

concludes that (i) producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or (ii) if other changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. Since the Department did not receive any comments during the comment period opposing the exclusion of certain grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod and grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod, as defined in the "Scope of Changed Circumstances Review" above, from the antidumping duty and countervailing duty orders, we preliminarily conclude that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which these orders pertain lack interest in the relief provided by the order. Unless the Department receives opposition from domestic producers who's production totals more than 15 percent of the domestic like product, the Department will partially revoke the orders on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod in its final results of review. Therefore, the Department is preliminarily revoking the orders on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine, in part, for all entries after the date of the petitioners' request with regard to the products which meet the specifications above.

The Department has considered interested parties' comments concerning the effective date of liquidation of entries. As a result, we intend to instruct Customs to liquidate all entries of subject products entered for consumption on or after July 24, 2003, the effective date of the revocation, in part, of these orders, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(g)(4).

Interested parties wishing to comment on these preliminary results may submit briefs to the Department no later than 16 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Parties will have five days subsequent to this due date to submit rebuttal comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments. Parties who submit comments or rebuttal comments in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument (no longer than five pages, including footnotes). Any requests for hearing must be filed within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

All written comments must be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303, with the exception that only

three (3) copies for each case need be served on the Department. Any comments must also be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list. The Department will issue its final results of review as soon as practicable following the above comment period, but not later than 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review is initiated, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and will publish these results in the **Federal Register**. While the changed circumstances review is underway, the current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping or countervailing duties on all subject merchandise, including the merchandise that is the subject of this changed circumstances review, will continue unless and until these orders are revoked, in part, pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review or an administrative review.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: September 29, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-25281 Filed 10-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-847]

Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Hard Red Spring Wheat From Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 6, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Santoboni or Cole Kyle, Office 1, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4194 or (202) 482-1503, respectively.

Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, the products covered are all varieties of

hard red spring ("HRS") wheat from Canada. This includes, but is not limited to, varieties commonly referred to as Canada Western Red Spring, Canada Western Extra Strong, and Canada Prairie Spring Red. The merchandise subject to this investigation is currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings: 1001.90.10.00, 1001.90.20.05, 1001.90.20.11, 1001.90.20.12, 1001.90.20.13, 1001.90.20.14, 1001.90.20.16, 1001.90.20.19, 1001.90.20.21, 1001.90.20.22, 1001.90.20.23, 1001.90.20.24, 1001.90.20.26, 1001.90.20.29, 1001.90.20.35, and 1001.90.20.96. This investigation does not cover imports of wheat that enter under the subheadings 1001.90.10.00 and 1001.90.20.96 that are not classifiable as hard red spring wheat. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Amended Final Determination

On August 28, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") determined that HRS wheat from Canada is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 735(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Notice of Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Durum and Hard Red Spring Wheat from Canada*, 68 FR 52741 (September 5, 2003). On September 8, 2003, we received ministerial error allegations, timely filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), from the Canadian Wheat Board ("the CWB") regarding the Department's final margin calculations. The CWB requests that we correct the errors and publish a notice of amended final determination in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e). The CWB's submission alleges the following with regard to the Department's cost of production ("COP") calculations.

Farmer 8—The CWB alleges that the Department inadvertently double-counted seed cleaning costs.

Farmer 17—The CWB alleges that the Department inadvertently double-counted certain labor costs.

Farmer 19—The CWB alleges that the Department inadvertently used an incorrect production quantity for the calculation of the crop insurance offset.

Farmer 20—The CWB alleges that the Department inadvertently allocated water rights costs to owned and rented land, rather than just owned land. The CWB also alleges that the Department

inadvertently mis-allocated fixed and variable overhead costs.

Farmer 23—The CWB alleges that the Department inadvertently understated actual labor costs allocated to livestock, thereby overstating the general and administrative (“G&A”) and interest expenses allocated to HRS. The CWB also alleges that the Department inadvertently excluded variable overhead costs related to non-farming activities, thereby overstating the G&A and interest expenses allocated to HRS.

The North Dakota Wheat Commission (“the petitioner”) submitted comments on the CWB’s ministerial error allegations on September 10, 2003. The petitioner did not comment on the CWB’s ministerial error allegations for Farmer 8 and the allocation of labor costs to livestock for Farmer 23. In response to the CWB’s other allegations, the petitioner argues that they were not ministerial.

In accordance with section 735(e) of the Act, we have determined that certain ministerial errors were made in the calculation of the CWB’s COP and constructed value (“CV”) in our final margin calculations. For a detailed discussion of the above-cited ministerial error allegations and the Department’s analysis, see Memorandum to Jeffrey A. May, “Allegation of Ministerial Errors; Final Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Hard Red Spring Wheat from Canada” dated September 26, 2003, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final determination of the antidumping duty investigation of HRS Wheat from Canada to correct the ministerial errors found in the calculation of the COP and CV. The final weighted-average dumping margins are:

Exporter/manufac- turer	Original weighted- average margin percent- age	Amended weighted- average margin percent- age
Canadian Wheat Board	8.87	8.86
All Others	8.87	8.86

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, we are directing the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (“BCBP”) to continue to suspend liquidation of all imports of subject merchandise from Canada that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or

after May 8, 2003, the date of publication of the *Notice of Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Durum and Hard Red Spring Wheat from Canada*, 68 FR 24707 (May 8, 2003) in the **Federal Register**. The BCBP shall continue to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the NV exceeds the EP, as indicated in the chart above. These suspension-of-liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Tariff Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission of our amended final determination.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 29, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-25279 Filed 10-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-832 and A-489-812]

Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Investigations: Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico and Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Initiation of Antidumping Investigations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 6, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor (Mexico) at 202-482-5831; Mark Manning (Turkey) at 202-482-5253 or Ronald Trentham at 202-482-6320, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Initiation of Investigations

The Petition

On September 9, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a petition filed in proper form by California Steel and Tube; Hannibal Industries, Inc.; Leavitt Tube Company, LLC; Maruichi American Corporation; Northwest Pipe

Company; Searing Industries, Inc.; Vest Inc.; and Western Tube and Conduit Corporation (collectively, the petitioners). See Letter from Schagrin Associates to Secretary Evans of the Department and Secretary Abbott of the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC), “Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties: Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico and Turkey” (September 9, 2003) (Petition). The petitioners are domestic producers of light-walled rectangular (LWR) pipe and tube products. In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the petitioners allege that imports of LWR pipe and tube from Mexico and Turkey are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less-than-fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or are threatening to materially injure an industry in the United States.

The Department issued a questionnaire to the petitioners on September 12, 2003, to clarify certain aspects of the Petition. The petitioners responded with the requested supplemental information on September 22, 2003. On September 23, 2003, two Mexican producers, and two U.S. importers of Mexican LWR pipe and tube (collectively, the Mexican industry), filed a submission in which they argued that the petitioners have not adequately established that they represent over 50 percent of the U.S. domestic industry. The Department issued a second questionnaire to the petitioners on September 24, 2003. The petitioners, on September 26, 2003, responded to the Department’s second questionnaire and, in addition, provided rebuttal comments concerning the Mexican industry’s allegations. On September 26 and 29, 2003, the Mexican industry responded to the petitioners’ September 22, 2003 rebuttal comments and reiterated the arguments made in its September 23, 2003 submission, respectively.

After reviewing the contents of the Petition and the two amendments provided by the petitioners, the Department finds that the petitioners filed the Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because they are interested parties as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act, and they have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the investigations they are presently seeking. See, “Determination of Industry Support for the Petitions,” below.