

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (dollars per kilogram)
Vinh Hoan Corporation ¹⁶	0.13
Anvifish Joint Stock Company ¹⁷	2.39
An Giang Agriculture and Food Import-Export Joint Stock Company	1.28
Asia Commerce Fisheries Joint Stock Company	1.28
Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Company	1.28
Cadovimex II Seafood Import-Export and Processing Joint Stock Company	1.28
Hiep Thanh Seafood Joint Stock Company	1.28
Hung Vuong Corporation	1.28
Nam Viet Corporation	1.28
NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company	1.28
QVD Food Company Ltd ¹⁸	1.28
Saigon Mekong Fishery Co., Ltd	1.28
Southern Fisheries Industries Company Ltd	1.28
Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation	1.28

Accordingly, Commerce will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal or, if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision. In the event the Court's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise exported by the companies mentioned above using the assessment rate calculated by Commerce in the AR8 Remand Results and listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Unless the applicable cash deposit rates have been superseded by cash deposit rates calculated in an intervening administrative review of the AD order on frozen fish fillets from Vietnam, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to require a cash deposit for estimated AD duties at the rate noted above for each specified exporter and producer combination, for entries of subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after June 3, 2018.

¹⁶ This rate is applicable to the Vinh Hoan Group which includes: Vinh Hoan, Van Duc, and VDTG.

¹⁷ Includes the trade name Anvifish Co., Ltd.

¹⁸ This rate is also applicable to QVD Dong Thap Food Co., Ltd. (Dong Thap) and Thuan Hung Co., Ltd. (THUFICO). In the second review of this order, Commerce found QVD, Dong Thap and THUFICO to be a single entity, and because there has been no evidence submitted on the record of this review that calls this determination into question, we continue to find these companies to be part of a single entity. Therefore, we will assign this rate to the companies in the single entity. See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 53387 (September 11, 2006).

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 20, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018-16338 Filed 7-30-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

Glycine From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (China) for the period March 1, 2017, through February 28, 2018, based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review.

DATES: Applicable July 31, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Artman or John Drury, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3931 or (202) 482-0195, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 5, 2018, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from China in the **Federal Register**. The period of review covers March 1, 2017, through February 28, 2018.¹ On March 30, 2018, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), Commerce received a timely request from GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. (GEO), a domestic producer of glycine, to conduct an administrative review of the order with respect to entries of subject merchandise made by Kumar Industries, Rudraa International, Salvi Chemical Industries, Avid Organics Pvt. Ltd., and Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co., Ltd.² On May 2, 2018, pursuant to this request, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated a review of those companies.³ On May 30, 2018, GEO filed a timely withdrawal of its request of review for each of the five companies.⁴ No other party requested an administrative review of this order.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws the

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 83 FR 9284 (March 5, 2018).

² See GEO's Request for Review, dated March 30, 2018.

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 19215 (May 2, 2018).

⁴ See Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review, dated May 30, 2018.

request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, GEO withdrew its request for review by the 90-day deadline and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. Accordingly, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from China for the period

March 1, 2017, through February 28, 2018, in its entirety, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Assessment

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of glycine from China. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 23, 2018.

James Maeder,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2018–16336 Filed 7–30–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Court Decisions Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 24, 2018, the United States Court of International Trade (Court) issued final judgments in *An Giang Fisheries Import and Export Joint Stock Company et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00109, sustaining the Department of Commerce's (Commerce) remand results for the ninth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) covering the period of review (POR) August 1, 2011, through July 31, 2012. Commerce is notifying the public that the Court's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to certain exporters.

DATES: Applicable June 3, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD Operations Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2243.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 7, 2014, Commerce issued its *AR9 Final Results*.¹ On July 2, 2014, Commerce issued its *AR9 Amended*

Final Results.² Agfish et al.³ and the petitioners⁴ timely filed complaints with the Court and challenged certain aspects of the *AR9 Amended Final Results*. On June 7, 2015, the Court remanded Commerce's *AR9 Amended Final Results*.⁵

In the first remand, in accordance with the Court's instructions, Commerce reconsidered its selection of the surrogate value (SV) for rice husk and provided further explanation concerning the cap to the fish oil by-product offset in Vinh Hoan Corporation's⁶ margin calculation.⁷ Additionally, and in accordance with the Court's instructions, Commerce made changes to Vinh Hoan's margin calculation, specifically, by adjusting the denominators for Vinh Hoan's factors of production (FOPs) to exclude water weight, and subsequently recalculating Vinh Hoan's net U.S. price of sales for subject merchandise on a net weight basis exclusive of water weight.⁸ The Court upheld our findings on all but one of these issues, *i.e.*, the fish oil by-product offset.⁹

On July 10, 2017, the Court remanded Commerce's First Remand Results.¹⁰ In the second remand, in accordance with the Court's instructions, Commerce provided further explanation with respect to the calculated fish oil by-

² See *Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2011*, 79 FR 37714 (July 2, 2014) (*AR9 Amended Final Results*) and accompanying Ministerial Error Memorandum.

³ These include An Giang Fisheries Import and Export Joint Stock Company, Asia Commerce Fisheries Joint Stock Company, Cuu Long Fish Joint Stock Company, Hiep Thanh Seafood Joint Stock Company, International Development and Investment Corporation, NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company, QVD Food Company Ltd., Southern Fishery Industries Company, Ltd., and Vinh Hoan Corporation (collectively Agfish et al.).

⁴ Catfish Farmers of America and the following individual U.S. catfish processors: America's Catch, Consolidated Catfish Companies, LLC dba Country Select Catfish, Delta Pride Catfish, Inc., Harvest Select Catfish, Inc., Heartland Catfish Company, Pride of the Pond, and Simmons Farm Raised Catfish, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners).

⁵ See *An Giang Fisheries Import and Export Joint Stock Company et al. v. United States*, Court No. 14–00109, Slip Op. 16–55 (CIT June 7, 2016).

⁶ Vinh Hoan was one of two mandatory respondents selected by Commerce. (Vinh Hoan) includes Vinh Hoan Corporation and its affiliates Van Duc Food Export Joint Company and Van Duc Tien Giang (VDTG).

⁷ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to *An Giang Fisheries Import and Export Joint Stock Company et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00109, and Slip Op. 16–55, dated February 9, 2017 (First Remand Results).

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ See *An Giang Fisheries Import and Export Joint Stock Company et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00109, Slip Op. 17–00082 (July 10, 2017) (*An Giang Fisheries*).

¹⁰ See *Vinh Hoan Corporation et al. v. United States*, Court No. 14–00109, Slip Op. 17–82 (CIT July 10, 2017).

¹ See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review; 2011–2012*, 79 FR 19053 (April 7, 2014) (*AR9 Final Results*).