operations in the Intelligent Transportation System radio service.

[FR Doc. 2024–28980 Filed 12–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 2 and 30

[ET Docket No. 21–186; FCC 24–124; FR ID 267422]

Modifying Emissions Limits for the 24.25–24.45 GHz and 24.75–25.25 GHz Bands

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) revises the Commission's rules for the 24.25-24.45 GHz and 24.75-25.25 GHz bands (collectively, the 24 GHz band) to implement certain decisions made in the World Radiocommunication Conference held by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) in 2019 (WRC-19). Specifically, the Commission aligns part 30 of the Commission's rules for mobile operations in these frequencies with the Resolution 750 limits adopted at WRC-19 to protect the passive 23.6-24.0 GHz band from unwanted emissions on the timeframes adopted at WRC-19.

DATES: *Effective date:* This rule is effective January 13, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Simon Banyai of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Broadband Division, at 202–418–1443 or by email to Simon.Banyai@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Report and Order* in ET Docket No. 21–186; FCC 24–124; adopted on November 27, 2024 and released on December 2, 2024, 2024. The full text of this document is available at https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-24-124A1.pdf.

Regulatory Flexibility Act. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), requires that an agency prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for notice-and-comment rulemakings, unless the agency certifies that "the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities." Accordingly, the Commission has prepared a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) concerning the possible impact of the rule changes contained in this Report and Order on

small businesses. The FRFA is set forth in the back of this document.

Paperwork Reduction Act. This document does not contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104–13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any new or modified information collection burden "for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

Congressional Review Act. The Commission has determined, and the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, concurs, that this rule is non-major under the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 804(2). The Commission will send a copy of this Report & Order to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

People With Disabilities. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice).

Synopsis

I. Background

- 1. The 23.6-24.0 GHz band is allocated to several passive scientific and research services, including the Earth Exploration Satellite Service (EESS) (passive), on a primary basis. EESS utilizes passive sensors located on satellites to measure the power level of naturally occurring radio emissions from water vapor and cloud liquid water molecules in the atmosphere, which are critical measurements for climatology and weather forecasting. Because naturally occurring radio emissions in the 23.6-24.0 GHz band are very weak, the passive sensors that measure them are sensitive and vulnerable to interference.
- 2. Observations made by EESS sensors operating in the 23.6–24.0 GHz band are essential for meteorological applications. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) uses EESS to take measurements considered vital to the accuracy and timeliness of weather forecasting, including hurricane and tornado warnings, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) also operates passive EESS systems in the band to conduct climatological science. Additionally, EESS passive sensors aid

- EESS active instruments that use radar on satellites to measure ocean topography, sea ice, and precipitation by measuring total atmospheric water vapor and correcting the "refraction-induced path delay in the radar signal." The 23.6–24.0 GHz band has been used for passive sensor observations for a considerable length of time and has generated valuable long-term climate data records.
- 3. The Commission first authorized service in the 24.25-24.45 GHz and 25.05-25.25 GHz bands in 1997, when it transitioned the Digital Electronic Messaging Service (DEMS) to these bands from the 18 GHz band. In 2000, the Commission adopted competitive bidding and service rules for 24.25-24.45 GHz and 25.05-25.25 GHz bands and created a 24 GHz Service. This 24 GHz Service had a total of 176 Economic Areas (EAs) or EA-like service areas. In 2004, the Commission held Auction 56, in which it made 880 24 GHz Service licenses available. Only seven of the 880 24 GHz Service licenses were sold. As of 2017, there were 33 active DEMS licenses in these bands. While the former DEMS licenses were converted to Upper Microwave Flexible Use Services (UMFUS) licenses, they were subsequently cancelled.
- 4. In 2016, the Commission adopted licensing and technical rules for UMFUS services in the 27.5-28.35 GHz band, the 37.6-38.6 GHz band, and the 38.6-40 GHz band. Expanding on the 2016 efforts to open high-frequency spectrum, in 2017, the Commission authorized the 24 GHz band for UMFUS, and generally applied the same licensing and technical rules to UMFUS in the 24 GHz band that it applied to UMFUS in other upper microwave bands. The UMFUS rules allow licensees flexibility to the services they will deploy and the architecture of their networks. Under these rules, licensees are able to deploy mobile services, but they also may deploy fixed point-topoint and point-to-multipoint systems. Among other things, the UMFUS rules specify that emissions outside of a licensee's assigned frequency block must be limited to −13 dBm/MHz.¹ In its decision authorizing UMFUS in the 24 GHz band, the Commission noted ongoing ITU studies to establish

 $^{^1}$ See 47 CFR 30.203(a). In the bands immediately outside and adjacent to the licensee's frequency block, having a bandwidth equal to 10 percent of the channel bandwidth, the conductive power or the total radiated power of any emission shall be $-5~{\rm dBm/MHz}$ or lower. Id. As the 23.6–24 GHz passive band is 250 megahertz away from the UMFUS bands, the $-5~{\rm dBm/MHz}$ does not apply within that passive band for UMFUS licensees.

emissions limits applicable to
International Mobile
Telecommunications (IMT) to protect
passive sensors in the 23.6–24.0 GHz
band, and it acknowledged that the
UMFUS rules might be revisited once
the ITU studies had been completed.²

5. WRC–19 allocated 24.25–25.25 GHz to mobile (except aeronautical) on a primary basis in Regions 1 and 2, globally identified the 24.25–27.5 GHz band for IMT, and established unwanted

emissions limits applicable to IMT in the 24.25–27.5 GHz band to protect passive systems in the 23.6–24.0 GHz band. To implement these limits, WRC–19 modified a footnote to the International Table of Allocations to add Resolution 750 (Rev. WRC–19). Resolution 750 specifies unwanted emissions limits in terms of Total Radiated Power (TRP) as the amount of power that may be radiated into any 200 megahertz block of the 23.6–24.0 GHz

passive band by IMT base stations and IMT mobile stations operating in the 24.25–27.5 GHz band. Resolution 750 sets emissions limits for current IMT devices as well as more stringent emissions limits for IMT devices that will be brought into use in the 24.25–27.5 GHz band after September 1, 2027. These two sets of unwanted emissions limits are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1—WRC-19 RESOLUTION 750 UNWANTED EMISSIONS PERMITTED WITHIN ANY 200 MEGAHERTZ IN THE 23.6-24 GHz Passive Band

Type of station	Current TRP limits	TRP limits after Sept. 1, 2027
IMT Base StationsIMT Mobile Stations	- 33 dBW - 29 dBW	– 39 dBW. – 35 dBW.

6. On April 26, 2021, the Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) and the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB) issued a Public Notice (86 FR 28522) to develop a record on whether and how the Commission could implement the emissions limits contained in Resolution 750 for the active services in the 24 GHz band. The Public Notice sought comment on amending part 30 of the Commission's rules to conform to the unwanted emissions limits into the passive 23.6-24.0 GHz band that were adopted at WRC-19 and/or adding footnotes to the United States Table of Frequency Allocations at part 2 of the Commission's rules. Twelve parties made filings in response to the *Public* Notice.

7. On December 22, 2023, the Commission released a *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice)* (89 FR 5440) proposing to implement certain decisions regarding the 24.25–27.5 GHz band made at WRC–19. The *Notice* proposed to align part 30 of the Commission's rules for mobile operations in the 24 GHz band with the Resolution 750 limits on unwanted emissions into the passive 23.6–24.0 GHz band. In addition, the *Notice* also sought comment on several different issues, including: (1) applying Resolution 750 limits to mobile

operations; (2) applying emissions limits more stringent than Resolution 750; (3) applying Resolution 750 limits to fixed operations; (4) exempting indoor small-cell operations from Resolution 750 limits; (5) allowing conductive power measurements; and (6) the schedule for transition to the new Resolution 750 limits applicable after September 1, 2027.³

8. Comments on the *Notice* were due February 28, 2024, and reply comments were due March 14, 2024. The Commission received ten comments and four reply comments.

II. Discussion

A. Revision of Commission Rules To Adopt Resolution 750 Unwanted Emissions Limits

9. Consistent with the proposal in the *Notice*, the Commission adopts the Resolution 750 unwanted out-of-band emissions (OOBE) limits and apply these limits to all mobile operations in the 24 GHz band. In response to the *Notice*, all commenters, including the weather community, scientific community, mobile operators, equipment manufacturers, Federal agencies, and other commenters, agree the Commission should align its rules for mobile operations in the 24 GHz band with Resolution 750. The

touts its work developing a proof of concept RGSS system and asks that the Commission establish rules allowing use of RGSS. While the Commission believes adopting a rule authorizing the use of RGSS is premature at this time, the Commission encourage further research and study of such systems and are open to consideration of such a system as it becomes more developed.

Commission finds that the Resolution 750 OOBE limits will appropriately protect sensitive passive sensing operations in the 23.6-24.0 GHz band, while at the same time allowing next generation wireless service to continue to develop in the United States. In addition, adopting the Resolution 750 limits would promote international harmonization and result in certain public interest benefits associated with such harmonization, including facilitating the provision of advanced wireless services in the U.S., providing regulatory certainty to all interested stakeholders, and promoting spectrum use by both wireless providers and the weather and satellite communities.

10. Consistent with the Notice, the Commission also applies Resolution 750 limits to all mobile operations (as defined in parts 2 and 20 of the Commission's rules) 4 in the 24 GHz band. As adopted by WRC-19, the Resolution 750 limits apply only to IMT base and mobile stations. Commenters who addressed the issue support applying the Resolution 750 unwanted OOBE limits to all mobile operations, rather than just IMT operations. Moreover, the Commission's rules do not define IMT as a separate category of mobile, nor do they require that equipment comply with a particular standard in the 24 GHz band.

stations or receivers and land stations, and by mobile stations communicating among themselves, and includes: (a) Both one-way and two-way radio communications services; (b) A mobile service which provides a regularly interacting group of base, mobile, portable, and associated control and relay stations (whether licensed on an individual, cooperative, or multiple basis) for private one-way or two-way land mobile radio communications by eligible users over designated areas of operation; and (c) Any service for which a license is required in a personal communications service under part 24 of this chapter.")

² IMT is the generic term used by the ITU to designate broadband mobile systems and encompasses IMT–2000, IMT-Advanced, and IMT–2020. See ITU, Radiocommunication Sector ITU–R FAQ on International Telecommunications (Feb. 23, 2022), https://www.itu.int/en/TTU-R/Documents/ITU-R-FAQ-IMT.pdf. As described below, the Commission rules do not define IMT.

³ See generally Notice. The Notice (at para. 29) also sought comment on a proposal to use a Real-time Geospatial Spectrum Sharing (RGSS) system as an alternative means of protecting EESS. The Wireless Interdisciplinary Research Group (WIRG)

⁴ See 47 CFR 2.1 ("Mobile Service. A radiocommunication service between mobile and land stations, or between mobile stations."); see also 47 CFR 20.3 ("Mobile Service. A radio communication service carried on between mobile

Furthermore, no commenter offered a technical basis for distinguishing between IMT and other mobile operations for purposes of domestic spectrum use. Finally, attempting to treat non-IMT mobile operations differently from IMT mobile operations could cause confusion and difficulties with enforcing the limits, as suggested in the *Notice*.

11. As of the effective date of the rules adopted herein, mobile operations in the 24 GHz band will be required to comply with the current limits adopted at WRC–19 (which the Commission refers to as Phase 1 limits). The Commission finds that the application of the Resolution 750 OOBE limits to mobile operations strikes the appropriate balance between protecting adjacent passive sensing operations and facilitating use of the 24 GHz band.

12. Rule Amendments. In the Notice, the Commission proposed to make any changes to the limits on emissions into the 23.6-24.0 GHz band by amending the part 30 rules and adding a footnote to the U.S. Table of Allocations. It noted that, since the part 30 rules already contained a rule governing emissions limits, it appeared to be appropriate to incorporate any changes made in this proceeding into that rule. Commenters broadly agree that these changes are appropriate ways of aligning the Commission's rules with WRC-19 Resolution 750. These limits will be incorporated into the Commission's part 30 technical rules as well as codified in a new U.S. footnote to the Table of Frequency Allocations (Allocation Table) in accordance with the proposal. Further, part 2 of the Commission's rules is amended to allow the Table of Frequency Allocations to show that the Resolution 750 unwanted OOBE limits will apply to all mobile systems.

13. The Commission declines to make other changes to the part 30 rules and the Table of Frequency Allocations footnote, as it does not believe they are necessary. For example, NASA suggests including the start dates of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 OOBE limits in the Table of Allocations. The Phase 2 effective date will be incorporated into the part 30 rule and the Allocation Table footnote. Since the Phase 1 limits will be effective immediately upon the effective date of the rules, the Commission believes there is no need to include the Phase 1 effective date. NASA also recommends that the language of the amendment to the part 30 rules be trimmed down to a cross reference to the Table of Allocations. The Commission will retain the substantive emissions limits in section 30.203 of its rules, as it is the better

practice to include the specified limits in these technical rules.

B. Adopting Limits More Stringent Than Resolution 750

14. In the Notice, the Commission sought comment on whether it was necessary to adopt even stricter OOBE limits to protect EESS systems than those set forth in Resolution 750 by WRC-19. The Commission declines to adopt limits beyond the Resolution 750 limits because the record does not show that such limits are technically necessary. While the proponents of stricter limits express concern that the Resolution 750 limits will be insufficient to protect EESS, their concerns are speculative. 5 Furthermore, no party has submitted specific technical data justifying stricter limits or evaluating the costs and benefits of applying them as directed in the Notice.

15. The Commission also notes that NTIA did not advocate for implementation of stricter limits, and NTIA, NOAA, and NASA all agree to the adoption of the Resolution 750 limits. While NOAA maintains that stricter limits would better protect Earth Exploration-Satellite Service (EESS) passive sensors, that measurements gathered by sensitive radiometers in the 23.6-24.0 and 31.3-31.5 GHz bands could be compromised by unwanted byproducts from a 5G signal, and that the Resolution 750 limits may not adequately protect passive sensors below 24 GHz, it nevertheless acknowledges that the WRC-19 limits are a compromise and are what most countries are considering.

16. Moreover, while much of the weather and scientific communities would prefer to adopt more stringent unwanted OOBE limits, they too support the adoption of the Resolution 750 limits, at least as a preliminary step. The Commission notes that Federal agencies and non-federal licensees have deployed nearly 40,000 point-to-point microwave links in the 21.2-23.6 GHz band—immediately below the 23.6-24.0 GHz band—that operate with a less restrictive -13 dBm/MHz or -20 dBW/ 200 MHz OOBE limit, and yet there is no indication, and no party has submitted a technical showing, that these point-to-point links have caused harmful interference to passive sensors. In contrast to these directly adjacent point-to-point microwave links, there will be at least 225 megahertz separation between UMFUS operations and the

23.6–24 GHz passive band. Given that channels in this band are 100 megahertz wide, this amount of separation is significant.

17. The Commission finds that adopting stricter emissions limits could significantly limit the ability to use the band for next generation wireless services and other advanced mobile services. Because millimeter wave spectrum has limited propagation, licensees must deploy higher power to ensure sufficient network coverage. Imposing overly restrictive emissions limits could create higher insertion losses due to filtering requirements, and limit the power carriers can use, adversely affecting services available to consumers. Furthermore, adopting emissions limits unique to the United States would be inconsistent with international harmonization.

18. The Commission is not persuaded that the technical information provided by the National Academy of Sciences, through its Committee on Radio Frequencies (CORF) and the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society (IEEE GRSS) justifies adopting stricter OOBE limits. CORF advocates for stricter emissions limits, such as those adopted by the European Commission (-42 dBW/200 MHz) or those of the World Meteorological Organization (-55 dBW/dBW/200 MHz). CORF contends that the Resolution 750 Phase 2 limits of -35 dBW/200 MHz for mobile devices equates to 0.4 mW per device, only a factor of 10 below the ITU-R Recommendation RS.2017 threshold for protection of passive sensors in the 23.6-24 GHz band.6 CORF argues that, even assuming somewhat directional beams from 5G mobile devices, it is clear that deployment of thousands of such devices in urban areas will exceed the

⁵ While WIRG supports adoption of the Resolution 750 limits as a "minimum acceptable requirement," it suggests that further research would be appropriate, after which the Commission should consider adopting stricter limits.

⁶ CORF states that the "AMSR2 instrument on the GCOM-W1 spacecraft (the successor to NASA's AMSR-E instrument launched on the Agua mission in 2002) measures natural black-body emission signals over a 400 MHz-wide region of the spectrum at 24 GHz with 14 x 14 km pixels. Thermal emission from one such pixel over dry land at 300 K (80 °F) in this band totals to only ~26 W across this ${\sim}200~\text{km}^2$ pixel. This 26 W is emitted in all directions, and the AMSR2 instrument receives only a fraction of a trillionth of that power. The ITU-R Recommendation RS.2017 interference threshold equates to requiring that there should be no perturbations (e.g., from RFI) greater than 4 mW total emission across this entire pixel. This requirement enables EESS (passive) measurements to be made with an accuracy of 0.05 K brightness temperature (~0.09 °F), which is considered sufficient to provide accurate weather forecasts and reliable quantification of potential signatures of climate change (which are of order of one or two degrees per century). The Res. 750 limits of -35dBW in 200 MHz for mobile devices equates to 0.4 mW per device, only a factor of 10 below the ITU-R Recommendation RS.2017 threshold.'

ITU threshold, but CORF does not clearly explain how it extrapolates the 0.4 mW per device figure from the Phase 2 standard. IEEE GRSS supports the stricter limits proposed by CORF, because it maintains that the Resolution 750 limits would allow the presence of signals above the interference threshold in the 23.6-24.0 GHz passive band when more 5G base stations are placed within the sensor's coverage area. The Commission finds that both CORF's and IEEE GRSS's analyses are based on the assumptions that there will be large but unknown numbers of mobile devices that are not related to specific deployments or use cases. In addition, IEEE GRSS and NOAA cite the European Union's decision to implement the more stringent limit of -39 dBW/200 MHz as of January 1. 2024, as an argument for applying the more stringent limit on an accelerated timeframe in the U.S. The Commission notes, however, that the United States is not similarly situated to the European Union. Terrestrial operations in Europe are above 25 GHz and farther away from the passive services in the 23.6–24.0 GHz band than U.S. operations. Given the greater frequency separation distance, it is easier for European providers to permit their mobile operators to use higher power and yet meet stricter emissions limits without impairment to the EESS passive service. While the Commission understands the desire of the weather and scientific communities for the greatest protection possible, the record before it does not support adopting limits stricter than the limits agreed to at WRC-19. Accordingly, the Commission declines to adopt more stringent OOBE limits for the U.S. than those adopted in Resolution 750.8

C. Applying Resolution 750 Limits to Other Services

19. Fixed operations. The Notice sought comment on applying the Resolution 750 emissions limits to fixed operations, including point-to-point and point-to-multipoint operations.9 The Commission declines to do so. As adopted by the ITU, Resolution 750 does not apply to fixed operations; WRC-19 only studied IMT operations under a mobile service allocation. Fixed operation transmissions are significantly more directional than mobile operations—point-to-point operations have tightly focused and stationary beams, and point-to-multipoint base stations direct signals towards user stations. As noted above, there are existing fixed point-to-point operations under part 101 in the spectrum immediately below the passive band that are not subject to stricter emissions limits, and yet there is no indication that these point-to-point links have caused harmful interference to passive sensors. 10 This is in contrast to the at least 225 megahertz of frequency separation between the UMFUS operations starting at 24.25 GHz and the 23.6-24.0 GHz passive band, which should further help alleviate interference concerns. As fixed deployments increase in the band, the Commission will monitor for potential issues, and, if necessary, consider whether to revisit the limits for fixed operations.

20. The Commission's *Notice* also directed proponents of applying the stricter limits to fixed operations to provide "specific technical data as well as the costs and benefits of applying stricter limits or of keeping the existing limits." Neither proponents nor opponents of applying the Resolution 750 limits to fixed operations presented such technical or cost/benefit data. Indeed, IEEE GRSS, which supports applying the Resolution 750 limits to fixed, suggests that further studies are necessary. CORF argues that "[i]t is counterproductive to protect passive

uses from OOBE from mobile service equipment, but not from equipment used for UMFUS fixed services," and it "recommends that a rigorous OOBE standard apply to all UMFUS equipment operating at 24 GHz: UMFUS fixed point-to-point and point-to-multipoint equipment, as well as mobile equipment." Given the differences between fixed and mobile operations, however, and the lack of any technical support, the conclusory arguments by CORF and others are insufficient to justify extending Resolution 750's mobile limits to fixed operations.

21. CTIA suggests that the Commission clarify that transportable stations (which it said are fixed stations) would not be subject to the more stringent emissions limits. For purposes of these rules, the Commission will use the definition of a mobile station contained in part 2 of its rules, "[a] station in the mobile service intended to be used while in motion or during halts at unspecified points." In contrast, a transportable station, which the Commission's rules define as "[t]ransmitting equipment that is not intended to be used while in motion, but rather at stationary locations," operates under the fixed service allocation and would not be subject to the Resolution 750 limits. While transportable equipment is used in a limited number of stationary locations (such as a fixed modem at a home or office), mobile equipment could be used anywhere (for example, stopped at a red light).

22. NTIA asks that, as an alternative to applying the Resolution 750 limits to fixed operations, the Commission mandate downtilt in fixed operations to avoid transmissions towards EESS satellites. Because there may be situations where downtilt is not feasible (for example, a point-to-point link between two sites that differ in elevation), rather than mandating downtilt, the Commission instead strongly encourages licensees to avoid uptilt where practical.

23. Indoor small-cell systems. The Notice also sought comment on Ericsson's and AT&T's proposal that indoor small-cell systems be exempt from the Resolution 750 limits. In response to the *Public Notice*, Ericsson stated that there is a growing interest in indoor small cell deployments, the 24 GHz band may provide an opportunity to enhance indoor coverage, and the technical rules for such use cases should not deviate from what is currently allowed under part 30. Ericsson further noted that "several mitigating factors, including wall and building entry losses and the potential

 $^{^7\,\}mathrm{CORF}$ also notes that the ITU Radiocommunication Sector ("ITU-R") Recommendation RS.2017, establishes a limit of - 166 dBW in 200 MHz for the 23.6-24.0 GHz band, to be met over 99.99 percent of a 10,000,000 km² area. Id. at 6–7. It argues the limits specified in ITU-R Resolution 750 (Rev. WRC-19), when applied to likely IMT implementations, and taking into consideration typical characteristics of orbiting EESS sensors (described in ITU-R Recommendation RS.1861), fail to meet this RS.2017 criterion particularly when considering the aggregate interference from the vast multiplicity of transmitters that are inherent to the nature of IMT deployments; CORF assumes deployment of thousands of IMT devices in urban areas is likely an underestimate.

⁸ The Commission does not rely on arguments that adopting stricter limits would be a material change from information made available to bidders in the 24 GHz auction and that licensees reasonably made investments based on the Resolution 750 limits.

⁹Point-to-multipoint operations include transportable user equipment, where the user equipment is not intended to be used while in motion, but the equipment could be moved when not in operation. See 47 CFR 30.2. The Commission agrees with GuRu Wireless, Inc. that expansion of WRC–19 emissions limits to the Industrial, Scientific, and Medical (ISM) band is outside the scope of this proceeding.

¹⁰ CORF asserts it cannot verify whether or not these point-to-point links have caused harmful interference to passive sensors at 23.6–24.0 GHz. Ericsson states that there are no known reports of interference from UMFUS services to EESS in the adjacent band. IEEE GRSS states "we do not have any information on whether interference has been detected by operational sensors".

for clutter losses from nearby buildings, mitigate the need for more stringent limits." Arguing that indoor small cells are not governed by the WRC-19 agreement limits, CTIA contends that, because "indoor small cells are installed inside of buildings, the mobile signal level is already reduced by hundreds to thousands of times . . . [and] as a result, the commercial mobile signals will be negligible at the satellite receiver, making tighter emissions levels for indoor small cells unnecessary." T-Mobile agrees that exempting indoor small-cell systems would not harm passive sensors in the 23.6-24.0 GHz band because ''indoor small-cell systems operate inside buildings at a lower power, making them subject to building attenuation." It maintains that, "[d]ue to the propagation characteristics of signals from indoor small-cell systems, it is unlikely that those signals would propagate outdoors and even be recognized by, let alone cause harmful interference to, passive sensors.'

24. Other commenters oppose an exemption from the Resolution 750 limits for indoor small-cell systems. IEEE GRSS notes that "[m]icrowave signals are not necessarily attenuated by all building materials at these frequencies, and there is no assurance that equipment built for indoor use may not be improperly installed in an outdoor setting." CORF recommends against such an exemption, "particularly for low-cost devices that may be deployed in large numbers," and it agrees that, while these devices typically operate at lower power, building entry loss serves to reduce emissions to a "lower but not necessarily acceptable level." Similarly, NASA argues that, while indoor operations may provide additional signal blockage of those signals to NASA's passive sensors, this issue was not studied during WRC-19 to determine the potential impact from indoor small-cell operations on NASA missions. NASA further notes that 'indoor-only' use limitations are difficult to enforce, and expressed concern that even a very small amount of hardware operating outside may pose impacts to NASA mission success.

25. The Commission concludes that the current record is insufficient to support exempting indoor base stations from the Resolution 750 limits, and decline to do so at this time. The current maximum power for UMFUS base stations is +75 dBm/100 MHz Although some indoor operations may operate at lower power levels, and while there may be some power level at which indoor operations could be safely exempted, the Commission lacks a

sufficient record on which to craft such an exemption. Although the Notice asked parties advocating for indoor exemption from Resolution 750 to provide technical justification, no studies addressing the factors the Commission would need to evaluate with respect to such an exemption were presented. These factors would include, for example: (1) propagation/penetration losses at 24 GHz, (2) the effect of building materials on such propagation/ penetration losses, especially materials used in ceilings and roofs, since the receivers being protected are in the sky, and (3) the sensitive nature of the observations being made in the passive band. Given the insufficiency of the record, the Commission declines to exempt indoor small-cell equipment from the Resolution 750 limits at this

D. Measurement of Unwanted Emissions

26. In the 24 GHz Notice, the Commission proposed to allow compliance with the unwanted emissions limits for the 23.6-24.0 GHz band to be demonstrated using TRP measurements, given that Resolution 750 specifies the limits in terms of TRP. Total radiated power is a measure of how much power is radiated by an antenna when the antenna is connected to an actual radio (or transmitter). TRP is an active measurement in that a powered transmitter is used to transmit through the antenna. The total received power is calculated and summed up over all possible angles (hence, it is a spherical or three dimensional measurement). 11 The Notice also sought comment on whether to permit use of conductive power measurements as well. Maximum conducted output power measurements do not take into account the efficiency of the antenna. 12

27. The Commission will allow the use of both TRP and maximum conductive output power measurements to ensure compliance with the limits on emissions into the 23.6–24 GHz band, consistent with the part 30 rules applicable in other UMFUS bands. ¹³ As

CTIA and Nokia observe, OET has issued a knowledge database ("KDB") document that permits both TRP and maximum conducted output power measurement procedures to demonstrate regulatory compliance for UMFUS devices.¹⁴ In the Commission's experience, maximum conducted output power measurements have worked well in these bands, and allowing use of either TRP or maximum conducted output power measurements would provide equipment manufacturers with maximum flexibility as they develop equipment for the 24 GHz band. The Commission sees no reason to treat the 24 GHz band differently from other UMFUS bands.

28. The Commission finds the arguments that certain commenters have raised against allowing conductive power measurements to be unpersuasive. The Commission acknowledges, as IEEE GRSS, NTIA, NOAA, and NASA point out, that the WRC-19 studies relied on TRP to develop the Resolution 750 standards. By itself, however, that fact does not mean that maximum conducted output power measurements cannot be used to demonstrate compliance. NASA argues that conductive power methodology does not provide an accurate characterization of the aggregate effects of systems' components on the measurement results, and it asserts that TRP and conductive power measurements methodologies may produce different quantitative limits for unwanted emissions. The Commission notes that conductive power measurements are more conservative than TRP. In other words, if a conductive power measurement shows compliance, the corresponding TRP measurement will demonstrate compliance as well (although the converse will not necessarily be true). Accordingly, allowing conductive power measurements does not present a substantial risk that noncompliant equipment will be placed into the marketplace.

29. In addition, parties against allowing conductive power measurements argue that the TRP methodology is clearly understood, and NOAA points to Ericsson's prior statement that it does not anticipate

¹¹ See https://www.antenna-theory.com/definitions/trp.php. TRP can also be defined as the integral of the power transmitted from all antenna elements in different directions over the entire radiation sphere. See ITU Radio Regulations (2020), Resolution 750 (Rev.WRC-19), Note 5, Vol. 3 at 522.

 $^{^{12}}$ TRP of a transmitter is closely related to its conductive power. In fact, TRP is product of antenna radiation efficiency, e_r , and conductive power P $(TRP=e_rP)$ and depending on antenna efficiency, TRP can be virtually the same as the conductive power P. See W.L. Stutzman and Gary A. Thiele, $Antenna\ Theory\ and\ Design,\ 2013,$ equations 13–40 and 2–155.

 $^{^{13}}$ 47 CFR 30.203(a). The Commission will also add the existing definition of "maximum conducted

output power" contained in its part 15 rules into its part 30 rules. See 47 CFR 30.2.

¹⁴ See Nokia Reply Comments (citing Basic Certification Requirements and Measurement Procedures for Upper Microwave Flexible Use Services (UMFUS) Devices, FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Laboratory Division, Knowledge Database (KDB) publication, KDB 842590 D01 (Apr. 20, 2021) https://apps.fcc.gov/kdb/GetAttachment.html?id=yV3FexQrXZMe%2F42JGriFdg.

difficulties performing TRP measurements. While there is broad support for allowing TRP measurements, such measurements require use of an anechoic chamber, which imposes additional costs, and may not be available to all stakeholders. The Commission disagrees with NASA's claim that using measurement techniques other than TRP would require significant further technical analysis. The Commission has allowed licensees and equipment manufacturers to perform conductive power measurements in other UMFUS bands for many years, pursuant to the KDB guidance discussed above, and using conductive power to measure compliance with the Resolution 750 limits does not present any unique new issues. While AGU, AMS and NWA argue that the calculations required for OOBE measurements are not straightforward and allowing the use of multiple measurement methods by the industry "would only contribute to the difficulty," they do not precisely explain what they perceive the difficulty to be. Finally, CORF argues that many 24 GHz devices will not have clean access to an antenna port, and even devices with access to the radiating element's port may radiate unintentionally from other parts of the equipment being tested. In those cases where there is no clear access to an antenna port, the Commission anticipates that TRP will be used. So long as equipment manufacturers follow the guidance the Commission has issued, the Commission sees no reason why conductive power measurements cannot work here, as they do in other UMFUS bands. Accordingly, the Commission will allow compliance with the emissions limits for equipment certification in the 24 GHz band to be demonstrated by measurements showing that either TRP or maximum conducted output power is within the limits set in Resolution 750.

E. Timetable for Application of WRC–19 Limits

30. Phase 1 to Phase 2 Transition Timetable. Having decided to transition to the WRC–19 Resolution 750 OOBE limit regime for the 24 GHz band, the Commission must still determine when that transition will take place—i.e., the appropriate timetable for moving to stricter limits, as well as how it will occur—and the practical steps necessary for transitioning equipment to the stricter limits. The Notice proposed to apply the new Resolution 750 unwanted emissions limits on the timetable adopted at WRC–19. This timing is set

forth in two footnotes to Resolution 750, that state:

 $^{\rm a}$ A limit of -39 dB(W/200 MHz) will apply to IMT base stations brought into use after 1 September 2027. This limit will not apply to IMT base stations which have been brought into use prior to this date. For those IMT base stations, the limit of -33 dB(W/200 MHz) will continue to apply after this date. and

 $^{\rm b}$ A limit of -35 dB(W/200 MHz) will apply to IMT mobile stations brought into use after 1 September 2027. This limit will not apply to IMT mobile stations which have been brought into use prior to this date. For those IMT mobile stations, the limit of -29 dB(W/200 MHz) will continue to apply after this date.

31. The Commission adopts the WRC-19 timetable as proposed in the *Notice*. The first phase limits (-33 dBW/200 m)MHz for base stations, -29 dBW/200MHz for mobile stations) will apply as of the effective date of the rules, 15 and the second phase limits (-39 dBW/200 MHz for base stations, -35 dBW/200MHz for mobile stations) will apply to all deployments brought into use (i.e., constructed and operating) after September 1, 2027. This schedule strikes an appropriate balance between protecting weather and scientific observations and promoting deployment in the 24 GHz band. Various commenters support adopting the WRC-19 schedule. Moreover, the Commission wishes to incentivize the work of manufacturers like Ericsson, which asserts it has already produced equipment meeting the Phase 1 limit.

32. The Commission declines to accelerate the effective date of the Phase 2 standards, as requested by NOAA, IEEE GRSS, and AGU/AMS/NWA. There is considerable uncertainty as to when equipment meeting Phase 2 standards will be available. Implementing Phase 2 standards prematurely could make it impractical for licensees to deploy in the band. Finally, while WRC-19 contemplated that there could be a longer period (up to eight years) where Phase 1 equipment could be deployed, in fact deployments will be required to comply with Phase 2 in fewer than three years. Accordingly, it appears that the number of deployments using Phase 1 equipment may be lower than originally contemplated at WRC-19.

33. The Commission also rejects T-Mobile's suggestion that the more stringent Phase 2 limits should only apply to equipment "authorized for use" after September 1, 2027, but that equipment could be "brought into use" after that date even if it was certified prior to that date and only complied with the Phase 1 limits. T-Mobile argues that purchased and certified equipment that only meets the Phase 1 limit but is unused due to certain delays beyond the control of the provider should be allowed be installed even after September 1, 2027; in other words, that providers should be permitted to use any equipment that was manufactured and sold before September 1, 2027, indefinitely under the Phase 1 limits so long as that equipment has been approved for use by the Commission prior to that date. The Commission disagrees with this position because the Commission believes this could act as an incentive for entities to stock up on equipment that met the Phase 1 limit in order to deploy this equipment at their leisure during Phase 2, effectively allowing an 'end-run' around the very concept of implementing the Phase 2 limit after September 1, 2027. T-Mobile's interpretation is plainly inconsistent with what was contemplated at WRC-19. From the perspective of the ITU, equipment that is certified but also "brought into use" prior to September 1, 2027, will be 'grandfathered'—i.e., allowed to continue to operate at the prior emissions limits even after implementation of the stricter emissions limits regime. But there is indeed a defined limit based on when equipment is 'brought into use.' As the Commission stated in the Notice.

Because the unwanted emission limits for base stations and mobile stations will change after September 1, 2027 under our proposal, equipment certifications based on compliance with the first phase limits would expire on that date. Any equipment remaining in the supply chain—i.e. in warehouses or in transit—would then be illegal to sell or install under our rules.

34. The Commission reiterates that, under the rules the Commission adopts, any equipment "brought into use" after September 1, 2027 must be "authorized for use"—i.e., certified for useaccording to the Phase 2 limits of Resolution 750. The Commission also rejects IEEE GRSS's argument that the ITU intended that "all base station equipment that does not comply with the -39 dBW/200 MHz limit should be modified or removed by September 1, 2028." If Phase 1 equipment was brought into use on August 31, 2027, it can continue to operate after September 1, 2027 per the ITU's footnote clarifications, even though it may not meet the increased OOBE limits. The

¹⁵The rules the Commission adopts in this item will be effective 30 days after **Federal Register** publication of the item.

Commission finds that it serves the public interest to use the ITU's footnote clarifications for a clear and effective application of the time table for the limits.

35. Certification of Phase 1 Equipment. The Commission also sought comment in the Notice on how to transition equipment deployed under the Phase 1 limits and to incentivize early and timely development and deployment of Phase 2 equipment. The *Notice* pointed to the equipment authorization program for RF devices as one tool meant to ensure compliance with the technical rules. RF devices must comply with the Commission's technical and equipment authorization requirements before they can be imported into or marketed in the United States. Because under the Commission's proposal, the unwanted emissions limits for base stations and mobile stations will change on September 1, 2027, equipment certifications based on compliance with the first phase limits would no longer be granted on or after this date. Furthermore, as noted above, any equipment remaining in the supply chain—i.e. in warehouses or in transit would then be illegal to sell or install under the rules. In the Notice, the Commission sought comment on whether it should prohibit the grant of new equipment certifications for, or the importation of, equipment not complying with the Phase 2 unwanted emissions limits at a date prior to September 1, 2027, as a means of both preventing equipment certified as complying only with Phase 1 limits from remaining in the supply chain—in warehouses or in transit—or being brought into use after September 1, 2027. As an example, the Commission stated that it could cease granting new equipment certifications or cease permitting importation of equipment only meeting the first phase limit after March 1, 2027, or six months prior to implementation of the second phase limits.

36. The Commission declines to accelerate the deadline for equipment certification of Phase 1 equipment since the Commission does not believe that it would incentivize the early development and deployment of Phase 2 equipment, but instead may place additional burdens on large and small equipment manufacturers and carriers planning their own affairs. The benefits touted by proponents of setting an earlier certification deadline rely on arguments that the Commission does not find persuasive in light of the licensing and compliance paradigm it now clarifies. Under the interpretation of Resolution 750 limits for the 24 GHz

band that the Commission adopts and incorporates into its rules, equipment meeting the Phase 1 limits can be brought into use up through September 1, 2027, and can remain in use thereafter. Accordingly, the Commission sees no need to stop certifying Phase 1 equipment before September 1, 2027. The Commission emphasizes that the September 1, 2027 deadline for bringing Phase 1 equipment into use is firm, and equipment manufacturers and licensees must keep that firm deadline in mind when deciding to develop or to purchase Phase 1 equipment. Any decision to develop or to purchase equipment that does not meet Phase 2 emissions limits close to the September 1, 2027 deadline will be solely at the risk of the equipment manufacturer or licensee, and the Commission presumes that it will plan accordingly. This decision trusts equipment manufacturers and carriers to structure their own affairs, gives them a welldefined timeline to do so, and removes any disincentive for manufacturers to prematurely cease producing equipment that meets Phase 1.

37. Requiring Phase 1 Equipment to Transition to Phase 2. The Commission also sought comment in the Notice on what should happen with Phase 1 equipment still operating after the Phase 2 deadline, NTIA, NOAA, and NASA advocate that equipment modified or replaced after September 1, 2027, must meet the more stringent Phase 2 OOBE levels. AGU/AMS/NWA, IEEE GRSS, and NOAA ask that base station and user equipment that does not comply with the -39 dBW/200 MHz limit be given a sunset date of September 1, 2028, by which it must be modified/ retrofitted to meet the more stringent limits or removed.

38. The Commission declines to establish a hard date by which Phase 1 equipment brought into use on or prior to September 1, 2027, must comply with the Phase 2 limits, subject to certain conditions, as explained further below. Establishing a hard sunset date would be inconsistent with the WRC-19 framework, which contemplates that Phase 1 equipment brought into use prior to September 1, 2027, could be operated indefinitely. Furthermore, the proponents of a hard sunset date have not offered any technical justification for such a sunset date, nor have they cited any factor that was allegedly not considered at WRC–19.

39. Rather, the Commission will adopt NTIA's proposal to require that equipment modified or replaced after September 1, 2027, must meet the more stringent Phase 2 emissions limits, clarified by CTIA and T-Mobile's

suggestion that, for purposes of this requirement, only base station modifications that affect emission characteristics would constitute a "modification" requiring compliance with the Phase 2 limits. The Commission believes these decisions strike the appropriate balance between granting licensees flexibility and being consistent with the decisions made at WRC-19. On the one hand, allowing licensees to make "modifications" to equipment without restriction could result in equipment that is substantially different from what is initially deployed not being subject to the Phase 2 limits. On the other hand, there are many sorts of routine modifications that could be made to equipment that would have no impact on the equipment's compliance with the Resolution 750 limits. As CTIA notes, requiring that any modification trigger compliance with the Phase 2 limits would be overly broad and would effectively preclude licensees from making any changes to existing deployments without purchasing and installing entirely new equipment. The Commission sees no reason why those sorts of routine modifications should trigger a requirement to replace that equipment. Since the emission characteristics are critical here, the Commission concludes that only modifications that would change the emission characteristics of the equipment would constitute a "modification" that would trigger a requirement to comply with the Phase 2 emissions limits. The Commission also concludes that any equipment that is completely replaced after September 1, 2027 should be treated as if it is newly installed and therefore subject to the post-September 1, 2027 emissions limits of the ITU Resolution 750.

III. Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

40. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) was incorporated in the Modifying Emissions Limits for the 24.25–24.45 GHz and 24.75–25.25 GHz Band, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice) released in December 2023. The Commission sought written public comment on the proposals in the Notice, including comment on the IRFA. No comments were filed addressing the IRFA. This present Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) conforms to the RFA.

A. Need for, and Objectives of, the Final Rules

41. The *Report and Order* adopted by the Commission implements certain

decisions regarding the 24.25-27.5 GHz band made in the World Radiocommunication Conference held by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) in 2019 (WRC-19). The Commission aligns part 30 of its rules for mobile operations with the Resolution 750 limits on unwanted emissions into the passive 23.6-24.0 GHz band that were adopted at WRC-19, and specifically the Commission: (1) applies the Resolution 750 unwanted OOBE limits to all mobile operations; (2) declines to adopt limits more stringent than those imposed by Resolution 750; (3) declines to apply the Resolution 750 limits to fixed operations, including point-to-point and point-to-multipoint systems; (4) declines to exempt indoor small-cell equipment from the Resolution 750 limits; (5) allows the demonstration of compliance with the unwanted emissions limits for the 23.6–24.0 GHz band using both conducted power measurement methodology in addition to the Total Radiated Power (TRP) methodology; and (6) sets the timetable for application of Resolution 750 limits. These rule changes and decisions will promote international harmonization, help to facilitate the protection of passive sensors used for weather forecasting and scientific research in the 23.6 GHz-24.0 GHz band, while continuing to promote flexible commercial use of the 24.25-24.45 GHz and 24.75-25.25 GHz bands (collectively, 24 GHz band).

- B. Summary of Significant Issues Raised by Public Comments in Response to the
- 42. There were no comments filed that specifically addressed the proposed rules and policies presented in the
- C. Response to Comments by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration
- 43. Pursuant to the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, which amended the RFA, the Commission is required to respond to any comments filed by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA), and to provide a detailed statement of any change made to the proposed rules as a result of those comments. The Chief Counsel did not file any comments in response to the proposed rules in this proceeding.
- D. Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which the Rules Will Apply
- 44. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of and, where

feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by rules adopted herein. The RFA generally defines the term "small entity" as having the same meaning as the terms "small business," "small organization," and "small governmental jurisdiction." In addition, the term "small business" has the same meaning as the term "small business concern" under the Small Business Act. A "small business concern" is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the SBA.

45. Small Businesses, Small Organizations, Small Governmental Jurisdictions. The Commission's actions, over time, may affect small entities that are not easily categorized at present. The Commission therefore describes, at the outset, three broad groups of small entities that could be directly affected herein. First, while there are industry specific size standards for small businesses that are used in the regulatory flexibility analysis, according to data from the Small Business Administration's (SBA) Office of Advocacy, in general a small business is an independent business having fewer than 500 employees. These types of small businesses represent 99.9% of all businesses in the United States, which translates to 33.2 million businesses.

46. Next, the type of small entity described as a "small organization" is generally "any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field." The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) uses a revenue benchmark of \$50,000 or less to delineate its annual electronic filing requirements for small exempt organizations. Nationwide, for tax year 2022, there were approximately 530,109 small exempt organizations in the U.S. reporting revenues of \$50,000 or less according to the registration and tax data for exempt organizations

available from the IRS

47. Finally, the small entity described as a "small governmental jurisdiction" is defined generally as "governments of cities, counties, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts, with a population of less than fifty thousand." U.S. Census Bureau data from the 2022 Census of Governments indicate there were 90,837 local governmental jurisdictions consisting of general purpose governments and special purpose governments in the United States. Of this number, there were 36,845 general purpose governments (county, municipal, and town or township) with populations of less than 50,000 and

11,879 special purpose governments (independent school districts) with enrollment populations of less than 50,000. Accordingly, based on the 2022 U.S. Census of Governments data, the Commission estimates that at least 48,724 entities fall into the category of 'small governmental jurisdictions.

48. Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite). This industry comprises establishments engaged in operating and maintaining switching and transmission facilities to provide communications via the airwaves. Establishments in this industry have spectrum licenses and provide services using that spectrum, such as cellular services, paging services, wireless internet access, and wireless video services. The SBA size standard for this industry classifies a business as small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2017 show that there were 2,893 firms in this industry that operated for the entire year. Of that number, 2,837 firms employed fewer than 250 employees. Additionally, based on Commission data in the 2022 Universal Service Monitoring Report, as of December 31, 2021, there were 594 providers that reported they were engaged in the provision of wireless services. Of these providers, the Commission estimates that 511 providers have 1,500 or fewer employees. Consequently, using the SBA's small business size standard, most of these providers can be considered small entities.

49. Fixed Microwave Services. Fixed microwave services include common carrier, private-operational fixed, and broadcast auxiliary radio services. They also include the Upper Microwave Flexible Use Service (UMFUS), Millimeter Wave Service (70/80/90 GHz), Local Multipoint Distribution Service (LMDS), the Digital Electronic Message Service (DEMS), 24 GHz Service, Multiple Address Systems (MAS), and Multichannel Video Distribution and Data Service (MVDDS), where in some bands licensees can choose between common carrier and non-common carrier status. Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite) is the closest industry with a SBA small business size standard applicable to these services. The SBA small size standard for this industry classifies a business as small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2017 show that there were 2,893 firms that operated in this industry for the entire year. Of this number, 2,837 firms employed fewer than 250 employees. Thus under the SBA size standard, the Commission estimates that a majority of fixed

microwave service licensees can be considered small.

50. Additionally, since the Commission does not collect data on the number of employees for licensees providing these services, at this time the Commissions not able to estimate the number of licensees with active licenses that would qualify as small under the SBA's small business size standard.

51. Satellite Telecommunications. This industry comprises firms "primarily engaged in providing telecommunications services to other establishments in the telecommunications and broadcasting industries by forwarding and receiving communications signals via a system of satellites or reselling satellite telecommunications." Satellite telecommunications service providers include satellite and earth station operators. The SBA small business size standard for this industry classifies a business with \$44 million or less in annual receipts as small. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2017 show that 275 firms in this industry operated for the entire year. Of this number, 242 firms had revenue of less than \$25 million. Additionally, based on Commission data in the 2022 Universal Service Monitoring Report, as of December 31, 2021, there were 65 providers that reported they were engaged in the provision of satellite telecommunications services. Of these providers, the Commission estimates that approximately 42 providers have 1,500 or fewer employees. Consequently, using the SBA's small business size standard, a little more than half of these providers can be considered small entities.

52. All Other Telecommunications. This industry is comprised of establishments primarily engaged in providing specialized telecommunications services, such as satellite tracking, communications telemetry, and radar station operation. This industry also includes establishments primarily engaged in providing satellite terminal stations and associated facilities connected with one or more terrestrial systems and capable of transmitting telecommunications to, and receiving telecommunications from, satellite systems. Providers of internet services (e.g. dial-up ISPs) or Voice over internet Protocol (VoIP) services, via client-supplied telecommunications connections are also included in this industry. The SBA small business size standard for this industry classifies firms with annual receipts of \$40 million or less as small. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2017 show that there were 1,079 firms in this industry that

operated for the entire year. Of those firms, 1,039 had revenue of less than \$25 million. Based on this data, the Commission estimates that the majority of "All Other Telecommunications" firms can be considered small.

53. Radio and Television Broadcasting and Wireless Communications Equipment Manufacturing. This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing radio and television broadcast and wireless communications equipment. Examples of products made by these establishments are: transmitting and receiving antennas, cable television equipment, GPS equipment, pagers, cellular phones, mobile communications equipment, and radio and television studio and broadcasting equipment. The SBA small business size standard for this industry classifies businesses having 1,250 employees or less as small. U.S. Census Bureau data for 2017 show that there were 656 firms in this industry that operated for the entire year. Of this number, 624 firms had fewer than 250 employees. Thus, under the SBA size standard, the majority of firms in this industry can be considered small.

E. Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements for Small Entities

54. The Report and Order expands the compliance obligations of Resolution 750's emissions limits to all mobile operations in the 24 GHz band. However, the adoption of the Resolution 750 emissions limits, emissions limits measurement methodology and emissions limits effective date timetables will not impose any new reporting or recordkeeping requirements on small or other entities. No comments were filed in this proceeding regarding the specific implications of the Commission's proposals, including any associated costs, on small entities. In assessing the cost of compliance for small entities, at this time the Commission is not in a position to determine whether these actions will require small entities to hire professionals to comply, and cannot quantify the cost of compliance with the rule changes that were adopted. The Commission notes, as the Commission did in the IRFA addressing the proposal, that comments in response to the Public Notice that raised concerns about increased costs if Resolution 750 emissions limits are adopted, have been taken into consideration.

F. Steps Taken To Minimize the Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered

55. The RFA requires an agency to provide "a description of the steps the agency has taken to minimize the significant economic impact on small entities . . . including a statement of the factual, policy, and legal reasons for selecting the alternative adopted in the final rule and why each one of the other significant alternatives to the rule considered by the agency which affect the impact on small entities was rejected."

56. In making its determinations regarding the rules adopted in the Report and Order, the Commission has sought data on the costs and economic impact of the proposals and approaches discussed in the Notice in order to allow the Commission to better evaluate options and alternatives for minimization of any significant economic impact on small entities if Resolution 750 emissions limits and effective date timetables were adopted.

57. Based on the record in this proceeding, the Commission's adoption of Resolution 750 emissions limits strives to strike the appropriate balance between protecting passive sensing satellite operations and facilitating use of the 24 GHz band. For example, in the Report and Order, the Commission considered, but ultimately declined to adopt rules accelerating the deadline for equipment certification of Phase 1 equipment. In taking that step, the Commission minimized significant economic and administrative burdens on small equipment manufacturers and carriers seeking to plan their own affairs. Additionally, the Commission could have developed and adopted its own emissions limits and related requirements which may have included emissions limits that were more or less strict than the Resolution 750 emissions limits. The Commission could have also simply maintained the existing rules. However, all commenters—including the weather community, scientific community, mobile operators, equipment manufacturers, Federal agencies, and other commentors, some of which are small entities—agreed that the Commission should align its rules with Resolution 750 to protect extremely sensitive passive satellite operations, facilitate the continued development and deployment of 5G in the U.S., promote international harmonization, enable equipment manufacturers to provide globally marketable equipment, and to be consistent with U.S. policy relating to

Radio Regulations. Thus, the synchronicity between the Resolution 750 emissions limits and the Commission's part 30 rules appears to be the best course of action, although small entities that hold licenses subject to these rules may incur increased deployment costs to comply with the more stringent Resolution 750 emissions limits.

58. In the alternative, if the Commission were to propose and adopt its own emissions limits, particularly if the emissions limits were stricter than both the existing emissions limits and Resolution 750 emissions limits, small entities could be subjected to significantly increased compliance costs without any of the above-mentioned benefits. Further, if the Commission were to propose and adopt less stringent emissions limit requirements or if the Commission simply maintained the existing requirements, its rules may not provide the necessary protections for passive satellite operations to operate in the 24 GHz band and might make it difficult for EESS to make observations free from harmful interference, thereby jeopardizing the accuracy of critical weather forecasting and climatology data. Instead, the Commission believes adoption of the Resolution 750 emissions limits, which were carefully considered and the product of extensive industry collaboration, is the right approach and any potential burdens are outweighed by the benefits of protecting passive observations in the 23.6–24.0 GHz band, including improvements in weather forecasting.

59. The Commission received several comments regarding applying the emissions limits to indoor small cell operations. CTIA noted that doing so would impose significant regulatory costs on manufacturers to obtain equipment certification and could delay deployment, without conferring additional benefit to EESS. Ericsson explained that "[t]he Resolution 750 limits are not necessary to protect adjacent services from indoor small cell systems and applying them would only add production costs that hinder the deployment of small cell systems in the band." As discussed in the Report and Order, the Commission found that the record was insufficient to support exempting indoor base stations, and decided to apply the Resolution 750 limits to indoor small-cell mobile

equipment. While commenters noted that doing so would be unnecessary to reduce the risk of interference and may be costly—commenters did not include specifics, figures, or examples in their filings. As the Commission noted, while an argument could be made for exempting indoor base stations, doing so would require further record development on an appropriate power level for indoor base stations. On the current record, it is unclear to what extent exempting indoor base stations from the Resolution 750 limits would be useful or what sort of cost alleviationif any—may occur.

60. The Čommission also received comments regarding implementation timeline and requirements. AT&T and Qualcomm noted that an accelerated timeline would be "impractical and costly," for stakeholders. For this reason and others discussed in the Report and Order, the Commission adopted the Resolution 750 unwanted emissions limits on the timeframes adopted at WRC-19. The first phase limits (-33)dBW for base stations, -29 dBW for mobile stations) will apply as of the effective date of the rules, and the second phase limits (-39 dBW for base stations, -35 dBW for mobile stations) will apply to all deployments after September 1, 2027.

61. AT&T further stated that requiring 24 GHz licensees to replace existing equipment before they intend to place Phase 2 equipment into service would be costly and inefficient, which would discourage deployments before the Phase 2 compliance date. As discussed, to the extent that equipment meeting only the Phase 1 emissions limit is installed sometime on or prior to September 1, 2027, the Commission adopted NTIA's proposal that it "require base station and user equipment modified or replaced after September 2027 to comply with the post-September 2027 emissions limits." But due to cost and inefficiency concerns expressed, the Commission added the clarification that only equipment that undergoes replacement, or modifications that change the emission characteristics of the equipment would constitute a "modification or replacement" triggering the requirement that this equipment must be Phase 2 compliant.

IV. Ordering Clauses

62. Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to sections 4(i), 301, 302,

303(r), 308, 309, and 333 of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 301, 302a, 303(r), 308, 309, 333, that this Report and Order is hereby adopted.

63. It is further ordered that sections 2.106 and 30.203 of the Commission's rules are amended as specified in the Final Rules (below) of the Report and Order, and such rule amendments will become effective 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register.

64. It is further ordered that the Commission's Office of the Secretary, shall send a copy of this Report and Order, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

65. It is further ordered that the Office of the Managing Director, Performance Program Management, shall send a copy of this Report and Order in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Parts 2 and 30

Communications common carriers, Communications equipment.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

Final Rules

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR parts 2 and 30 as follows:

PART 2—FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS AND RADIO TREATY MATTERS; GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 2 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 302a, 303, and 336, unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. Amend § 2.106, in the Table of Frequency Allocations, by revising pages 54 and 55 in paragraph (a) and adding paragraph (c)(146) to read as follows:

§ 2.106 Table of Frequency Allocations.

(a) * * * * * * *

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

				Page 54
22-22.21 FIXED MOBILE except aeronautical mobile 5.440		22-22 21 FIXED MOBILE except aeronautical mobile		
221-22 5 EARTH EXPLORATION-SATELLITE (passive)		22.21-22.5 EARTH EXPLORATION-SATELLITE (passive)	E (passive)	
FIXED MOBILE except aeronautical mobile		FIXED MOBILE except aeronautical mobile		
RADIO ASTRONOMY SPACE RESEARCH (passive)		RADIO ASTRONOMY SPACE RESEARCH (passive)		
5.149 5.532		US342 US532		
22.5-22.65 FIXED		22.5-22.55 FIXED		
MOBILE		MOBILE US211		
22.55-23.15 EIXED		22.55-23.15 FIXED		ori ori ori ori
MODILE 5.338A		INTER-SATELLITE US145 US278		Communications (25)
MODILE SPACE RESEARCH (Earth-to-space) 5.532A		SPACE RESEARCH (Earth-to-space) 5.532A	e) 5.532A	(ioi) opposition to the contract of the contra
5.149		US342		
23.15-23.56 cryen		23.15-23.55 CIVED		
NACE STELLITE 5.338A		INTER-SATELLITE US145 US278		
MUBILE 22 77 52 5		MUBILE		
23.55-23.6 FIXED		23.55-23.6 FIXED		Fixed Microwave (101)
MOBILE		MOBILE		
23.6-24 EARTH EXPLORATION-SATELLITE (passive) RADIO ASTRONOMY SPACE RESEARCH (passive)		23.6-24 EARTH EXPLORATION-SATELLITE (passive) RADIO ASTRONOMY US74 SPACE RESEARCH (passive)	E (passive)	
5.340		US246		
24-24.05 AMATEUR AMATEUR-SATELLITE		24-24.05	24-24.05 AMATEUR AMATEUR-SATELLITE	ISM Equipment (18) Amateur Radio (97)
5.150		5.150 US211	5.150 US211	
24.05-24.25 RADICLOCATION Amateur Earth exploration-satellite (active)		24.05-24.25 RADIOLOCATION G59 Earth exploration-satellite (active)	24,05-24,25 Amateur Earth exploration-satellite (active) Radiolocation	RF Devices (15) ISM Equipment (18) Private Land Mobile (90)
5.150			5.150	Amateur Radio (97)
24.25-24.45 FIXED FIXED FIXED FIXED FIXED 5.532AA MOBILE except aeronautical mobile 5.338A 5.532AB RADIONAVIGATION	24.26-24.45 FIXED MOBILE 5.338A 5.532AB RADIONAVIGATION	24.25-21.45	24.25.24.45 FIXED MOBILE US146	RF Devices (15) Upper Microwave Flexible Use (30)

Table of Frequency Allocations		24.45-31.8 GHz (SHF/EHF)	Z (SHF/EHF)		Page 55
	International Table		United States Table	ites Table	FCC Rule Part(s)
Region 1 Table	Region 2 Table	Region 3 Table	Federal Table	Non-Fedieral Table	
24.45-24.65 FIXED INTED CATELLITE	24.45-24.65 FIXED 5.532AA	24.45-24.65 FIXED MITTED SATELLITE	24.45-24.65 INTER-SATELLITE DARRIGHALMONTONI		RF Devices (15)
MOBILE except aeronautical mobile 5.338A 5.532AB	MOBILE except aeronautical MOBILE except aeronautical mobile 5,338A 5,532AB RADIONAVIGATION 6,523	MOBILE 5.338A 5.532AB RADIONAVIGATION 6.522	NOTING AND THE STATE OF THE STA		Communications (25)
24.65-24.75	24.65-24.75	24.65-24.75	24.65-24.75		
FIXED FIXED-SATELLITE	FIXED 5.532AA INTER-SATELLITE	FIXED FIXED-SATELLITE	INTER-SATELLITE RADIOI OCATION-SATELLITE (Farth-to-snace)	(o-space)	
(Earth-to-space) 5.532B INTER-SATELLITE	MOBIL E except aeronautical mobile 5.338A 5.532AB	(Earth-to-space) 5.532B INTER-SATELLITE		formalis on	
MOBILE except aeronautical mobile 5.338A 5.532AB	RADIOLOCATION-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space)	MUBILE 5.338A 5.532AB			
24.75-25.25 FIXED	24.75-25.25 FIXED 5.532AA	24.75-25.25 FIXED	24.75-25.25	24.75-25.25 FIXED	RE Devices (15)
FIXED-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space) 5.532B	FIXED-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space) 5.535	FIXED-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space) 5.535		FIXED-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space) MOBILE US146	Satellite Communications (25)
MOBILE except aeronautical mobile 5.338A 5.532AB	MOBILE except aeronautical mobile 5.338A 5.532AB	MOBILE 5.338A 5.532AB		NG65	Upper Microwave Flexible Use (30)
25.25-25.5			25.25-25.5 civen	25.25-25.5	PT Designed (4.5)
INTER-SATELLITE 5.536			INTER-SATELLITE 5.536	Standard frequency and time	Nr Devices (19)
MOBILE 5.338A 5.532AB	and all the constant		MOBILE US146 Standard frequency and time	signal-satellite (Earth-to-space)	
סופות של המשבים שונת חוווף פולנושי-פמופווות (בשתו-נת-פלישים)	satellite (Eatur-to-space)		signal-satellite (Earth-to-space)		
25.5.27 EARTH EXPLORATION-SATELLITE (space-to-Earth) 5.536B	E (space-to-Earth) 5.536B		25.5-27 EARTH EXPLORATION-	25.5-27 SPACE RESEARCH	
FIXED 5.534A INTER-SATELLITE 5.536			SATELLITE (space-to-Earth) FIXED	(space-to-cartn) Inter-satellite 5.536	
MOBILE 5.338A 5.532AB			INTER-SATELLITE 5.536	Standard frequency and time	
SPACE RESEARCH (space-to-Earth) 5:536C Standard freguency and time signal-satellite (Earth-to-space)	n) 5.536C satellite (Earth-to-space)		SPACE RESEARCH (space-to-Earth)	ognaroateme (naturio-opace)	
			Standard frequency and time signal-satellite (Earth-to-space)		
5.536A			5.536A US258	5.536A US258	
27-27.5 FIXED	27-27.5 Fixed 5.534A		27-27.5 FIXED	27-27.5 Inter-satellite 5.536	
INTER-SATELLITE 5.536	FIXED-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space)		INTER-SATELLITE 5.536		
MUBILE 3,338A 3,332AB	MOBILE 5.338A 5.532AB		WOBILE US 140		
27.5-28.5 FIXED 5.537A			27.5-30	27.5-28.36 FIXED	RF Devices (15)
FIXED-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space) 5.484A 5.516B 5.517A 5.539	5.484A 5.516B 5.517A 5.539			FIXED-SATELLITE (Earth-to-space)	Communications (25)
				NOO!	Opper microwave Flexible Use (30) Fixed Microwave (101)
				ITE (Earth-to-space)	RF Devices (15) Satellite
5.538 5.540				G165 NG52/A	Communications (25)

BILLING CODE 6712-01-C

* * * * * * *

(c) * * *

conducted output power or the total radiated power (TRP) of emissions from stations in the mobile service in any 200 MHz of the band 23.6–24 GHz shall not exceed -33 dBW/200 MHz for base stations and -29 dBW/200 MHz for mobile stations, and for stations brought into use after September 1, 2027, the maximum conducted output power or TRP shall not exceed -39 dBW/200MHz for base stations and -35 dBW/200 MHz for mobile stations. If equipment brought into use on or prior to September 1, 2027 is replaced, or modified in a manner that changes the emissions characteristics of the equipment, the equipment must then comply with the -39 dBW/200 MHzlimit for base stations and -35 dBW/200 MHz limit for mobile stations.

PART 30—UPPER MICROWAVE FLEXIBLE USE SERVICE

■ 3. The authority citation for part 30 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 153, 154, 301, 303, 304, 307, 309, 310, 316, 332, 1302, unless otherwise noted.

■ 4. Amend § 30.2 by adding in alphabetical order the definition of "Maximum Conducted Output Power" to read as follows:

§ 30.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

Maximum Conducted Output Power. The total transmit power delivered to all antennas and antenna elements averaged across all symbols in the signaling alphabet when the transmitter is operating at its maximum power control level. Power must be summed across all antennas and antenna elements. The average must not include any time intervals during which the transmitter is off or is transmitting at a reduced power level. If multiple modes of operation are possible (e.g., alternative modulation methods), the maximum conducted output power is the highest total transmit power occurring in any mode.

■ 5. Amend § 30.203 by revising the section heading and adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 30.203 Emissions limits.

* * * * *

(d)(1) In addition to the limits noted in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section, for licensees operating mobile equipment in the 24.25–24.45 GHz or 24.75–25.25 GHz bands, the maximum conducted output power or the total radiated power of emissions in any 200

MHz of the 23.6-24.0 GHz band shall not exceed -33 dBW (for base stations) or -29 dBW (for mobile stations).

(2) For mobile equipment brought into use after September 1, 2027, the maximum conducted output power or the total radiated power of emissions in any 200 MHz of the 23.6-24.0 GHz band shall not exceed -39 dBW (for base stations) or -35 dBW (for mobile stations). If equipment brought into use on or prior to September 1, 2027 is replaced, or modified in a manner that changes the emissions characteristics of the equipment, the equipment must then comply with the emissions limits in this paragraph (d).

[FR Doc. 2024–29313 Filed 12–12–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 11, 73, and 74

[MB Docket No. 20-401; FCC 24-121; FR ID 267137]

Program Originating FM Broadcast Booster Stations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal **Communications Commission** (Commission) adopts a Second Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration (Second R&O) on processing, licensing, and service rules that will allow voluntary, limited use of FM booster stations to originate content on a permanent basis. This action builds upon an April 2024 Commission action which permitted experimental use of program originating boosters subject to adoption of such rules. The rule changes are needed to expand the potential uses of FM booster stations, which previously could not originate programming. The intended effect is to allow radio broadcasters to provide more relevant localized programming and information to different zones within their service areas.

DATES: This final rule is effective January 13, 2025 except for the amendments in instruction 5 (47 CFR 73.3526) instruction 6 (47 CFR 73.3527), instruction 9 (74.1204), and instruction 10 (47 CFR 74.1206), which are delayed indefinitely. The Commission will announce the effective date of the amendments to 47 CFR 73.3526, 73.3527, 74.1204, and 74.1206 in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Albert Shuldiner, Chief, Media Bureau,

Audio Division, (202) 418–2721, Albert.Shuldiner@fcc.gov; Irene Bleiweiss, Attorney, Media Bureau, Audio Division, (202) 418–2785, Irene.Bleiweiss@fcc.gov. For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) information collection requirements contained in this document, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918, Cathy.Williams@ fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Second Report and Order (Second R&O), MB Docket No. 20-401; FCC 24-121, adopted on November 21, 2024, and released on November 22, 2024. The full text of this document will be available via the FCC's website at https:// docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-24-121A1.pdf. Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Microsoft Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat. Alternative formats are available for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), by sending an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or calling the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY). Prior documents that the Commission published in this proceeding include a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking at 86 FR 1909 (January 11, 2021), a Report and Order at 89 FR 26786 (April 16, 2024), and a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking at 89 FR 26847 (April 16, 2024).

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis

This Second R&O may contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104–13. All such new or modified information collections will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under section 3507(d) of the PRA. 44 U.S.C. 3507(d). OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies are invited to comment on any new or modified information collection requirements contained in this proceeding. In addition, we note that pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002 (Pub. L. 107–198, 116 Stat 729 (2002) (codified at 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4)), the Commission previously sought specific comment on how it might further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. Appendix C of the Second R&O assesses the effects of the required collection of information on these small entities.