

conformity of the Fairbanks transportation plan and transportation improvement program.

DATES: This finding is effective May 23, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tess Bloom, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 155,

Seattle, WA 98101; *bloom.tess@epa.gov* or 206-553-6362.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, “we” and “our” refer to the EPA.

This document is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. The EPA Region 10 issued a letter on April 8, 2025, to the

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation stating that the PM_{2.5} motor vehicle emissions budgets, submitted in the Fairbanks Revised 189(d) Plan, are adequate. The motor vehicle emissions budgets that we have determined are adequate for transportation conformity purposes are provided in the following table:

**ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS ¹
FOR THE 24-HOUR PM_{2.5} NAAQS IN THE FAIRBANKS NORTH STAR BOROUGH**

Budget years	PM _{2.5} ² On-road mobile source emissions (tons/day)	Clean Air Act-related milestone
2023	0.062	Reasonable further progress (RFP).
2026	0.054	RFP.
2027	0.052	Attainment.
2029	0.049	RFP.

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c), 42 U.S.C. 7506(c). The EPA’s Transportation Conformity Rule (40 CFR part 93, subpart A) requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the NAAQS. *See, e.g.,* 42 U.S.C. 7506(c)(1)(B).

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). The EPA has described its process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1,

2004 (69 FR 40004) preamble starting at page 40038, and we used the information in these resources in making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from the EPA’s completeness review and should not be used to prejudge the EPA’s ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

On January 8, 2025, the EPA proposed to approve the submitted Fairbanks Revised 189(d) Plan, including the motor vehicle emissions budgets contained therein. The EPA also initiated the adequacy process for the budgets included in that submission. We reviewed the criteria in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) to determine whether the motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes. See Enclosure 2 of EPA’s April 8, 2025 letter for how the budgets meet these criteria.³ We also initiated a public comment period for adequacy of the budgets as required by 40 CFR 93.118(f)(1)(ii). The public comment period on the adequacy process closed February 7, 2025. We received three comments during that public comment period related to adequacy of the motor vehicle emissions budgets. *Another comment was received during Alaska DEC’s state rulemaking process. The EPA’s response to these comments is included as Enclosure 1 to the letter notifying the State of our transportation adequacy finding.*⁴ As

discussed in the response to comments, after considering the comments and based on our review, the EPA concluded that the budgets meet the adequacy criteria in 40 CFR 93.118. Therefore, the EPA found the budgets adequate for transportation conformity purposes.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: April 29, 2025.

Daniel D. Opalski,
Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 2025-08084 Filed 5-7-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R08-OAR-2024-0622; FRL-12746-02-R8]

Air Plan Approval; Colorado; Interim Final Determination To Stay and Defer Sanctions in the Denver Metro/North Front Range 2008 Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Interim final determination.

SUMMARY: In the Proposed Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is proposing approval of portions of State Implementation Plan (SIP) submissions from the State of Colorado dated June 26, 2023, May 23, 2024, and April 2, 2025. The submissions relate to Colorado Air Quality Control Commission Regulation Number 7 (Reg.

¹ Note 2020 was included as a base year, not a milestone year, in the SIP Submission and “Table 6—PM_{2.5} Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets by Milestone Year” in the January 8, 2025, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. *See* 90 FR 1600. As such, it is not actually a motor vehicle emissions budget according to the definition in 40 CFR 93.101 and it would not be used in transportation conformity.

² Relevant transportation-related precursor pollutants for nonattainment areas are included under 40 CFR 93.102(b)(2). According to 40 CFR 93.102(b)(2)(iv), NO_x precursor emissions apply for PM_{2.5} areas unless a finding has been made that NO_x is not a significant contributor to the PM_{2.5} nonattainment problem. As explained in the submitted State Air Quality Control Plan, Vol. II, III.D.7.14 (Air Quality Conformity and Motor Vehicle Emission Budget), Alaska DEC only developed budgets for directly-emitted PM_{2.5} as precursor significance modeling found that both total and motor vehicle NO_x emissions concentrations did not exceed EPA-established significance thresholds. The EPA approved Alaska’s NO_x precursor demonstration on December 5, 2023, (88 FR 84626).

³ EPA letter sent from Krishna Viswanathan, Air and Radiation Division Director, EPA Region 10, to Christina Carpenter, Acting Commissioner, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, April 8, 2025. The letter is included in the docket for this action.

⁴ EPA letter sent from Krishna Viswanathan, Air and Radiation Division Director, EPA Region 10, to

Christina Carpenter, Acting Commissioner, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, April 8, 2025. The letter is included in the docket for this action.

7) and Regulation Number 25 (Reg. 25) and address Colorado's SIP obligations for the contingency measures Serious ozone nonattainment area requirement for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). In this action, the EPA is making an interim final determination based on that proposed approval. The effect of this interim final determination is that the imposition of sanctions that were triggered by the EPA's November 7, 2023 disapproval are now deferred. Although this action is effective upon publication, the EPA will take comment on this interim final determination.

DATES: This interim final determination is effective May 8, 2025. However, comments will be accepted until June 9, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2024-0622, to the Federal Rulemaking Portal: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from <https://www.regulations.gov>. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the <https://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically in <https://www.regulations.gov>. Please email or call the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section if you need to make alternative arrangements for access to the docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Lang, Air and Radiation Division, EPA, Region 8, Mailcode 8ARD-AQ-R, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202-1129, telephone number: (303) 312-6709, email address: lang.matthew@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean the EPA.

I. Background

On November 7, 2023, the EPA took final action disapproving portions of the 2008 8-hour ozone serious attainment plan for the Denver Metro/North Front Range (DMNFR) nonattainment area that were submitted by the State of Colorado on March 22, 2021.¹ The State made the SIP submission in part to meet the contingency measures Serious ozone nonattainment plan requirement for the DMNFR area, as required under sections 172(c)(9) and 182(c)(9) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). On April 2, 2025, Colorado submitted SIP revisions to address the disapproved contingency measures requirement. In the Proposed Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA has proposed to approve portions of Colorado's June 26, 2023, May 23, 2024, and April 2, 2025 SIP submittals that include SIP revisions needed to fully address the disapproved contingency measures requirement.

II. What action is the EPA taking?

We are making an interim final determination to defer application of the offset sanction for permitting of new or modified sources and highway sanctions under CAA section 179 that are associated with the November 7, 2023 disapproval. Under 40 CFR 52.31(d)(2)(i), if the State has submitted a revised plan to correct the deficiencies identified in the disapproval actions, and the EPA proposes to fully or conditionally approve the plan and issues an interim final determination that the revised plan corrects the identified deficiencies, application of the offset sanction for permitting of new and modified sources and highway sanctions shall be deferred. If not deferred, the offset sanction for permitting of new and modified sources would apply on June 7, 2025 for the November 7, 2023 contingency measures disapproval in the DMNFR nonattainment area. Additionally, highway sanctions would apply on December 7, 2025, for the disapproval.

¹ Final rule, Air Plan Approval and Disapproval; Colorado: Serious Attainment Plan Elements and Related Revisions for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard for the Denver Metro/North Front Range Nonattainment Area, 88 FR 76676 (Nov. 7, 2024).

Based on the proposed approval of portions of Colorado's June 26, 2023, May 23, 2024, and April 2, 2025 SIP submittals set forth in this document, Colorado has made revisions that adequately address the EPA's disapproval relating to contingency measures. This interim final determination is consistent with the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA)² for federal agency rulemaking. Generally, under the APA, agency rulemaking affecting the rights of individuals must comply with certain minimum procedural requirements, including publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** and providing an opportunity for the public to submit written comments on the proposal before the rulemaking can have final effect.³ While in this matter the EPA is not providing an opportunity for public comment before the deferral of CAA section 179 sanctions is effective, the EPA is providing an opportunity, after the fact, for the public to comment on the interim final determination. The EPA will consider any comments received in determining whether to reverse the interim final determination. Additionally, the EPA is providing an opportunity to comment on the proposed approval, within a separate action, that is the basis for this interim final determination, so the public has an opportunity to comment on that action before any sanctions clock could be permanently terminated.

The basis for allowing such an interim final action stems from the APA, which provides that the notice and opportunity for comment requirements do not apply when the Agency "for good cause finds" that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest."⁴ The EPA believes that notice-and-comment rulemaking before the effective date of this action is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. The EPA has reviewed the State's SIP submissions, and for the reasons explained further in its proposed action the EPA believes that it is more likely than not that the State's submissions adequately address the Serious nonattainment area contingency measures requirement for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. This is accomplished by the State adopting an approvable contingency measure, and through the inclusion of an infeasibility justification that provides a reasoned explanation for why it is not feasible for Colorado to adopt contingency measures

² 5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*

³ See 5 U.S.C. 553(b)-(d).

⁴ 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B).

achieving emission reductions in the amount recommended by EPA. Accordingly, CAA sanctions would not serve their intended purpose of encouraging the state to develop a better SIP. The EPA also believes that the risk of an inappropriate deferral is comparatively small, given the limited scope of a deferral and given that sanctions would become effective pursuant to 40 CFR 52.31(d)(2)(i) in the event the EPA reverses its determination that the State has corrected the deficiencies. Consequently, the EPA finds that the “good cause” exception to the APA notice and comment requirement applies, and that notice and comment procedures are not required before the deferral and stay of sanctions become effective.

The EPA is also invoking the “good cause” exception to the 30-day publication requirement of the APA. Section 553(d)(1) of the APA provides that final rules shall not become effective until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** “except . . . a substantive rule which grants or recognizes an exemption or relieves a restriction.”⁵ The purpose of this provision is to “give affected parties a reasonable time to adjust their behavior before the final rule takes effect.”⁶ However, when the agency grants or recognizes an exemption or relieves a restriction, affected parties do not need a reasonable time to adjust because the effect is not adverse. Because this rule relieves a restriction, in that it defers imposition of sanctions upon the state, the EPA finds that there is good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1) for this action to become effective on the date of publication of this action.

As explained above, the EPA is making this interim final determination based on our concurrent proposal to approve portions of Colorado’s June 26, 2023, May 23, 2024, and April 2, 2025 SIP submittals that correct the deficiencies identified in our November 7, 2023 disapproval action with respect to the adequacy of contingency measures submitted by Colorado for the Serious nonattainment requirement in the DMNFR area under the 2008 ozone NAAQS. If the EPA does not finalize the approval as proposed and instead disapproves or proposes to disapprove these SIP revisions, then the offset sanction for permitting of new and modified sources under CAA section 179(b)(2) would apply in the affected

area on the later of: (1) the date the EPA issues such a proposed or final disapproval; or (2) June 7, 2025 (*i.e.*, 18 months from the effective date of the finding that started the original sanctions clock).⁷ Subsequently, highway sanctions under section 179(b)(1) would apply in the affected area six months after the date the offset sanction applies.⁸

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action defers Federal sanctions and imposes no additional requirements. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a state program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian Tribe has demonstrated that a Tribe has jurisdiction. The rule does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

This action is subject to the Congressional Review Act (CRA), and

EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). However, section 808 provides that any rule for which the issuing agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest, shall take effect at such time as the agency promulgating the rule determines. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). The EPA has made such a good cause finding, including the reasons thereof, and established an effective date of May 8, 2025.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 7, 2025. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Greenhouse gases, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: April 21, 2025.

Cyrus M. Western,
Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 2025–07938 Filed 5–7–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2022–0526; FRL–10286–02–R9]

Air Quality Plans; California; Tehama County Air Pollution Control District; New Source Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a revision to the Tehama

⁵ 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

⁶ *Omnipoint Corp. v. Fed. Comm’n Comm’n*, 78 F.3d 620, 630 (D.C. Cir. 1996); *see also United States v. Gavrilovic*, 551 F.2d 1099, 1104 (8th Cir. 1977) (quoting legislative history).

⁷ *See* 40 CFR 52.31(d)(2)(i). In this case, the finding that started the original sanctions clock was the disapproval issued on November 7, 2023, which was effective on December 7, 2023.

⁸ *See id.*