

modify critical habitat. The Opinion included mandatory terms and conditions that require Reclamation to implement 15 specific reasonable and prudent measures to minimize "take" of the southern steelhead. Reclamation will implement the management actions and projects in the Opinion to ensure compliance with the Federal Endangered Species Act.

Prior to, and concurrent with, the endangered species consultation, Reclamation and the Cachuma Member Units prepared a Fish Management Plan (FMP) for the lower Santa Ynez River. The FMP management actions include (1) creating new habitat and improving existing habitat in the lower river and tributaries; (2) improving access to spawning and rearing habitats in the lower river and tributaries; and (3) increasing public awareness and support for beneficial actions on private lands. The FMP identifies specific reaches of the mainstem and tributaries for habitat protection and improvement. The highest priority has been assigned to lower Hilton Creek, which is located on Reclamation property, and the mainstem of the river between Bradbury Dam and Highway 154. A high priority is also assigned to enhancing habitats on the following tributaries which have favorable flows and habitat conditions for aquatic resources: Quiota, El Jaro, and Salspuedes creeks.

The overall purposes of the BO and FMP management actions are two-fold:

(1) Ensure that operation of the Cachuma Project is consistent with the Federal Endangered Species Act regarding effects on the southern steelhead; and (2) improve conditions for native fish, particularly the endangered southern steelhead, in the Santa Ynez River watershed below Bradbury Dam.

Reclamation and Cachuma COMB have prepared the draft EIS/EIR to evaluate the incidental adverse impacts of the proposed management actions and projects to improve fish habitat conditions on the Santa Ynez River below Bradbury Dam in northern Santa Barbara County. These impacts include temporary construction related disturbances to riparian and aquatic habitat during fish habitat restoration work in the river and tributaries; impacts to oak trees and recreational facilities at Cachuma Lake due to surcharging the reservoir to store additional water for downstream releases for fish; and others described in the Draft EIS/EIR.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may

request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Editorial Note: This document was received in the Office of the Federal Register on July 21, 2003.

Dated: March 31, 2003.

Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 03-18905 Filed 7-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.*, Case No. 7:03-CW-122-F(1), (E.D.N.C.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina on July 8, 2003. The proposed Consent Decree concerns alleged violations of sections 301(a), 402, and 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a), 1342 and 1344, resulting from Defendant's unauthorized discharge of pollutants into waters of the United States at the Leland Stone Yard which is located on the south side of US Highway 74/76, east of Malmo Loop Road, in Brunswick County, North Carolina.

The proposed Consent Decree would require the payment of a civil penalty of \$30,000 and completion of site restoration activities, including the filling of ditches.

The United States Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Martin F. McDermott, Attorney, United States Department of Justice, Environmental Defense Section, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, DC 20026-3986, and should refer to *United States*

v. Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., Case No. 7:03-CV-122-F(1), (E.D.N.C.).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, 310 New Bern Avenue, Federal Building, 5th Floor, Raleigh, North Carolina, or at the following Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>.

Stephen Samuels,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03-18770 Filed 7-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Consistent with the procedures in 28 CFR 50.7 and 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given that on July 3, 2003, a proposed consent decree ("consent decree") in *United States v. Waste Management of Indiana, L.L.C.*, Civil Actions No. 3:03CV0483AS, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, South Bend Division. This consent decree resolves claims against Waste Management of Indiana, L.L.C., for costs incurred and to be incurred under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, in connection with the Waste, Inc. Superfund Site located in Michigan City, Indiana. Under the terms of the consent decree, Waste Management of Indiana agrees to reimburse \$95,000 to the Superfund.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Chicago Specialties, L.L.C.*, Civil Action No. 3:03CV0483AS, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-1376/7.

The consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 204 South Main Street, South Bend, Indiana 46601, and at U.S. EPA Region V, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604. During the public comment period, the consent decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/>

open.html. A copy of the consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy of the consent decree, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury for the consent decree.

William Brighton,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03-18769 Filed 7-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Between the United States, the State of Michigan, and the Wisconsin Electric Power Company Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on July 10, 2003, a proposed Amended Consent Decree ("Amended Consent Decree") between the United States, Michael A. Cox, Attorney General of the State of Michigan, *ex rel.* Michigan Department of Environmental Quality ("State of Michigan"), and the Wisconsin Electric Power Company ("Wisconsin Electric"), Civil Action No. 03-C-0371, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This Amended Consent Decree modifies the Consent Decree that was lodged in the Eastern District of Wisconsin on April 29, 2003, notice of which was provided at 68 FR 26354 (May 15, 2003). Like the original proposed Consent Decree, this proposed Amended Consent Decree would resolve claims asserted by the United States against Wisconsin Electric pursuant to Sections 113(b) and 167 of the Clean Air Act (the "Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) and 7477, and seeks injunctive relief and the assessment of civil penalties for Wisconsin Electric's violations of:

(a) The Prevention of Significant Deterioration provisions in Part C of Subchapter I of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7470-92;

(b) The nonattainment New Source Review provisions in Part D of Subchapter I of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7501-7515;

(c) The federally-enforceable State Implementation Plan developed by the

State of Michigan (the "Michigan SIP"); and

(d) The federally-enforceable State Implementation Plan developed by the State of Wisconsin (the "Wisconsin SIP").

In addition, this Amended Consent Decree would resolve claims asserted by the State of Michigan against Wisconsin Electric pursuant to Section 167 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7477, and Section 5530 of Part 55 of Michigan's Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act ("Part 55 of NREPA"), MCL § 324.5530, for injunctive relief and the assessment of civil fines for alleged violations of:

(a) The Prevention of Significant Deterioration provisions in Part C of Subchapter I of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7470-92; and

(b) Section 5505 of Part 55 of NREPA, MCL § 324.5505.

The proposed Amended Consent Decree incorporates two types of changes from the original Consent Decree. First, various changes have been made to reflect the addition of the State of Michigan as a Plaintiff-Intervenor. Among these are changes to the Penalty and Fines Section (Section X), in which Wisconsin Electric would be required to pay a fine of \$100,000 to the State of Michigan and a civil penalty of \$3.1 million to the United States, and changes to the Resolution of Claims Section (Section XI), in which a Resolution of Claims parallel to that provided by the United States is provided for claims that may be brought by the State of Michigan. Second, clarifying changes have been made to six paragraphs in which Wisconsin Electric's emissions would be limited to levels that are measured as either a 30-day or 12-month rolling average. (See paragraphs 58, 62, 63, 73, 76, and 77.) Each of these clarifying changes is intended to eliminate any ambiguity as to when the compliance requirement actually commences, given that, in each provision, the emission limit is measured by reference to a historical period (*i.e.*, the last 30 days or 12 months).

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Wisconsin Electric*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-07493.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States

Attorney, Eastern District of Wisconsin, Federal Courthouse, 517 East Wisconsin Ave., Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, and at U.S. EPA Region V, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604-3507. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$19.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

W. Benjamin Fisherow,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03-18771 Filed 7-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Callahan's Foods; Denial of Application

On October 28, 2001, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Callahan's Foods (Callahan's) proposing to deny its application, executed on May 13, 1997, for DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of list I chemicals. The Order to Show Cause alleged that granting the application of Callahan's would be inconsistent with the public interest as that term is used in 21 U.S.C. 823(h) and 824(a)(4). The Order to Show Cause also notified Callahan's that should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, its hearing right would be deemed waived.

According to the DEA investigative file, the Order to Show Cause was sent by certified mail to Callahan's at its proposed registered location in Pulaski, Virginia and was received on November 2, 2001. DEA has not received a request for hearing or any other reply from Callahan's or anyone purporting to represent the company in this matter.

Therefore, the Acting Administrator of DEA, finding that (1) thirty days having passed since the delivery of the Order to Show Cause at the applicant's