the effective radiated power in each of the cardinal radial directions, any non-standard emission types to be used, including bandwidth and modulation type, the transmitter classification (e.g. central office), and the locations and call signs, if any, of any fixed points of communication.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. E8-22599 Filed 9-24-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION****48 CFR Part 422****Application of Labor Laws to Government Acquisitions***CFR Correction*

In title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 422, revised as of October 1, 2007, on page 127, §§ 422.1303 and 422.1306 are redesignated as §§ 422.1305 and 422.1308, respectively.

[FR Doc. E8-22597 Filed 9-24-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

open seasons are prescribed” in part 20. Because of this definition, many of the migratory birds that we had intended 50 CFR 21.21(b) to cover are not covered by the new regulations. We are therefore publishing this document to correct the final regulations by revising § 21.21(b) to remove the term “migratory game birds” and instead use the more generic term “migratory birds.”

#### List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 21

Exports, Hunting, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation, Wildlife.

■ Accordingly, 50 CFR part 21 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

#### PART 21—MIGRATORY BIRD PERMITS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 21 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 40 Stat. 755 (16 U.S.C. 703); Public Law 95–616, 92 Stat. 3112 (16 U.S.C. 712(2)); Public Law 106–108, 113 Stat. 1491, Note following 16 U.S.C. 703.

##### § 21.21 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 21.21, amend paragraph (b) introductory text by:

- a. Removing the words “Game bird” from the heading; and
- b. Removing the word “game” both times that it appears in the second and third sentences.

Dated: September 19, 2008.

Sara Prigan,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. E8–22516 Filed 9–24–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

##### 50 CFR Part 223

[Docket No. 070727426–81200–01]

RIN 0648–AV18

##### Endangered and Threatened Species: Final Protective Regulations for Threatened Puget Sound Steelhead

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We, NMFS, apply the Endangered Species Act (ESA) protective regulations for threatened West Coast salmon and steelhead to the distinct population segment (DPS) of

steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in Puget Sound, Washington.

**DATES:** The effective date of this rule is October 27, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** NMFS, Protected Resources Division, 1201 NE Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232.

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Stone, NMFS, Northwest Region, at (503) 231–2317; or Marta Nammack, NMFS, Office of Protected Resources, at (301) 713 1401. Reference materials regarding protective regulations for this and other threatened salmonids are available upon request or on the Internet at <http://www.nwr.noaa.gov>.

##### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

ESA section 9(a)(1) (16 U.S.C. 1538(a)(1)) prohibits “take” and import/export of, and commercial transactions involving, all species listed as endangered. The term “take” is defined under the ESA as “to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct” (Section 3(19), 16 U.S.C. 1532 (19)). In the case of threatened species, section 4(d) of the ESA directs the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) to issue regulations he or she deems necessary and advisable for the conservation of the species. The 4(d) protective regulations may prohibit, with respect to threatened species, some or all of the acts which section 9(a)(1) of the ESA prohibits with respect to endangered species. These 9(a)(1) prohibitions and 4(d) regulations apply to all individuals, organizations, and agencies subject to U.S. jurisdiction.

Since 1997 we have promulgated a total of 29 limits to the ESA section 9(a) take prohibitions for 21 threatened Pacific salmon and steelhead Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) or Distinct Populations Segments (DPSs) (62 FR 38479, July 18, 1997; 65 FR 42422, July 10, 2000; 65 FR 42485, July 10, 2000; 67 FR 1116, January 9, 2002; 73 FR 7816, February 11, 2008). On June 28, 2005, as part of the final listing determinations for 16 ESUs of West Coast salmon, we amended and streamlined the previously promulgated 4(d) protective regulations for threatened salmon and steelhead (70 FR 37160). We took this action to provide appropriate flexibility to ensure that fisheries and artificial propagation programs are managed consistently with the conservation needs of threatened salmon and steelhead. Under this change, the section 4(d) protections apply to natural and hatchery fish with an intact adipose fin, but not to listed hatchery fish that have had their

adipose fin removed prior to release into the wild. Additionally, we made several simplifying and clarifying changes to the 4(d) protective regulations including updating an expired limit (§ 223.203(b)(2)), providing a temporary exemption for ongoing research and enhancement activities, and applying the same set of 14 limits to all threatened Pacific salmon and steelhead ESUs or DPSs.

On March 29, 2006, we proposed to list the Puget Sound steelhead DPS as a threatened species (71 FR 15666). On February 7, 2007 (72 FR 5648), we proposed protective regulations for Puget Sound steelhead under section 4(d) of the ESA. On May 11, 2007, we issued a final determination listing the Puget Sound steelhead DPS as threatened, and we announced that we would finalize protective regulations in a subsequent **Federal Register** notice (72 FR 26722). In this final rule we apply the 4(d) protective regulations adopted for other Pacific salmonids, as amended in June 2005 (70 FR 37160; June 28, 2005), to Puget Sound steelhead.

##### Summary of Comments Received in Response to the Proposed Rule

We solicited public comment on the proposed protective regulations and draft Environmental Assessment (EA) (72 FR 5648; February 7, 2007) and received nine comments in response. Comments received consisted of e-mails and letters submitted by or for the following entities: Lummi Nation, Muckleshoot Indian Tribe, Native Fish Society, Port Gamble S’Klallam and Jamestown S’Klallam Tribes, Tulalip Tribes of Washington, U.S. Department of Interior, Washington Forest Protection Association, Western States Petroleum Association, and Wild Fish Conservancy. Copies of the full text of comments received are available upon request (see **ADDRESSES** and **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**). Below we address the comments received that pertain to proposed protective regulations for Puget Sound steelhead.

**Comment 1:** One commenter recommended that we re-open the comment period on the proposed 4(d) limits after making a final listing determination. This commenter also believed that we should explain each of the 4(d) limits in greater detail to prevent confusion regarding which 4(d) limits would be in effect for Puget Sound steelhead.

**Response:** We have described the same 4(d) limits presently being applied to Puget Sound steelhead in previously published **Federal Register** notices (65 FR 42422, July 10, 2000; 65 FR 42485, July 10, 2000; 69 FR 33102; June 14,