Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time Monday, December 1, 2008.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–28280 Filed 11–26–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PR09-5-000]

Lee 8 Storage Partnership; Notice of Petition for Rate Approval

November 21, 2008.

Take notice that on November 14, 2008, Lee 8 Storage Partnership (Lee 8) filed pursuant to section 284.123(b)(2) of the Commission's regulations, filed a petition requesting that the Commission approve its rates pursuant to section 31(a)(2) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978. Lee 8 proposes system-wide maximum rates of \$3.2988 per Dt of deliverability and \$0.0330 per Dt of capacity. In addition, Lee 8 states that it will charge 0.57% of the injected volumes and 0.57% of the withdrawal volumes as an allowance for compressor fuel and lost-and-unaccounted-for gas on Lee 8's system.

Any person desiring to participate in this rate proceeding must file a motion to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the date as indicated below. Anyone filing an intervention or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. Anyone filing an intervention or protest on or before the intervention or protest date need not serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and

interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time December 1, 2008.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–28291 Filed 11–26–08; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8588-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7146.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2008 (73 FR 19833).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20080340, ERP No. D–FHW– J40184–UT, SR–262; Montezuma Creek to Aneth Project, Improvements to the Intersection of SR–162, SR–262, and County Road (CR) 450 in Montezuma Creek, Funding, Navajo Nation, San Juan County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about increased sedimentation and erosion impacts, additional impacts to drainage channels and habitat connectivity, and cumulative impacts to water quality and wildlife. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080370, ERP No. D-AFS-K65347-CA, Gemmill Thin Project, Proposal to Reduce the Intensity and Size of Future Wildfires, and to Maintain/Improve Ecosystem Function and Wildlife Habitat, Chanchellula Late-Success ional Reserve, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, Trinity County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to air quality, and requested additional mitigation measures. EPA also expressed environmental concerns about impacts to communities. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080397, ERP No. D-AFS-J65525-00, Hermosa Landscape Grazing Analysis Project, Proposes to Continue to Authorize Livestock Grazing Cascade Reservoir, Dutch Creek, Elbert Creek, Hope Creek South Fork, and Upper Hermosa Allotments, Columbine Ranger District, San Juan National Forest, LaPlata and San Juan Counties, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to water quality, and requested a commitment to monitoring and protection of at-risk riparian areas. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080302, ERP No. DB-UAF-E11056-FL, Eglin Air Force Base (AFB) and Hurlburt Field, Proposes to Implement the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI), FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to air quality from construction activities. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080352, ERP No. DS-COE-G39047-00, White River Minimum Flood Study, To Provide an Improved Minimum Flow for the Benefit of the Tail Water Fishery, White River Basin Lakes: Beaver, Table Rock, and Bull Shoal Lakes on the White River; Norfork Lake on the North Fork White River; and Greens Ferry Lake on the Little Red River, AR and MO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. Rating LO.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20080321, ERP No. F-FHW-K40267-CA, Phase I-CA 11 Corridor Location and Route Adoption and Location Identification of the Otay Mesa East Port of Entry (POE) on Otay Mesa, Presidential Permit for the POE and Acquisition of Right-Of-Way Permit, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about impacts from induced growth and the lack of information on mobile source air toxics.