represented by a delay or suspension of data collection activities would harm the overall evaluation effort. Finally, given the turnover in leadership in urban school districts, a time lapse in data collection will result in an increased risk of the departure of key USP staff, further delaying timely and reliable data collection. As part of multiple data collection activities over time, opportunities to supplement baseline data through observations of normal USP operations will be compromised, possibly leading to inconsistent data across sites.

USP began in 1999 when NSF made competitive awards of up to \$3 million, for each of 5 years, to five urban school districts. The USP represents NSF's major current investment in improving science and mathematics education in urban school systems across the country, and having a third-party evaluative documentation will be important in interpreting the worthiness of the investment.

NSF uses the data to: (1) Determine whether to modify or extend the USP concepts and (2) share best practices and lessons learned about systemic reform with school, district, and state educators.

Specifically, during the first two years of the USP Cross-Site Evaluation First, the third-party has produced reports for others at NSF (e.g., the National Science Board). Though there are other sources of such documentation, the information provided by the Cross-Site team is valued because the team is conducting an evaluation and is not associated in any other way with the program sites. Second, the Division of Educational System Reform uses the information to supplement its annual program monitoring. Third, NSF will use the information as a program evaluation, both assessing its investment in the USP program and potentially helping to guide the design of future programs.

Respondents: State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 378. Burden on the Public: 270 hours.

Dated: November 1, 2001.

#### Suzanne H. Plimpton,

 $NSF\ Reports\ Clearance\ Officer.$ 

[FR Doc. 01–27852 Filed 11–6–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

## Notice of Permits Issued Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation of 1978, Public Law 95–541.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. This is the required notice.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nadene G. Kennedy, Permit Office, Office of Polar Programs, Rm. 755, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

September 5, 2001, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the Federal Register of a Waste Management permit application received. A Waste Management permit was issued on November 1, 2001 to the following applicant:

Rennie S. Holt, Southwest Fisheries Science Center—Permit No.: 2002 WM-002.

#### Nadene G. Kennedy,

Permit Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–27785 Filed 11–5–01; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 7555-01-M$ 

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-8]

#### Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Materials License SNM-2505, Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 5 to Materials License No. SNM—2505 held by Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant (CCNPP) for the receipt, possession, storage, and transfer of spent fuel at the Calvert Cliffs independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI), located in Calvert County, Maryland. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

By application dated July 26, 2001, CCNPP requested an amendment to its ISFSI license to revise Technical Specifications 2.3 to remove the transfer cask drop height limit and Technical Specification 6.3 to revise the semi-annual environmental reporting period to be consistent with the annual reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.36a(2). This amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate

findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

In accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(2), a determination has been made that the amendment does not present a genuine issue as to whether public health and safety will be significantly affected. Therefore, the publication of a notice of proposed action and an opportunity for hearing or a notice of hearing is not warranted. Notice is hereby given of the right of interested persons to request a hearing on whether the action should be rescinded or modified.

The Commission has determined that, pursuant to the categorical exclusion provisions of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10), an environmental assessment need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the amendment.

The NRC maintains an Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/nrc/adams/index.html">http://www.nrc.gov/nrc/adams/index.html</a>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to <a href="mailto:pdr@nrc.gov">pdr@nrc.gov</a>.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of October 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **E. William Brach**,

Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. [FR Doc. 01–27860 Filed 11–5–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-313]

# Entergy Operations, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-51

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has issued Amendment No. 215 to Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–51 issued to Entergy Operations, Inc., (the licensee), which revised the Technical Specifications (TSs) for operation of Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1 (ANO–1), located in Pope County, Arkansas. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within one year of the date of issuance.