

investigation (*i.e.*, 17.74 percent) if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁵

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the company-specific cash deposit rate for MAL will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if that rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or a prior segment of the proceeding but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 17.74 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.¹⁶ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act,

and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 23, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Intent to Rescind, In Part
- V. Affiliation and Collapsing
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Currency Conversion
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024-12743 Filed 6-10-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-067]

Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Qingdao Bestflow Industrial Co., Ltd. (Bestflow), the sole participating mandatory respondent in this review and an exporter of forged steel fittings from the People's Republic of China (China), and Both-Well Taizhou Steel Fittings Co., Ltd. (Both-Well), a non-individually-examined exporter of forged steel fittings from China, sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) November 1, 2021, through October 31, 2022. Further, Commerce determines that Xin Yi International Trade Co., Limited (Xin Yi) had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Lastly, Commerce determines that 23 companies for which this review was initiated are not eligible for a separate rate and are, thus, part of the China-wide entity.

DATES: Applicable June 11, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jinny Ahn, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401

Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0968.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*¹ on December 7, 2023, and invited interested parties to comment. On March 22, 2024, we extended the deadline for the final results of this review until June 4, 2024.² For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³

Scope of the Order⁴

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is forged steel fittings from China. Subject carbon and alloy forged steel fittings are normally entered under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7307.99.1000, 7307.99.3000, 7307.99.5045, and 7307.99.5060. They also may be entered under HTSUS subheadings 7307.92.3010, 7307.92.3030, 7307.92.9000, and 7326.19.0010. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the parties' briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed is included as Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed

¹ See *Forged Steel Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 88 FR 85221 (December 7, 2023) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 22, 2024.

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Forged Steel Fittings from the People's Republic of China; 2021–2022," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See *Forged Steel Fittings from Italy and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 83 FR 60397, dated November 26, 2018 (*Order*).

¹⁵ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁶ See *Order*, 86 FR at 7530.

directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, we made certain revisions to the margin calculations for Bestflow.⁵ Further, we have assigned Bestflow's revised final weighted-average dumping margin to the non-examined separate rate respondent, Both-Well. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily determined that Xin Yi had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.⁶ No party filed comments with respect to this preliminary determination and we received no information to contradict the preliminary finding. Therefore, we continue to find that Xin Yi had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and will issue appropriate liquidation instructions that are consistent with our "automatic assessment" clarification for these final results.⁷

Separate Rate

In our *Preliminary Results*, we determined that Bestflow and Both-Well demonstrated their eligibility for separate rates.⁸ We received no arguments since the issuance of the *Preliminary Results* that provide a basis for reconsideration of these determinations. Therefore, for these final results, we continue to find that the two companies listed in the table in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice are each eligible for a separate rate.

The China-Wide Entity

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce found that 23 companies for which a review was initiated did not establish their eligibility for a separate rate.⁹ No parties contested this finding. As such, we continue to determine these 23 companies identified in Appendix II are part of the China-wide entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, and Commerce no

longer considers the China-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews,¹⁰ we did not conduct a review of the China-wide entity. Thus, the weighted-average dumping margin for the China-wide entity rate (*i.e.*, 142.72 percent) is not subject to change.¹¹

Rate for Non-Examined Separate Rate Respondent

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address what weighted-average dumping margin to apply to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the weighted-average dumping margin for respondents that are not individually examined in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act states that the all-others rate should be calculated by averaging the weighted-average dumping margins determined for individually-examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.

In the *Preliminary Results*,¹² and consistent with Commerce's practice,¹³ we assigned the non-examined, separate rate company (*i.e.*, Both-Well) a weighted-average dumping margin equal to the calculated weighted-average dumping margin for the mandatory respondent whose rate was not zero, *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), or based entirely on facts available (*i.e.*, the weighted-average dumping margin for Bestflow). Both-Well and the Bonney Forge Corporation, a domestic interested party, commented on the methodology for calculating this separate rate. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 5. For the final results, we continue to apply this approach and assign Both-Well the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Bestflow which is not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, as it is

consistent with the intent of, and our use of, section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.¹⁴

Final Results of Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period November 1, 2021, through October 31, 2022:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Qingdao Bestflow Industrial Co., Ltd	118.97
Review-Specific Rate Applicable to the Following Company	
Both-Well (Taizhou) Steel Fittings Co., Ltd	118.97

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results to interested parties in this review under an administrative protective order (APO) within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review, when the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent), or when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is not zero or *de minimis*.¹⁵ Where either a company's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de*

⁵ See Memorandum, "Final Results Calculation Memorandum for Both-Well," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁶ See *Preliminary Results*, 88 FR at 85222.

⁷ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) (*Assessment Practice Refinement*).

⁸ See *Preliminary Results* PDM at 7–8.

⁹ *Id.* at 9.

¹⁰ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65969–70 (November 4, 2013).

¹¹ See *Order*, 83 FR at 60397.

¹² See *Preliminary Results* PDM at 9.

¹³ See, e.g., *Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 74 FR 36656, 36660 (July 24, 2009).

¹⁴ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 56158, 56160 (September 12, 2011).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For Bestflow, which has a final weighted-average dumping margin that is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at the time of liquidation, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁶ Because Bestflow did not report entered value for its U.S. sales, we intend to calculate importer- or customer-specific per-unit assessment rates by dividing the total amount of dumping calculated for all reviewed sales to the importer or customer by the total quantity of the same sales. Commerce will also calculate (estimated) *ad valorem* importer- or customer-specific assessment rates with which to assess whether the per-unit assessment rates are *de minimis*. We intend to calculate estimated importer- (or customer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates by dividing the total amount of dumping calculated for all reviewed U.S. sales to the importer or customer by the total estimated entered value of the same sales.¹⁷

For the respondent that was not selected for individual examination in this administrative review, and which qualified for a separate rate (*i.e.*, Both-Well), the assessment rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin from the final results (*i.e.*, 118.97 percent). For the companies who are not eligible for a separate rate, and, thus, are part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 142.72 percent to all POR entries of subject merchandise which was exported by those companies.

Pursuant to a refinement in our non-market economy practice, for sales that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by Bestflow during this review, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries associated with those sales at the rate for the China-wide entity.¹⁸ Additionally, any suspended entries that entered under Xin Yi's case number (*i.e.*, at Xin Yi's cash deposit rate) will be liquidated at the

antidumping duty assessment rate for the China-wide entity.¹⁹

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for companies listed in the table above, the cash deposit rate will be 118.97 percent; (2) for previously examined Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter's existing cash deposit rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 142.72 percent); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the

regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 4, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Used the Latest Revised U.S. Sales Database for the Calculation of Bestflow's Weighted-Average Dumping Margin
 - Comment 2: Inland Freight Surrogate Value (SV)
 - Comment 3: Calculation of Labor SV Covering Multiple Years of the POR
 - Comment 4: Whether to Continue to Apply Partial Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Determine the Normal Value (NV) for the Sales of Merchandise Supplied by Bestflow's Uncooperative Suppliers
 - Comment 5: Whether to Continue to Assign Bestflow's Weighted-Average Dumping Margin to Both-Well
- VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies Not Eligible for a Separate Rate and Treated as Part of the China-Wide Entity

1. Cixi Baicheng Hardware Tools, Ltd.
2. Dalian Guangming Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
3. Eaton Hydraulics (Luzhou) Co., Ltd.
4. Eaton Hydraulics (Ningbo) Co., Ltd.
5. Jiangsu Forged Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
6. Jiangsu Haida Pipe Fittings Group Co.
7. Jinan Mech Piping Technology Co., Ltd.
8. Jining Dingguan Precision Parts Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
9. Lianfa Stainless Steel Pipes & Valves (Qingyun) Co., Ltd.
10. Luzhou City Chengrun Mechanics Co., Ltd.
11. Ningbo HongTe Industrial Co., Ltd.
12. Ningbo Long Teng Metal Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
13. Ningbo Save Technology Co., Ltd.
14. Ningbo Zhongan Forging Co., Ltd.
15. Q.C. Witness International Co., Ltd.
16. Shanghai Lon Au Stainless Steel Materials Co., Ltd.
17. Witness International Co., Ltd.
18. Yancheng Boyue Tube Co., Ltd.
19. Yancheng Haohui Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
20. Yancheng Jiuwei Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
21. Yancheng Manda Pipe Industry Co., Ltd.
22. Yingkou Guangming Pipeline Industry Co., Ltd.

¹⁶ Commerce will apply the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.212 (b)(1).

¹⁸ See *Assessment Practice Refinement*, 76 FR at 65694 for a full discussion of this practice.

¹⁹ *Id.*

23. Yuyao Wanlei Pipe Fitting Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2024–12738 Filed 6–10–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Application for Export Trade Certificate of Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of information collection, request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment preceding submission of the collection to OMB.

DATES: To ensure consideration, comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before August 12, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments by mail to Amanda Reynolds, Team Lead, International Trade Administration (ITA) by email to ETCA@trade.gov or PRA@trade.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 0625–0125 in the subject line of your comments. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or specific questions related to collection activities should be directed to Amanda Reynolds, Team Lead, (202) 482–5131 and email ETCA@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Title III of the Export Trading Company Act (hereinafter “the Act”) of 1982 (Pub. L. 97–290, 15 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*), authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue, with the concurrence of the Attorney General, an Export Trade Certificate of Review to any person that establishes that its proposed export trade, export trade

activities, and methods of operation meet the four standards found in section 303 (a) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.* An Export Trade Certificate of Review provides the certificate holder and its members with limited antitrust immunity for specified export-related activities. Application for an Export Trade Certificate of Review is voluntary. The information to be collected is found at 15 CFR part 325.3—Export Trade Certificates of Review. The collection of information is necessary for both the Departments of Commerce and Justice to conduct an analysis, in order to determine whether the applicant and its members are eligible to receive the protection of an Export Trade Certificate of Review and whether the applicant's proposed export-related conduct meets the standards in Section 303(a) of the Act. The collection of information constitutes the essential basis of the statutory determinations to be made by the Secretary of Commerce and the Attorney General.

The Department of Commerce conducts its economic and legal analysis of the information supplied by applicants through the Office of Trade and Economic Analysis and the Office of the General Counsel. In the Department of Justice, analysis is conducted by the Antitrust Division.

Title III was enacted to reduce uncertainty regarding the application of U.S. antitrust laws to export activities. An Export Trade Certificate of Review provides its holder and members named in the Certificate with (a) protection from government actions under state and federal antitrust laws for the export conduct specified in the Certificate, and (b) certain protection from private suits, by limiting liability in private actions to actual damages when the challenged activities are covered by an Export Trade Certificate of Review.

II. Method of Collection

The form is sent by request to U.S. firms.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0625–0125.
Form Number(s): ITA–4093P.

Type of Review: Regular submission, extension of a current information collection.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations; not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 9.

Estimated Time per Response: 32 hours (application); 2 hours (annual report).

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 426 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982, 15 U.S.C. 4011–4021.

IV. Request for Comments

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department/Bureau to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Evaluate ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Sheleen Dumas,

Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2024–12771 Filed 6–10–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Generic Clearance for Decision Science Data Collections

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of information collection, request for comment.