

8. We deducted from U.S. price an amount for seaport charges. *See* Factors of Production Memorandum.

9. We updated all surrogate values based on the *Monthly Statistics of the Foreign Trade of India*. *See id.*

10. As a result of verification findings, we adjusted the reported direct labor hours to account for contract labor. *See* the Factors of Production Memorandum.

11. We corrected our calculation of electricity. *See* the Factors of Production Memorandum.

12. We corrected a ministerial error from the preliminary determination, where we inadvertently failed to weight-average U.S. prices in the margin calculation. *See* Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, we are directing the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP) to continue the suspension of liquidation of entries of subject merchandise from the PRC, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after March 17, 2003 (the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**). We will instruct the BCBP to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which normal value exceeds the U.S. price, as indicated in the chart below. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Final Determination of Investigation

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period January 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Qingdao Red Star Chemical Import & Export Co	34.44
PRC-Wide Rate	81.30

The PRC-wide rate applies to all entries of the merchandise under investigation except for entries from Qingdao Red Star.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine, within 45 days, whether

these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or cancelled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing BCBP officials to assess antidumping duties on all imports of subject merchandise entered for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Grant Aldonas, Under Secretary.

Appendix—Decision Memorandum

- Comment 1: Surrogate Value of Barite Ore
- Comment 2: Surrogate Values of Two Types of Coal
- Comment 3: Valuation of Carbon Dioxide
- Comment 4: Valuation of a Minor Input
- Comment 5: Granting Offsets for Byproducts
- Comment 6: Calculation of Financial Ratios
- Comment 7: Valuation of Rail Freight
- Comment 8: Valuation of Truck Freight
- Comment 9: Deduction of Brokerage and Handling
- Comment 10: Use of Weighted-Average U.S. Prices in Margin Calculation
- Comment 11: Reported Consumption of Coal 1
- Comment 12: Consumption Quantity Questions

[FR Doc. 03-20044 Filed 8-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-830]

Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order: Coumarin From the Peoples's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b), Berjé Incorporated (Berjé), a U.S. importer of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceeding, filed a request for a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on coumarin from the People's Republic of China (PRC), as described below. In response to this request, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on coumarin from the PRC.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 6, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Addilyn Chams-Eddine or Dana Mermelstein, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0648 or (202) 482-1391, respectively.

Background

On February 9, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on coumarin from the PRC. *See Notice of Antidumping Order: Coumarin from the People's Republic of China*, 60 FR 7751. On June 23, 2003, Berjé, a U.S. importer of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceedings, requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review for the purpose of revoking the antidumping duty order on coumarin from the PRC. According to Berjé, Rhone-Poulenc Specialty Chemicals Company (Rhone-Poulenc), the petitioner, was the only domestic coumarin producer at the time of the original investigation. Since the original investigation, Rhone-Poulenc has changed its company name and now does business under the name Rhodia, Inc. (Rhodia). Bergé informed the Department that Rhodia, in a press release dated November 28, 2001, announced its intent to cease production of coumarin in 2002. Berjé further provided information obtained

from Rhodia indicating that Rhodia no longer produces coumarin in the United States.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this order is coumarin. Coumarin is an aroma chemical with the chemical formula ($C_9H_6O_2$) that is also known by other names, including 2H-1-benzopyran-2-one, 1, 2-benzopyrone, cis-o-coumaric acid lactone, coumarinic anhydride, 2-Oxo-1, 2-benzopyran, 5, 6-benzo-alpha-pyrone, ortho-hydroxycinnamic acid lactone, cis-ortho-coumaric acid anhydride, and tonka bean camphor.

All forms and variations of coumarin are included within the scope of the order, such as coumarin in crystal, flake, or powder form, and "crude" or unrefined coumarin (*i.e.*, prior to purification or crystallization). Excluded from the scope of this order are ethylcoumarins ($C_{11}H_{10}O_2$) and methylcoumarins ($C_{10}H_8O_2$). Coumarin is classifiable under subheading 2932.21.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 782(h)(2) the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*e.g.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstance review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. Section 351.222(g) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216 and may revoke an order (in whole or in part) if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or if changed circumstances exist to warrant revocation.

In this case, the Department finds that the press release and other information submitted by Berjé provides sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant the initiation of a changed circumstances review. The press release, dated November 28, 2001, announced Rhodia's intent to cease its U.S. production of coumarin in 2002. Given

this information the Department will consider whether the U.S. industry maintains an interest in continuing the order.

Interested parties may submit comments for consideration in the Department's preliminary results not later than 20 days after publication of this notice. Responses to those comments may be submitted not later than 10 days following submissions of the comments. All written comments must be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303, and must be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based, and a description of any actions proposed based on the those results. The Department will also issue its final results of review within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review is initiated or within 45 days if all parties agree, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and will publish these results in the **Federal Register**.

While the changed circumstances review is underway, the current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of the changed circumstances review.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

Dated: July 31, 2003.

Richard O. Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03-20048 Filed 8-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of partial rescission of the antidumping duty administrative

review of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. One company named in the initiation of this review, Fook Huat Tong Kee Pte., Ltd., had no exports or sales of the subject merchandise during the period of review and, consequently, we are rescinding the review of this company. In addition, the review requests for Clipper Manufacturing Ltd., Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company, Golden Light Trading Company, Ltd., Good Fate International, Phil-Sino International Trading Inc., and Mai Xuan Fruitex Co., Ltd., were withdrawn subsequent to the initiation of the administrative review and, therefore, we are rescinding the review of these six companies.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 6, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Ellman or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4852 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this antidumping duty order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay.

The scope of this order does not include the following: (a) garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed.

The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9700 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS).